Vaccine development for Nipah virus infection in pigs
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Abstract
Nipah virus (NiV) causes a severe and often fatal neurological disease in humans. Whilst fruit bats are considered the natural reservoir, NiV also infects pigs and may cause an unapparent or mild disease. Direct pig-to-human transmission was responsible for the first and still most devastating NiV outbreaks in Malaysia and Singapore in 1998-99, with nearly 300 human cases and over 100 fatalities. Pigs can therefore play a key role in the epidemiology of NiV by acting as an ‘amplifying’ host. The outbreak in Singapore ended with the prohibition of pig imports from Malaysia and the Malaysian outbreak was ended by culling 45% of the country’s pig population with costs exceeding US$500 million. Despite the importance of NiV as an emerging disease with the potential for pandemic, no vaccines or therapeutics are currently approved for human or livestock use. In this mini-review, we will discuss current knowledge of NiV infection in pigs; our ongoing work to develop a NiV vaccine for use in pigs; and the pig as a model to support human vaccine development.

Nipah virus is an emerging pathogen with the potential for pandemic
Nipah virus (NiV) is an enveloped, single stranded, negative sense RNA paramyxovirus, genus *Henipavirus*. The natural hosts and wildlife reservoirs of NiV are Old World fruit bats of the genus *Pteropus* (Halpin et al., 2011). Both Nipah and the related Hendra virus possess a number of features that distinguish them from other paramyxoviruses. Of particular note is their broad host range which is facilitated by the use of the evolutionary conserved ephrin-B2 and –B3 as cellular receptors (Bossart et al., 2008). The NiV attachment glycoprotein (G) is responsible for binding to ephrin-B2/-B3 (Bowden et al., 2008). Following receptor binding, the G protein dissociates from the fusion (F) protein. Subsequently, the F protein undergoes a series of conformational changes which in turn initiates fusion of the viral and host membrane allowing entry (Liu et al., 2013). After fusion, the F protein is synthesised and cleaved into fusion active F1 and F2 subunits. These subunits are subsequently transported back to the cell surface to be incorporated into budding virions, or facilitate fusion between infected and adjacent uninfected cells (Maisner et al., 2009). This cell-to-cell fusion results in the formation of multinucleated cells called syncytia, and greatly influences the cytopathogenicity of NiV as it allows spread of the virus, even in the absence of viral budding (Diederich et al., 2008; Maisner et al., 2009).

NiV infection is currently classed as a stage III zoonotic disease, meaning it can spill over to humans and cause limited outbreaks of person-to-person transmission (Wolfe et al., 2007; Luby, 2013). NiV outbreaks have been recognised yearly in Bangladesh since 2001 as well as occasional outbreaks in neighbouring India (Fig. 1). These outbreaks have been characterised by person-to-person transmission and the death of over 70% of infected people (Hsu et al., 2004; Donaldson and Lucey, 2018). In May 2018, the first ever outbreak in southern India was reported. A total of 19 NiV cases, of which 17 resulted in death, were reported in the state of Kerala. *Pteropus giganteus* bats from areas around the index case in Kozhikode, Kerala, were tested at the National High Security Animal Diseases Laboratory at Bhopal. Of these, 19% were found to be NiV positive by RT-PCR (WHO, 2018a). Characteristics of NiV that increase the risk of it becoming a global pandemic include: humans are already susceptible; many NiV strains are capable of person-to-person transmission; and as an RNA virus, NiV has a high mutation rate (Kulkarni et al., 2009). NiV has been found to survive for up to 4 days when subjected to various environmental conditions, including fruit bat urine and mango flesh (Fogarty et al., 2008). Whilst survival time was influenced by fluctuations in both temperature and pH, the ability for NiV to be spread by fomites could play a role in outbreak situations.

The first and still most devastating NiV outbreak occurred in peninsular Malaysia from September 1998 to May 1999 (Paton et al., 1999; Chua, 2003). The link to pigs in this outbreak was obvious as 93% of the infected patients had contact with pigs (Parashar et al., 2000). If a
NiV strain were to become human-adapted and infect communities in Southeast Asia where there are high human and pig densities and pigs are a primary export commodity, infection could rapidly spread and humanity could face its most devastating pandemic (Huynh et al., 2007; Luby, 2013; Donaldson and Lucey, 2018).

The role of pigs in the 1998/99 Nipah virus outbreak

In September 1998, there was an outbreak of severe febrile encephalitis among pig farmers in the state of Perak, Malaysia, that was associated with a high mortality rate. A total of 265 cases of encephalitis, of which 105 resulted in death, were confirmed. These deaths were initially thought to be due to Japanese encephalitis (JE), an endemic disease in Malaysia. However, with most cases occurring in men who worked with pigs, the epidemiological characteristics of this disease were distinct from those of JE, where ~75% of cases occur in children aged 0-14 years (Chua et al., 2000; Lam and Chua, 2002; Malhotra et al., 2015). The epidemiological link was from fruit bats infecting pigs that then served as amplifier hosts, resulting in transmission to humans through close contact (Daszak et al., 2013). As a result of movement of infected pigs and humans to other states in Malaysia, by February 1999 similar diseases were recognised in both pigs and humans in new outbreak areas (CDC, 1999). In the following month, there were 11 cases of respiratory illness and encephalitis amongst Singapore abattoir workers who had handled pigs imported from the outbreak areas in Malaysia (Paton et al., 1999). Due to this, the importation of pigs from Malaysia ceased which in turn ended the outbreak in Singapore. The outbreak in Malaysia ended when 1.1 million pigs (45% of the country’s pig population) were culled from outbreak and surrounding areas (Enserink, 1999; Parashar et al., 2000). The NiV outbreak incurred significant economic costs and long-term damage to the Malaysian pig industry: US$582 million in direct costs and lost market revenue, including US$97 million in compensation to farmers for the 1.1 million pigs slaughtered and 36,000 jobs lost (Dimmock et al., 2016). To this date, Malaysian pig farming is only permitted in “identified pig farming areas”.

Nipah virus infection in pigs

Pigs also suffered during the 1998/99 Malaysian outbreak, but this was only diagnosed as part of the investigation following the human cases. The severity of symptoms of NiV infection in pigs varied with age. In suckling pigs (less than 4 weeks old), mortality could be high (up to 40%) and laboured breathing and muscle tremors were evident. In growing pigs (1 to 6 months), an acute febrile (>39.9°C) illness was observed with respiratory signs ranging from
increased or forced respiration to a harsh, loud non-productive cough, open mouth breathing and epistaxis (OIE, 2018a). In some cases these respiratory signs were accompanied by one or more of the following neurological signs: trembles, neuralgic twitches, muscle fasciculation, tetanic spasms, incoordination, rear leg weakness or partial paralysis. Pigs of this age had high morbidity and low mortality (<5%) (Aziz et al., 1999; Nor et al., 2000; OIE, 2018a). Some animals over 6 months of age died rapidly (within 24 hours) without signs of clinical disease. Respiratory signs were reported in adult pigs, as with younger animals, although these were less obvious (laboured breathing, bloody nasal discharge, increased salivation) and neurological signs included head pressing, bar biting, tetanic spasms and convulsions. First trimester abortions were also reported (Aziz et al., 1999; Nor et al., 2000; OIE, 2018a).

In an experimental infection study, pigs were inoculated subcutaneously with a NiV isolate from the central nervous system of a fatally infected human patient. Infection elicited respiratory and neurological symptoms consistent with those observed in naturally infected Malaysian pigs, which included febrile illness, incoordination, mucosal nasal discharge and persistent cough (Middleton et al., 2002). Pigs inoculated orally with the same dose did not show clinical signs although they still shed virus. In a second study, piglets were inoculated oronasally with a human NiV isolate (Berhane et al., 2008). All infected animals showed a transient increase in body temperature between 4 and 12 days post-infection. Two of these animals developed transient respiratory signs, mild depression and a hunched stance. Both these studies concluded that NiV infection in pigs had no pathognomonic features i.e. the clinical signs observed were non-specific. This can make field diagnosis of NiV infection in pigs difficult, as observed in the outbreak in Malaysia (Nor et al., 2000; Chua, 2003).

The name proposed for the disease caused by NiV infection of pigs was 'porcine respiratory and neurological syndrome' (also known as 'porcine respiratory and encephalitis syndrome'), or, in peninsular Malaysia, 'barking pig syndrome' (Nor et al., 2000). NiV infection was included as the sixth pig diseases notifiable to the OIE World Organisation for Animal Health (OIE, 2018b). The OIE approve diagnostics and recommends preventative and control measures for a range of transboundary livestock diseases.

Current state of NiV vaccine development

Despite the importance of NiV as an emerging disease with the potential for pandemic, no therapeutics or vaccines are approved for use in humans or livestock species. Due to the lethal nature of NiV infection, producing a safe, live attenuated vaccine with no potential for reversion is difficult. However, recombinant NiV mutants, attenuated in hamster and ferret
models, have been shown to generate strong neutralising antibody responses (Yoneda et al., 2010; Satterfield et al., 2015). More commonly, NiV vaccine approaches have focussed individual candidate antigens delivered as subunit vaccines or using viral vectors. The most studied vaccine candidate is the soluble form of the G protein (sG) from the related Hendra virus (HeV). HeV and the NiV Malaysia strain share between 68-92% amino acid homology between their proteins; with F and G proteins sharing 88% and 83% homology, respectively (Harcourt et al., 2000). Both F and G envelope glycoproteins are regarded as vaccine candidate antigens since they are the targets of NiV neutralising antibodies (Broder et al., 2013).

An adjuvanted HeV sG protein subunit-based vaccine (Equivac® HeV, Zoetis) has been licensed in Australia to protect horses against HeV and to reduce the zoonotic risk to humans (Middleton et al., 2014). Equivac® HeV protects ferrets and African green monkeys (AGMs) after experimental challenge with NiV, as well as HeV (Pallister et al., 2011; Mire et al., 2014). Surprisingly, this vaccine failed to protect pigs from experimental NiV challenge (Pickering et al., 2016). Since the vaccine induced cross-neutralising antibodies but not measurable T cell responses, the authors concluded that both arms of the adaptive immune response may be required for protection against NiV and HeV. These studies also potentially highlight that adjuvants can have species specific effects and tailoring of adjuvants to the target species may be required or considered in the context of preclinical models. The experimental viral vectored vaccine candidates for NiV include vesicular stomatitis virus, rabies virus, canarypox virus (ALVAC strain), adeno-associated virus (AAV), measles virus, Newcastle disease virus (NDV) and Venezuelan equine encephalitis virus (Satterfield et al., 2016). ALVAC expressing NiV G or F (ALVAC-G and ALVAC-F) was found to protect pigs against NiV challenge two weeks after the second immunisation (Weingartl et al., 2006). High titres of NiV neutralising antibodies were induced with the ALVAC-G vaccine, while despite the low levels of neutralising antibodies induced by the ALVAC-F; all vaccinated pigs were protected against virulent NiV challenge. Recombinant attenuated NDV expressing NiV glycoproteins have been shown to induce long lasting NiV-specific nAbs in pigs, with the vector expressing NiV G performing better than NiV F (Kong et al., 2012). However, no challenge was performed in this study and it remains to be determined whether these paramyxovirus-based vaccine candidates are efficacious. Compared to canarypox vectors, NDV-based vectors have a number of advantages including their high titre propagation in chicken eggs removing the requirement for cell culture (Weingartl et al., 2006; Kong et al., 2012). Despite these encouraging results and the continued threat posed by NiV, no vaccine candidate has progressed towards market for either pigs or humans.
The development of a NiV vaccine for pigs

The promising performance of experimental NiV and HeV vaccines in animal models and the licensure of Equivac® HeV, as a ‘One Health’ vaccine to safeguard animal and human health, strongly support the proposition that a safe and effective NiV vaccine may be developed for pigs to reduce the severe economic consequences of NiV outbreaks and the threat to public health. With partners, we have initiated a project that aims to develop such a vaccine. We are systematically analysing the immunogenicity and protective efficacy of three NiV vaccine candidates in pigs: (1) an adjuvanted NiV sG protein (orthologous to the Equivac® HeV vaccine), (2) NiV G protein delivered by a replication-deficient simian adenoviral vector (ChAdOx1 NiV G), and an adjuvanted, molecular clamp stabilised NiV F (mcsF) protein. ChAdOx1 is a multispecies vector with an established human and livestock safety profile (Dicks et al., 2012). ChAdOx1 offers the potential for both single dose efficacy and thermostabilisation (Dulal et al., 2016; Warimwe et al., 2016). The molecular clamp is a proprietary stabilisation domain that preserves the F protein in its native ‘pre-fusion’ form, which should enhance immunogenicity and thermostability. In depth analyses of T cell and antibody responses are being conducted to identify correlates of vaccine-induced protection. We will examine the durability of NiV-neutralising antibodies and other immune responses associated with protection, including a comparison of a single-shot vs. homologous prime-boost immunisation regimes. In-contact animals will be introduced to assess transmission of challenge virus from vaccinates or unvaccinated control animals.

The sporadic nature of NiV outbreaks means that the commercial development of NiV vaccines for use in pigs (other livestock or humans) is limited and animal health companies are of the opinion that NiV vaccines will have limited marketability. Our ongoing studies should help facilitate this by developing a safe and efficacious prototype NiV vaccine that is amenable to “surge production” and discrimination of infection in vaccinated animals (DIVA) capability. Subsequent development and licensure of this vaccine will require engagement with international, regional and national agencies and the creation of dependable markets via the establishment of NiV vaccine banks. The OIE World Fund manages vaccine banks and the delivery of vaccines for avian influenza, rabies, foot-and-mouth disease and peste de petit ruminants (OIE, 2018c). Vaccine banks ensure the procurement and delivery of high quality vaccines mass-produced in line with OIE intergovernmental standards. Critically these vaccine banks can be rapidly deployed when required and this model appears most appropriate in the context of reactive emergency vaccination programmes to aid NiV outbreak control. Vaccines
can play a major component in an emergency response against emerging infectious disease, with the main aim to reduce virus spread between susceptible hosts (Nii-Trebi, 2017). The precise decisions on control strategies will be complex and vary for different regions. Factors such as: herd density, production systems, the presence of susceptible wildlife, the impact on export trade and current opinions on economic versus ethical factors will likely play a role.

One strategy to halt a NiV outbreak would be to deploy a stockpiled vaccine for ring vaccination around the NiV affected area. This approach was utilised in the 2016 Ebola outbreak in Guinea and showed great promise in terms of disease containment and elimination (Henao-Restrepo et al., 2015). For such a strategy, a vaccine with single-dose efficacy and a rapid onset of immunity preventing virus transmission would be preferential. This is likely to be best achieved with a viral-vectored (Warimwe et al., 2016) or mRNA vectored vaccine (Pardi et al., 2017). The highly unpredictable nature of NiV outbreaks means that it is highly unlikely that NiV vaccines would be used routinely by pig producers. One strategy that could help ensure that immunity to NiV is maintained in pig herds could involve the engineering of NiV G into a live attenuated viral vaccine, such as pseudorabies, which are widely used in countries at-risk.

The pig as a model for human NiV

The recent Ebola and Zika epidemics highlighted how poorly prepared we were to deal with these new and emerging diseases. There has therefore been a global drive to develop vaccines against these diseases and improve preparedness. The Coalition for Epidemic Preparedness Innovation’s (CEPI's) was established in 2016 with a mandate of financing and coordinating the development of new human vaccines to prevent and contain infectious disease epidemics. CEPI selected NiV, Lassa virus and Middle East respiratory syndrome-coronavirus, three pathogens from the WHO’s list of priority diseases needing urgent R&D attention as its initial focus (CEPI, 2018; WHO, 2018b). The WHO’s list of priority diseases is part of the R&D Blueprint, which identifies priority diseases and addresses gaps in the global scientific community to increase preparedness for future outbreaks. The main aim of the Blueprint is to fast-track the availability of effective tests, vaccines and medicines that can be used to save lives and avert large scale crises (WHO, 2018b).

In 2002, the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) established the ‘Animal Rule’ for regulatory approval of vaccines and therapeutics for which efficacy testing in humans is impossible, therefore requiring relevant animal models that represent a disease model similar to that of the human disease (FDA, 2002). Vaccine efficacy studies in animal models aim to
identify specific vaccine-induced correlates of protection including neutralizing antibodies or cell-mediated responses (Meyer et al., 2018). In 2015, a vaccine to protect against anthrax was the first to be approved through the ‘animal rule’ (Beasley et al., 2016). The licensing pathway for the ‘Animal Rule’ requires that immunogenicity results from clinical trials must be consistent with previously identified immune correlates associated with protection (FDA, 2002). Therefore, identifying reliable markers of vaccine-generated immunity becomes critically important for pathogens such as NiV. Large animal models have been shown to more accurately predict vaccine outcome in humans in comparison to small animal models (Gerdds et al., 2015) therefore defining correlates of vaccine-induced protection in pigs, may play an important role in supporting subsequent human vaccine licensure under the ‘Animal Rule’.

Animal models can be validated for a particular disease according to a number of different criteria, which include ‘face’ and ‘predictive’ validity. For face validity there must be similarities in the pathology and clinical symptoms between the animal model and the human disease (Denayer et al., 2014). As discussed above, NiV infection of pigs causes a similar respiratory and neurological syndrome as seen in human infections. Although, disease severity in pigs may be considered lower than in humans. The predictive validity of a model means that clinically effective interventions demonstrate a similar effect in the animal model (Denayer et al., 2014). No clinical trials of NiV vaccine candidates have been reported to compare with vaccine performance in animal models, including the pig. As noted above, the success of the Equivac® HeV vaccine in horses and other animal models was not replicated in swine (Mire et al., 2014; Pickering et al., 2016), highlighting a potential issue of predicative validity when comparing NiV vaccines between animal species, which may extend to humans. On the other hand, pigs have been used successfully as models to study many human infectious diseases (Svedman et al., 1989; He et al., 1992; Nedrud, 1999; Elahi et al., 2007; Luna et al., 2009; Khatri et al., 2010; Meurens et al., 2012), including NiV infection (Weingartl et al., 2009). There is also a growing appreciation that pigs provide a superior animal model for influenza A virus infection and immunity and should play a more prominent role as a model for human influenza vaccine development (Rajao and Vincent, 2015). The success of the pig as an experimental animal model is partly due to their similarities with humans in terms of anatomy, immunology, and physiology, but also due to their manageable behavior and size, and by the general ethical acceptance of using pigs for experimental purposes instead of non-human primates (Meurens et al., 2012; Gerdds et al., 2015; Käser et al., 2018).

Conclusions
The NiV outbreaks in Malaysia and Singapore demonstrated that pigs can play a key role in the epidemiology of NiV by acting as an amplifier host. The region most at risk of NiV infection has some of the highest pig population densities found anywhere in the world, which are rising fast due to the demand of a growing human population. This increases the risk of NiV transmission to pigs and humans. The development of a NiV vaccine for use in pig populations would decrease the major risk NiV poses to the developing pig industries, as well as to the livelihoods of poor livestock keepers in Southeast Asia. The use of non-human animal models is crucial for vaccine development against diseases such as NiV since efficacy testing in humans is impossible. The pig model may therefore contribute to human vaccine development, supporting human vaccine licensure under the Animal Rule.
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Figure 1. Previous outbreak locations of Henipavirus infection outbreaks. Nipah and Hendra virus distribution map highlighting the range of the natural wildlife reservoir, *Pteropus spp.* bats (adapted from CDC (2014)).