The convective stability of fully stratified baroclinic discs
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ABSTRACT

We examine the convective stability of hydrodynamic discs with full stratification in the local approximation and in the presence of thermal diffusion (or relaxation). Various branches of the relevant axisymmetric dispersion relation derived by Urpin are discussed. We find that when the vertical Richardson number is larger than or equal to the radial one (i.e. $|R_{i_z}| \geq |R_{i_r}|$) and wavenumbers are comparable (i.e. $|k_z| \sim |k_r|$) the disc becomes unstable, even in the presence of radial and vertical stratifications with $R_{i_z} > 0$ and $R_{i_r} > 0$. The origin of this resides in a hybrid radial–vertical Richardson number. We propose an equilibrium profile with temperature depending on the radial and vertical coordinates and with $R_{i_z} > 0$ for which this destabilization mechanism occurs. We notice as well that the dispersion relation of the ‘convective overstability’ is the branch of the one here discussed in the limit $|k_z| \gg |k_r|$ (i.e. two-dimensional disc).
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1 INTRODUCTION

Astrophysical discs composed of ionized gases accrete towards a central object subject to the magnetorotational instability (MRI) (Balbus & Hawley 1991), which induces transport of angular momentum outwardly.

Extended zones of protoplanetary discs are, however, scarcely ionized (Gammie 1996). In these regions the MRI drive is absent and other instabilities of hydrodynamical nature must be active in order to explain the process of planet formation.

Among various candidates the strongest are the vertical shear instability (VSI) and the subcritical baroclinic instability (SBI).

The VSI is a linear process studied first in differentially rotating stars (Goldreich & Schubert 1967; Fricke 1968) and then investigated in discs linearly by Urpin (2003) and non-linearly by Nelson, Gressel & Umurhan (2013). Urpin’s analysis investigates quite generally the local stability of a fully stratified and thermally diffusing disc in the presence not only of vertical shear but also of convection (radial and vertical). Its treatment provides a unified axisymmetric dispersion relation for all the aforementioned processes.

The SBI (Klahr & Bodenheimer 2003; Lesur & Papaloizou 2010) is of non-linear nature and was recently related to a linear growth mechanism (Klahr & Hubbard 2014; Lyra 2014), denominated convective overstability, capable of amplifying small disturbances to finite perturbations, the seeds from which the SBI develops. Convective overstability is a radial convective instability arising in the presence of thermal relaxation (or thermal diffusion) when the radial Richardson number, $R_{i_r}$, is negative and for vertical wavelengths much shorter than the radial ones. Here, we will first discuss the convective overstability in the context of the general theory of Urpin (2003). We will show that the dispersion relation of Klahr & Hubbard (2014) is the branch of the dispersion relation of Urpin (2003) in the regime $k_z \gg k_r$ ($k_z$ and $k_r$ are the vertical and radial wavenumbers, respectively). As well the growth rates are essentially the same. The difference between the thermally diffusing model of Urpin (2003) and the thermally relaxing model of Klahr & Hubbard (2014) stays in the fact that in the latter the growth rates are independent of the perturbation wavelengths, whereas in the first one perturbations with intermediate wavelengths grow fastest.

The main focus of this paper is the investigation of the branch of the dispersion relation of Urpin (2003) corresponding to $|R_{i_z}| \geq |R_{i_r}|$ and $|k_z| \sim |k_r|$. We will show that in this sector even for radial and vertical stratifications with $R_{i_z} > 0$ and $R_{i_r} > 0$, the disc can become unstable when the ratio $|L_{i_z}/L_{i_r}|$ is significantly larger or smaller than 1 ($L_{i_z}$ and $L_{i_r}$ are the radial and vertical entropy length scales, respectively). The origin of this slightly counter-intuitive behaviour stays in the hybrid Richardson number $R_{i_{xz}} = \text{sgn}(k_r k_z)(L_{i_z}/L_{i_r})R_{i_z} + (L_{i_z}/L_{i_r})R_{i_z}$.

In order to provide a tangible realization of this instability and to connect these linear results to recent non-linear simulations we present an equilibrium, with temperature profile function of both radial and vertical coordinates, for which the disc has vertical stratification with $R_{i_z} > 0$ and where the destabilization mechanism here described occurs. For such equilibria we determine the contours in the $(R, z)$ plane where the instability develops. The growth rates, which in general vary greatly along the contours, are as well determined at some representative locations both in the case of thermal diffusion and of thermal relaxation.
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2 AXISYMMETRIC BAROCLINIC DISC

The local stability of a baroclinic disc with flat stratification and in the presence of thermal diffusion was studied in full generality by Urpin (2003). We reformulate here his analysis along the lines of Volponi (2014). We start from the shearing sheet equations

$$\partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot \rho \mathbf{v} = 0,$$

$$\partial_t \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} = -\frac{\nabla p}{\rho} - 2\Omega \times \mathbf{v} + 2q\Omega^2 x \hat{x} - \Omega^2 z \hat{z},$$

$$\partial_t \ln(\rho) + \nabla \ln(\rho) = \chi_s \Delta(\ln(\frac{T}{T_e})), $$

where $\rho$, $P$, and $T$ are density, pressure, and temperature, $T_e$ is the equilibrium temperature, $\mathbf{v}$ is the fluid velocity, $S = P \rho^{-\gamma}$ is a measure of the fluid index, $\gamma$ is the adiabatic index, $\Omega$ is the local rotation frequency, $q$ is the shear parameter (q = 1.5 for Keplerian rotation) and $\chi_s$ is the thermal diffusion coefficient. The term $-2\Omega \times \mathbf{v}$ is the Coriolis term, $2q\Omega^2 x \hat{x}$ is the tidal expansion of the effective potential and $-\Omega^2 z \hat{z}$ is the vertical gravitational acceleration.

The equations are expressed in terms of the pseudo-Cartesian coordinates $x = R - R^*, y = R^*(\phi - \phi^*)$ and $z (R^*$ and $\phi^*$ are reference radius and angle).

The disc consists of an ideal gas with equation of state

$$P = \frac{\mathcal{R}}{\mu} \rho T,$$

$$\partial_t \frac{P}{\rho} = -\Omega^2 z,$$

and

$$V_g(x,z) = \left[ -q\Omega x + \frac{\partial_z P(x,z)}{2\Omega \rho_0(x,z)} \right] \hat{y},$$

which provide vertical structure and velocity field, respectively. The subscript ‘e’ denotes equilibrium quantities.

Deriving equation (5) with respect to $x$, equation (6) with respect to $z$ and introducing the sound speed $c_s^2 = \gamma P_e/\rho_e$, we obtain the following expression for the vertical velocity shear

$$\partial_z V_g(x,z) = \left[ \frac{\partial_x P(x,z)}{2\Omega \rho_0(x,z)} \right],$$

$$\frac{1}{2\Omega} \left[ \frac{\partial_x c_s^2}{\rho_e} + \frac{\partial_x c_s^2}{c_s^2} \right].$$

For discs with equilibrium temperature profiles smooth and symmetric about the mid-plane (i.e. depending on $z^2$ rather than $z$), $V_g$ becomes a function of $z^2$. This is due to the linear dependence of the vertical gravity on $z$. In atmospheres, instead, which are modelled with a constant $g_0$ (Goldreich & Schubert 1967), $V_g$ is linear in $z$.

The convective stability of baroclinic discs

In this study, by parametrizing the vertical shear with a constant coefficient $A_v$, we consider velocity equilibria of the type (Volponi 2014)

$$V_g(x,z) = \left[ -q\Omega x + \frac{\partial_z P(x,0)}{2\Omega \rho_0(x,0)} + A_v z \right] \hat{y}. $$

Equation (8) is valid overall in atmospheres, while in discs holds at finite altitude, since in the neighbourhoods of the mid-plane $A_v = 0$.

Linearizing equations (1)–(3) about the equilibria discussed above, short wavelength axisymmetric Eulerian perturbations of the type

$$\delta(t,x,y,z) = \delta(t)e^{iK_x x+iK_z z};$$

evolve according to the equations

$$\partial_t \hat{\rho} + \frac{\hat{\rho}_x}{L_{\rho_x}} + \frac{\hat{\rho}_z}{L_{\rho_z}} + iK_x \hat{\psi} + iK_z \hat{\psi}_z = 0,$$

$$\partial_t \hat{\psi}_x = 2\Omega\hat{\psi}_y - iK_x \frac{\hat{\rho}}{\rho_e} + \frac{c_s^2}{\rho_e} \frac{\hat{\rho}_x}{L_{\rho_x} \rho_e},$$

$$\partial_t \hat{\psi}_z = -(2 - \bar{q})\Omega \hat{\psi}_y - A_v \hat{\psi}_z,$$

$$\partial_t \hat{\psi} = -iK_x \frac{\hat{\rho}}{\rho_e} + \frac{c_s^2}{\rho_e} \frac{\hat{\rho}}{L_{\rho_x} \rho_e},$$

$$\partial_t \delta = \left( \frac{\hat{\rho}_x}{L_{\rho_x}} - \frac{\gamma \hat{\rho}_x}{L_{S_x}} + \frac{\gamma \hat{\rho}_z}{L_{S_z}} - \chi_s K^2 \left( \frac{\hat{\rho}}{P_e} - \frac{\hat{\rho}_x}{L_{\rho_x} \rho_e} \right) \right),$$

where $K^2 = K_x^2 + K_z^2$ and $\bar{q}(x)\Omega = -\frac{\partial V_g}{\partial z}$ is an effective shear parameter (Johnson & Gamme 2005) varying with $x$.

The radial and vertical length scales for pressure, density and entropy are defined by

$$\frac{1}{L_{\rho_x}} = \frac{\partial_x P_e}{\gamma P_e} = \frac{1}{L_{S_x}} = \frac{\partial_x \rho_e}{\gamma \rho_e} = \frac{\partial_x S_e}{\gamma},$$

and

$$\frac{1}{L_{\rho_z}} = \frac{\partial_z P_e}{\gamma P_e} = \frac{1}{L_{S_z}} = \frac{\partial_z \rho_e}{\gamma \rho_e} = \frac{\partial_z S_e}{\gamma}.$$
where $(k_1, k_2) = (k_{v1}, k_{v2})$, $k^2 = k_{v1}^2 K^2$ and $Pe = L_S^2 \Omega \gamma / \chi_0$ is the Peclet number. We introduced, as well, $A_z = A_z / \Omega$ and the Richardson numbers

$$R_i \equiv \frac{N_i^2}{\Omega^2}, \quad R_i \equiv \frac{N_i^2}{\Omega^2}. \quad \quad \quad (27)$$

$N_i$ and $N_i$ are the Brunt–Väisälä frequencies

$$N_i^2 = -\frac{c_s^2}{L_{sz}}, \quad N_i^2 = \frac{g_z}{L_{sz}}, \quad \quad \quad (28)$$

Assuming an exponential time dependence of the type $e^{\alpha t}$ for the perturbations, equations (23)–(26) lead to the following dispersion relation (Urpin 2003; Volponi 2014)

$$s^3 + k^2 Pe^{-1} s^2 + s \left[ \frac{k^2}{k^2} [2(\tilde{q} - \tilde{q}) + R_1] \right]$$

$$- \frac{k_1 k_2}{k^2} \left[ 2A_z + \frac{L_{sz} R_i + L_{sz} R_i}{L_{sz}} \right] + Pe^{-1} [2k_1^2 (\tilde{q} - \tilde{q}) - 2k_1 k_2 A_z] = 0. \quad \quad \quad (29)$$

Thermally relaxed models (Klahr & Hubbard 2014) are essentially equivalent to the above formulation, the only difference being the replacement of the Laplacian operator $\chi_0^2$ in equation (3) with a multiplicative constant $-\chi$, where $\chi$ represents the inverse of the thermal time. This is tantamount to the substitution $k^2 \chi \rightarrow \chi$ in equation (18) (Urpin 2003) or $k^2 Pe^{-1} \rightarrow \chi / \gamma$ in terms of the non-dimensional quantities in equation (29). We notice that in Klahr & Hubbard (2014) $\chi$ is denoted as $1/\tau$.

By casting equation (29) in the form

$$s^3 + a_2 s^2 + a_1 s + a_0 = 0, \quad \quad \quad (30)$$

where

$$a_2 = k^2 Pe^{-1}, \quad a_1 = \left[ \frac{k_1 k_2}{k^2} [2(\tilde{q} - \tilde{q}) + R_1] - \frac{k_1 k_2}{k^2} \left[ 2A_z + \frac{L_{sz} R_i + L_{sz} R_i}{L_{sz}} \right] + \frac{R_i k_2^2}{k^2} \right],$$

$$a_0 = Pe^{-1} [2k_1^2 (\tilde{q} - \tilde{q}) - 2k_1 k_2 A_z], \quad \quad \quad (31)$$

the instability conditions are (Urpin 2003)

$$a_0 < 0, \quad a_1 a_2 < a_0, \quad a_2 < 0. \quad \quad \quad (32)$$

The first and the second of the above inequalities read

$$Pe^{-1} \left[ 2k_1^2 (\tilde{q} - \tilde{q}) - 2k_1 k_2 A_z \right] < 0 \quad (33)$$

and

$$\left[ \frac{k_1^2}{k^2} R_i - \frac{k_1 k_2}{k^2} \left( \frac{L_{sz}}{L_{sz}} R_i + \frac{L_{sz}}{L_{sz}} R_i \right) \right] < 0, \quad \quad \quad (34)$$

respectively. From (33) it can be seen that for $|k_2| \gg |k_1|$ the disc is subject to the VSI when $A_z$ and $k_1 k_2$ have the same sign, whereas (34) concerns the convective stability of the disc. Volponi (2014) discussed the combined effect of the vertical shear and vertical convective instabilities finding that the resulting growths are of mixed type in the sense that the growth rate is given by convection whereas the sign of the angular momentum transport is determined by the vertical shear. Here, we would like to concentrate on the second of the above conditions and discuss in more detail the convective stability of the disc in different regimes characterized by the relative strength of $R_i$ and $R_i$. For each of these regimes we will discuss the limits $|k_1| > |k_2|, |k_1| > |k_2|$ and $|k_1| \sim |k_2|$. 

2.1 Regime A: $|R_i| \gg |R_i|$

Here we consider stratifications which are stronger radially than vertically.

$|k_1| > |k_2|$: in this limit we are essentially dealing with a two-dimensional disc (i.e. vertical structure is neglected). The dispersion relation reads

$$s^3 + k^2 Pe^{-1} s^2 + s \left[ \frac{k^2}{k^2} [2(\tilde{q} - \tilde{q}) + R_1] \right]$$

$$+ Pe^{-1} [2k_1^2 (\tilde{q} - \tilde{q})] = 0, \quad \quad \quad (35)$$

which is the same relation obtained by Klahr & Hubbard (2014), considering that $k^2 Pe^{-1}$ corresponds to their $1/\gamma \tau \Omega$, $k_1 \approx k$ for $k_1 > k_2$ and that there time dependence of perturbations was assumed to be of the form $e^{-\lambda t}$. The instability condition (34) becomes simply $R_i < 0$.

$|k_1| \gg |k_2|$: in this case equation (34) becomes

$$\left[ \frac{k_1^2}{k^2} R_i - \frac{k_1 k_2}{k^2} \left( \frac{L_{sz}}{L_{sz}} R_i + \frac{L_{sz}}{L_{sz}} R_i \right) \right] < 0, \quad \quad \quad (36)$$

and a variety of different evolutions are possible in principle depending on the specific values of $R_i, R_i, k_1, k_2$ and $\chi_0$. However, if $A_z$ and the product $k_1 k_2$ have the same sign, the VSI dominates.

$|k_1| \sim |k_2|$: the instability condition becomes

$$R_i - \text{sgn}(k_1 k_2) \left( \frac{L_{sz}}{L_{sz}} R_i + \frac{L_{sz}}{L_{sz}} R_i \right) < 0, \quad \quad \quad (37)$$

which can be alternatively expressed as

$$R_i \left[ 1 - \text{sgn}(k_1 k_2) \left( \frac{L_{sz}}{L_{sz}} + \frac{L_{ps}}{L_{ps}} \right) \right] < 0. \quad \quad \quad (38)$$

Characteristic values of $R_i$, $R_i$ and $L_{sz}/L_{sz}$ in the disc domain can vary greatly with the equilibrium considered. It is therefore difficult to discuss equation (37) without reference to a specific configuration. If $[(L_{sz}/L_{sz}) R_i] > [(L_{sz}/L_{sz}) R_i]$ (i.e. $|L_{ps}/L_{ps}| \ll |L_{sz}/L_{sz}|$), however, (37) simplifies to

$$R_i \left( 1 - \text{sgn}(k_1 k_2) \frac{L_{sz}}{L_{sz}} \right) < 0. \quad \quad \quad (39)$$

In the case of $k_1 k_2 > 0$ instability ensues for $R_i < 0$ when $L_{sz}/L_{sz} < 1$ and for $R_i > 0$ when $L_{sz}/L_{sz} > 1$. 

If \( k_x k_z < 0 \), instead, instability occurs for \( L_{Sx}/L_{Sz} < -1 \) when \( Ri_z > 0 \) and for \( L_{Sx}/L_{Sz} > -1 \) when \( Ri_z < 0 \).

If \(|L_{Sx}/L_{Sz}| Ri_x < |L_{Sx}/L_{Sz}| Ri_z| \) (i.e. \( |L_{Pz}/L_{Pz}| > |L_{Sx}/L_{Sz}| \)) instead, equation (37) becomes

\[
Ri_x \left( 1 - \text{sgn}(k_x k_z) \frac{L_{Sx}}{L_{Pz}} \right) < 0. \tag{40}
\]

In (40) \( L_{Pz}/L_{Pz} \) has the same role that \( L_{Sx}/L_{Sz} \) has in equation (39) and therefore observations analogous to the ones above given pertain.

### 2.2 Regime B: \(|Ri_z| < |Ri_x|\)

Here we focus on stratifications which are stronger vertically than radially.

\(|k_z| > |k_x|\) for such perturbations the epicyclic frequency dominates the vertical shear (see equation (33)) and therefore the disc is unstable if

\[
\frac{k_x^2}{k_z^2} Ri_z \equiv k_x k_z L_{Sz} \frac{L_{Sx}}{L_{Sz}} Ri_x + \frac{Ri_z k_z^2}{k_x^2} < 0. \tag{41}
\]

Various types of evolutions are possible depending on the specific value of wavenumbers and Richardson numbers.

\(|k_z| > |k_x|\): equation (34) becomes simply \( Ri_z < 0 \). If \( A_z \) and the product \( k_x k_z \) have the same sign, however, the VSI drive is present. This case was discussed in detail in Volponi (2014). For stable stratification (i.e. \( Ri_z > 0 \)) we expect an evolution dominated by the VSI. For unstable stratification instead (i.e. \( Ri_z < 0 \)) we expect evolutions of mixed types where growth rate is the convective one and the sign of transport is determined by the vertical shear.

\(|k_z| \sim |k_x|\): equation (34) reads

\[
Ri_z \left( 1 - \text{sgn}(k_x k_z) \frac{L_{Sx}}{L_{Pz}} \right) < 0, \tag{42}
\]

which can be as well cast in the form

\[
Ri_x \left( 1 - \text{sgn}(k_x k_z) \left( \frac{L_{Pz}}{L_{Pz}} + \frac{L_{Sx}}{L_{Sz}} \right) \right) < 0. \tag{43}
\]

This case is formally analogous to the corresponding one discussed in the previous section but with the \( x \) and \( z \) coordinates interchanged. As mentioned there, any discussion of the above condition strongly depends on the particular equilibrium considered. We will come back in greater detail to equation (42) in Section 3.

If \(|L_{Sx}/L_{Sz}| Ri_x| \ll |L_{Sx}/L_{Sz}| Ri_z| \) (i.e. \(|L_{Pz}/L_{Pz}| \ll |L_{Sx}/L_{Sz}| \)) (42) reduces to

\[
Ri_x \left( 1 - \text{sgn}(k_x k_z) \frac{L_{Sx}}{L_{Sz}} \right) < 0. \tag{44}
\]

In case of positive \( k_x k_z \), for \( Ri_x < 0 \) the disc is unstable when \( L_{Sz}/L_{Sz} < 1 \) and for \( Ri_x > 0 \) when \( L_{Sz}/L_{Sz} > 1 \).

If \( k_x k_z < 0 \), instead, instability occurs for \( L_{Sz}/L_{Sz} < -1 \) when \( Ri_x > 0 \) and for \( L_{Sz}/L_{Sz} > -1 \) when \( Ri_x < 0 \).

If \(|L_{Sx}/L_{Sz}| Ri_x| \ll |L_{Sx}/L_{Sz}| Ri_z| \) (i.e. \(|L_{Pz}/L_{Pz}| \ll |L_{Sx}/L_{Sz}| \)) (42) becomes

\[
Ri_x \left( 1 - \text{sgn}(k_x k_z) \frac{L_{Pz}}{L_{Pz}} \right) < 0. \tag{45}
\]

Everything stated about equation (44) holds as well for equation (45) when substituting \( L_{Sz}/L_{Sz} \) with \( L_{Pz}/L_{Pz} \).

### 2.3 Regime C: \(|Ri_z| \sim |Ri_x|\)

Here we consider radial and vertical stratifications which are comparable.

\(|k_z| \approx |k_x|\): the instability condition (34) assumes the simple form \( Ri_x < 0 \). We are dealing with a two-dimensional disc and the same remarks made for the corresponding case of Section 2.1 pertain.

\(|k_z| \approx |k_x|\): equation (34) becomes simply \( Ri_x < 0 \). In this case, though, the disc is as well vertical shear unstable. Therefore if \( Ri_z > 0 \) the VSI dominates the evolution. We observed as well that the larger \( Ri_x \) the weaker the vertical shear growth rate. If \( Ri_x < 0 \) a mixed type of evolution of the type described in Volponi (2014) follows.

\(|k_z| \sim |k_x|\): this is the most interesting subcase for the present study along with the corresponding case of Section 2.2.

Equation (34) reads:

\[
Ri_x \left( 1 - \text{sgn}(k_x k_z) \frac{L_{Sx}}{L_{Sz}} \right) + Ri_z \left( 1 - \text{sgn}(k_x k_z) \frac{L_{Sz}}{L_{Sz}} \right) < 0. \tag{46}
\]

Let us discuss in detail the case \( k_x, k_z > 0 \). When \( Ri_x > 0 \) and \( Ri_z > 0 \) the disc is unstable if \( L_{Sx}/L_{Sz} > 0 \) (excluding the case \( L_{Sz}/L_{Sz} = 1 \)) and stable otherwise. This is a situation which is possible in a real disc. It is slightly counterintuitive how a disc with positive radial and vertical Richardson numbers can become convectively unstable. However from equation (46) it can be noticed that the critical term driving the disc to instability is the hybrid Richardson number

\[
Ri_xz = \text{sgn}(k_x k_z) \left( \frac{L_{Sx}}{L_{Sz}} Ri_x + \frac{L_{Sz}}{L_{Sz}} Ri_z \right). \tag{47}
\]

When discs are fully stratified, instability drives arise not only from purely radial and vertical gradients but also from mixed radial–vertical ones.

When \( Ri_x < 0 \) and \( Ri_z < 0 \) the disc is unstable if \( L_{Sz}/L_{Sz} < 0 \) and stable otherwise.

When \( Ri_x > 0 \) and \( Ri_z < 0 \) we have instability for \( L_{Sz}/L_{Sz} > 1 \) or \( -1 < L_{Sz}/L_{Sz} < 0 \).

When \( Ri_x < 0 \) and \( Ri_z > 0 \) instability occurs for \( L_{Sz}/L_{Sz} < -1 \) or \( 0 < L_{Sz}/L_{Sz} < 1 \).

If \( k_z \sim -k_x \) considerations similar to the ones developed above pertain.

The bottom line of the above classification is that if \( |k_x| \) and \( |k_z| \) are comparable the disc is potentially unstable even when \( Ri_x \) and \( Ri_z \) are positive. These instabilities are just different sectors of the \( k_x \)-\( k_z \) plane.

### 2.4 Growth rate

In this section we revisit what was found by Urpin (2003) concerning the growth rate of perturbations. This will allow us a close comparison with growth rates obtained by Klahr & Hubbard (2014).

First of all we simplify the notation. By defining

\[
\eta \equiv Pe^{-1}, \quad B^2 \equiv 2(2 - \tilde{q}),
\]

\[
C \equiv \frac{k_x^2}{k_z^2} (B^2 + Ri_x) - \frac{k_x k_z}{k_z} \left( 2A_x + \frac{Ri_x}{\text{sgn}(k_x k_z)} \right) + \frac{Ri_x k_z^2}{k_x^2},
\]

\[
D \equiv k_z^2 B^2 - 2k_x k_z A_x, \tag{48}
\]

equation (29) can be cast in the form

\[
s^3 + k_x^2 s^2 + Cs + \eta D = 0. \tag{49}
\]
With $s = r + i \omega$ equation (49) splits in two relations, one for its real part and the other for its imaginary part:

$$r^3 - 3r\omega^2 + k^2\eta(r^2 - \omega^2) + rC + \eta D = 0$$  (50)

and

$$\omega^2 = 3r^2 + k^2\eta 2r + C.$$  (51)

For $\omega \neq 0$ we can substitute (51) in (50) obtaining

$$2r(2r + k^2\eta^2) + 2rC + \eta E = 0,$$  (52)

where

$$E \equiv k^2C - D = Ri_kz - k_rk_z \frac{Riz}{\text{sgn}(k_rk_z)} + Ri_kz^2.$$  (53)

In the limit $r \ll k^2\eta$ the growth rate $r$ is

$$r_d = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\eta E}{k^2\eta^2 + C}.$$  (54)

We conclude this subsection noting that by using the prescription $k^2Pe^{-1} \ll \chi_1/\Omega_1$ we can obtain from equation (54) the growth rate pertaining to the thermally relaxed case as

$$r_r = -\frac{1}{2k^2} \frac{\gamma \chi_1 E}{\chi_1^2 + \gamma^2C},$$  (55)

where $\chi_1 = \chi/\Omega$. Equation (55) is just a reformulation of the growth rate derived by Urpin (2003). In Urpin’s analysis the growth rate for the cases $\chi_1^2 \ll |C|$ and $\chi_1^2 \gg |C|$ was, respectively, given in equations (30) and (32) of that paper, where $\chi_1$ was denoted as $\omega_x$. We notice that, in the limit $|k_r| \gg |k_z|$, equation (55) is identical to equation (27) of Khlah & Hubbard (2014).

$r_r$ is almost independent on whether perturbations are of short or long wavelength, whereas $r_d$ decreases for short and long wavelength perturbations with respect to the case $|k_r| \sim |k_z| \sim 1$.

### 3 Equilibrium Profiles

The classification developed in the previous section is general. One of the most interesting regimes is that of similar radial and vertical Richardson numbers and similar wavenumbers. In Section 2.3, we have shown that for stratifications with $Ri_c > 0$ and $Ri_c > 0$ the disc can become unstable when $|L_{S_1}/L_{S_2}|$ is significantly away from 1. As a rule of thumb for $|Ri_c| \sim |R_i|$ we would expect that $|L_{S_1}/L_{S_2}| \sim 1$ and therefore no substantial growth of perturbation should occur. This was indeed the case when we considered the vertically isothermal disc considered in Nelson et al. (2013). No significant difference was found between $|L_{S_1}|$ and $|L_{S_2}|$ when $|Ri_c| \sim |R_i|$. As well for the cases $|Ri_c| > |R_i|$ and $|R_i| < |Ri_c|$ no growth was found for $Ri_c > 0$ and $Ri_c > 0$ in the regime of similar wavenumbers. The vertically isentropic profile considered in Nelson et al. (2013) is of scarce interest here since in that case $Ri_c = 0$.

However, the above-mentioned profiles are somewhat idealized since a realistic disc has both a temperature profile depending on the radial and vertical coordinates and a vertical Richardson number different from zero. In the following we consider two types of profiles in which the equilibrium temperature depends on both radial and vertical coordinates. The first profile is of central interest for the present study and pertains to a disc with $Ri_c > 0$. The second profile describes a disc with $Ri_c < 0$ and could be useful in the study of the interaction between the vertical convective and the vertical shear instabilities in an hydrodynamic disc.

#### 3.1 Profile with $\text{Ri}_c > 0$

We consider a profile where the density radial dependence at the mid-plane is identical to the one of the isothermal equilibrium studied in Nelson et al. (2013), i.e.

$$p_{mid}(R) = p_0 \left( \frac{R}{R_0} \right)^p,$$  (56)

where $p_0$ is the mid-plane density at the representative radius $R_0$. Temperature, instead, acquires a $z$ dependence of the type

$$T(R, z) = T_0 \left( \frac{R}{R_0} \right)^q \left( 1 + \frac{z^2}{H_0} \right)^{1/2},$$  (57)

where $T_0$ is the mid-plane temperature at $R_0$. Assuming an ideal gas equation of state, equation (57) corresponds to

$$c_s^2(R, z) = c_s^2 \left( \frac{R}{R_0} \right)^q \left( 1 + \frac{z^2}{H_0} \right)^{1/2},$$  (58)

where $c_s^2 = \gamma RT_0/\mu$. In the above equations $H_0 = c_s/\sqrt{GM/R_0}$, where $G$ is the gravitational constant and $M$ is the mass of the central object.

Solving the equilibrium equations

$$R\Omega_c^2 - \frac{GMz}{(R^2 + z^2)^{3/2}} = \frac{\partial_p P}{\rho},$$  (59)

$$-\frac{GMz}{(R^2 + z^2)^{3/2}} = \frac{\partial_z P}{\rho},$$  (60)

subject to the equations of state (56) and (58) we obtain the equilibrium density and angular velocity profiles

$$\rho_0(R, z) = p_0 \left( \frac{R}{R_0} \right)^p \left( 1 + \frac{z^2}{H_0^2} \right)^{-1/2} \times \exp \left( -\frac{\gamma GMz}{c_s^2(R, z)^q} \left( \frac{R}{R_0} \right)^q \left( \frac{1}{R} - \frac{\sqrt{1 + \frac{z^2}{H_0^2}}}{\sqrt{R^2 + z^2}} \right) \right),$$  (61)

$$\Omega_c^2(R, z) = \Omega_c^2 \left[ \frac{1}{(1 + \frac{z^2}{R^2}H_0^2)^{3/2}} + \frac{H_0^2}{R^2 - H_0^2} \left( \frac{R}{R_0} \right)^p \frac{p + q}{R^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{z^2}{H_0^2}} - 1} \right]$$

$$+ \frac{H_0^2}{R^2 - H_0^2} \sqrt{1 + \frac{z^2}{H_0^2}} \left[ q R \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{z^2}{H_0^2}}} - 1 \right) \right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{R^2 - H_0^2} \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{z^2}{H_0^2} \right)^{3/2} \left( \frac{3R^2 + 2z^2 - H_0^2}{(1 + z^2/R^2)^{3/2}} \right) \right.$$

$$\left. - (3R^2 - H_0^2) \right],$$  (62)

where $\Omega_c^2 = GM/R^3$ is the Keplerian angular velocity and $H = c_s/\Omega_c$ a local scale height depending on $R$ and $z$.

To have a notation consistent with the equilibrium discussed in this section we rename the radial Richardson number and length
scales in terms of the radius $R$, i.e.

$$\frac{1}{L_{\rho_k}} = \frac{\partial_R \rho_k}{\gamma P_k} = \frac{1}{L_{\rho_k}} + \frac{\partial_R \rho_k}{\gamma S_k},$$

$$Ri_g \equiv \frac{H^2}{L_{S_k} L_{\rho_k}},$$

keeping in mind that $Ri_z = Ri_g$.

In this section we will study contours of the type $Ri_z = FRi_k$, where $F$ is a real number, for different values of $F$. In Fig. 1 we show the shape of such contours and how they change with increasing $F$.

In general, a contour is composed of lines lying in the proximity of the mid-plane as shown in Fig. 1. Along the contour, we list the growth rates (last column) at these different values corresponding to different growth rates. In Table 2 we report growth rates for profile (57) along the contour $Ri_k = Ri_z$ at the $R$ and $z$ specified.

Table 1. Growth rates $s_g$ for profile (57) along the contour $Ri_k = Ri_z$ in the case of thermal diffusion for $k_i = 1$, aspect ratio $\lambda = 0.1$ and vertical shear $A_z = 0.001$. $Ri$ is the common value of $Ri_k$ and $Ri_z$ at the $R$ and $z$ specified.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$p$</th>
<th>$q$</th>
<th>$\frac{\tilde{g}}{\tilde{S}}$</th>
<th>$\frac{\tilde{s}}{\tilde{L}}$</th>
<th>$\tilde{Ri}$</th>
<th>$\tilde{L}<em>{\rho_k}/\tilde{L}</em>{S_k}$</th>
<th>$\eta$</th>
<th>$s_g$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.041</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Growth rates $s_\gamma$ for profile (57) along the contour $Ri_k = Ri_z$ in the case of thermal relaxation for $k_i = 1$, aspect ratio $\lambda = 0.1$ and vertical shear $A_z = 0.001$. $Ri$ is the common value of $Ri_k$ and $Ri_z$ at the $R$ and $z$ specified.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$p$</th>
<th>$q$</th>
<th>$\frac{\tilde{g}}{\tilde{S}}$</th>
<th>$\frac{\tilde{s}}{\tilde{S}}$</th>
<th>$\tilde{Ri}$</th>
<th>$\tilde{L}<em>{\rho_k}/\tilde{L}</em>{S_k}$</th>
<th>$\xi_R$</th>
<th>$s_\gamma$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.037</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We set $p = -1.5$ and studied the cases $q = -0.1$, $q = -0.3$, $q = -0.5$ for a disc of aspect ratio $A = H_0/R_0 = 0.1$ and $\gamma = 1.4$. In all these cases the physically relevant part of $Ri_k = Ri_\gamma$ occurs in the vicinity of the mid-plane as shown in Fig. 3 for $q = -0.3$. Fig. 3 is a close-up of the upper left contour of Fig. 1. To estimate the vertical velocity shear $A_z$, we make use of equation (7) obtaining the expression

$$A_z \approx \frac{z}{2R} \left( \frac{R}{R_0} \right)^{\tilde{q}} \left( 1 + \frac{z^2}{H_0^2} \right)^{-1/2} \frac{p + q}{\gamma} + q,$$

which for the contour of Fig. 3 gives a reference value $|A_z| \approx 0.001$. Along the contour, $Ri_k$ and $L_{\rho_k}/L_{S_k}$ in general change. To these different values correspond different growth rates. In Table 1 we list the growth rates (last column) at $R = 10R_0$ relative to the case of thermal diffusion. Similarly Table 2 reports growth rates in the case of thermal relaxation. Maximum growth rates (about 0.25) pertain to values of $q > p$ and closest to 0 (here we considered always $q < 0$). We notice that at locations symmetric to the ones reported in Tables 1 and 2 with respect to the mid-plane, the ratio $L_{\rho_k}/L_{S_k}$ assumes opposite values and instability occurs with the same growth rate when $L_{\rho_k}/L_{S_k}$ and $k_i k_c$ have the same sign. For instance, in the case of $q = -0.1$ for $(R, z) = (10R_0, -0.18H_0)$ we have $L_{\rho_k}/L_{S_k} = -50$ and instability occurs with $s_g = 0.23$ for

![Figure 1. Contours of $Ri_z - FRi_k = 0$ relative to the cases $F = 1, 5, 10, 20$ for profile (57) ($p = -1.5$ and $q = -0.3$).](image1)

![Figure 2. $Ri_z$ for profile (57) ($p = -1.5$ and $q = -0.3$).](image2)

![Figure 3. Close-up of the contour $Ri_k - Ri_\gamma = 0$ near the mid-plane for profile (57) ($p = -1.5$ and $q = -0.3$).](image3)
Table 3. Growth rates $s_d$ for profile (57) along the contour $R_{ik} = R_i$ in the case of thermal diffusion for $p = -1.5, q = -0.3, k_x = k_z = 1, A = 0.1$ and $A_z = 0.001$. $R_i$ is the common value of $R_{ik}$ and $R_i$ at the $R$ and $z$ specified. ‘ND’ stays for not definite.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$r/R_0$</th>
<th>$z/R_0$</th>
<th>$R_i$</th>
<th>$l_{xk}$/$l_{wx}$</th>
<th>$\eta$</th>
<th>$s_d$</th>
<th>$W_{xy}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.0038</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>0.0072</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.0144</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.0164</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.0314</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$k_x = -k_z = \pm 1$. We concentrated then our attention on the representative case $q = -0.3$. In Table 3 we present the growth rates at various locations along the contour $R_{ik} = R_i$ for the case of thermal diffusion. They vary greatly, increasing with $R$. We notice as well that due to a scaling symmetry of equation (29) the same results reported in Table 3 hold if we increase the order of $k_x$ and $k_z$ and decrease the order of $\eta$ of twice the order of the wavenumbers. For example if we consider perturbations with $k_x = k_z = 10$, the same growth rates of Table 3 are found when $\eta = 0.003$.

Growth rates for the thermally relaxed case are slightly higher than the ones of Table 3. As previously mentioned, the main difference between thermal diffusion and thermal relaxation consists in the fact that $s_d$ is wavenumber independent. In the case of thermal diffusion the growth rate $s_d$ decreases for $[k_x, |k_z|] > 10$ or $|k_x|, |k_z| \ll 1$. We notice that all growth rates reported were obtained by numerically solving the exact equations and not from the approximations (54) and (55).

An important point is the direction of the angular momentum transport. In all cases the sign of the Reynolds stress $W_{xy} = (v_x v_y)/v^2$, where $v^2 = v_x^2 + v_y^2 + v_z^2$ is not definite apart from the last line in Table 3 where the transport was observed to be negative.

By increasing $q$ the instability is more powerful. Here, as in Klahr & Hubbard (2014), we observe maximum growth when $k_x \sim 1$.

To determine whether the instability conditions (37) and (42) are met for the equilibrium (57), we consider the equation $R_{\pm} = FR_{ik}$ and examine the cases $F > 1$ (i.e. $R_{\pm} > R_{ik}$) and $F < 1$ (i.e. $R_{\pm} < R_{ik}$).

We found that for $F < 1$ the internal part of the contour approaches the mid-plane, where $R_{ik}$ and $R_{\pm}$ are too small and $L_{xy}/L_z$ too close to 1 for significant growth to occur. The external part moves instead further outward in regions not physically relevant.

More interesting is the case $F > 1$, in which the internal lines of the contour move away from the mid-plane and $R_{ik}, R_{\pm}$ and $L_{xy}/L_z$ increase substantially. The external lines instead move closer to the mid-plane. All such contours are highly unstable. In Fig. 4 we present a close up of the internal lines of the contour pertaining to $F = 10$ with the corresponding growth rates given in Table 4, where as well can be found the ones relative to the cases $F = 3, 5$. In Table 5 we present the growth rates relative to the cases $F = 5, 10, 20$ at representative locations on the external lines of the contours. We notice that for all contours with $F > 1$ we have $R_{ik}(L_{xy}/L_z) > R_{\pm}$. From this the instability stems, in agreement with condition (42).

Again, it is important to ascertain the sign of the angular momentum transport, which turns out to be not definitive for slower growth rates and negative for faster ones.

The linear theory therefore predicts inward transport of angular momentum in the external layers of the disc or for larger radii at robust rates and indefinite sign in the interior.

Figure 4. Close-up of the contour $R_{\pm} = 10R_{ik} = 0$ in the proximity of the mid-plane for profile (57) ($p = -1.5$ and $q = -0.3$).

Table 4. Growth rates $s_d$ for equilibrium (57) along the internal lines of contour $R_{\pm} = FR_{ik}$ in the case of thermal diffusion for $p = -1.5, q = -0.3, k_x = k_z = 1, A = 0.1$ and $A_z = 0.001$. ‘ND’ stays for not definite.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$F$</th>
<th>$r/R_0$</th>
<th>$z/R_0$</th>
<th>$R_i$</th>
<th>$l_{xk}$/$l_{wx}$</th>
<th>$\eta$</th>
<th>$s_d$</th>
<th>$W_{xy}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.207</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.414</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.081</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.805</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.345</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.081</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Growth rates $s_d$ for equilibrium (57) along external lines of the contour $R_{\pm} = FR_{ik}$ in the case of thermal diffusion for $p = -1.5, q = -0.3, k_x = k_z = 1, A = 0.1$ and $A_z = 0.001$. ‘ND’ stays for not definite.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$F$</th>
<th>$r/R_0$</th>
<th>$z/R_0$</th>
<th>$R_i$</th>
<th>$l_{xk}$/$l_{wx}$</th>
<th>$\eta$</th>
<th>$s_d$</th>
<th>$W_{xy}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>-3.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>Decay</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2 Profile with $R_{ik} < 0$

We consider here an equilibrium with mid-plane density identical to the one discussed in the previous section (equation (56)) and a temperature profile of the type

$$T(R,z) = T_0 \left( \frac{R}{R_0} \right)^q \left( 1 + \frac{z^2}{H_0^2} \right)^{-1},$$

(66)
which corresponds for an ideal gas to
\[ c_s^2(R, z) = c_0^2 \left( \frac{R}{R_0} \right)^q \left( 1 + \frac{z^2}{H_0^2} \right)^{-1}. \] (67)

Solving the equilibrium equations (59) and (60) we have
\[ \rho(R, z) = \rho_0 \left( \frac{R}{R_0} \right)^p \left( 1 + \frac{z^2}{H_0^2} \right) \exp \left( -\frac{\gamma G M}{c_s^2(R/R_0)^q} \frac{1}{H_0^2} \left[ \frac{2R^2 - H_0^2}{R} \right. \right. \]
\[ \left. \left. - \frac{2R^2 + z^2 - H_0^2}{\sqrt{R^2 + z^2 - H_0^2}} \right] \right), \] (68)
\[ \Omega^2(R, z) = \Omega_K^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{(1 + z^2/R^2)^{3/2}} \right. \]
\[ \left. + \frac{H_0^2}{R^2} + \frac{q}{\gamma} + \frac{1}{H_0^2} \left( 1 + \frac{z^2}{H_0^2} \right)^{-1} \right. \]
\[ \times \left[ q \left( \frac{2R^2 + z^2 - H_0^2}{(1 + z^2/R^2)^{3/2}} - (2R^2 - H_0^2) \right) \right. \]
\[ \left. - \left( \frac{2R^2 + 3z^2 + H_0^2}{(1 + z^2/R^2)^{3/2}} - (2R^2 + H_0^2) \right) \right]. \] (69)

This profile could be useful to study the interaction of vertical shear and vertical convective instabilities. In Fig. 5 we show the graph of the vertical Richardson number corresponding to this equilibrium.

4 SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

We described the convective stability of a fully stratified disc. We have considered the sector of the dispersion relation derived by Urpin (2003) pertaining to the regime \( |R_i| \geq |R_s| \) and \( |k_i| \sim |k_s| \) finding that even in the case of \( R_i > 0 \) and \( R_s > 0 \) the disc can be destabilized for values of the ratio \( L_S/R_S \) significantly away from 1. We presented as well an equilibrium profile where this condition can be realized. The instability is very strong in the outer layers or for larger radii with inward transport of angular momentum occurring there, while in internal layers growth is more contained with indefinite sign of \( W_{xy} \).

The overall picture arising is one where an astrophysical disc is potentially teeming with instabilities of different origins. Perturbations with \( |k_i| \gg |k_s| \) grow due to the VSI (Urpin 2003; Nelson et al. 2013) or the vertical convective instability \( (R_i < 0) \), if present, or a combination of the two (Volponi 2014). On the other hand the ones with \( |k_i| \sim |k_s| \) are subject to growth in zones of the disc where the condition \( R_i < 0 \) (Urpin 2003; Klahr & Hubbard 2014) pertains. For the intermediate regime \( |k_i| \sim |k_s| \) the disc can be destabilized owing to the hybrid Richardson number \( R_i = \text{sgn}(k_i k_s) (|L_S|/R_S^2) |R_i| + (|L_i|/L_S) R_i \).

One important point concerns the sign of the angular momentum transport associated with linear perturbations. Linear theory predicts outward transport for the VSI, inward transport for vertical convection and no definite sign for the convective overstability. For the hybrid convective instability here presented we mentioned above that the sign is either negative or non-definite. In the studies of Klahr & Hubbard (2014) and Lyra (2014) the convective overstability was identified as the triggering mechanism for the SBI. In those studies in the non-linear regime the \( \alpha \) parameter was found to be of the order of \( 10^{-3} \) and inducing an outward transport of angular momentum. In this sense it appears that an inherently non-linear mechanism is at work in determining the outward direction of the transport, since the sign of \( W_{xy} \) is not definite for the convective overstability. The Reynolds stress of linear perturbations, \( W_{xy} \), is usually a good indicator of the direction of the angular momentum transport in the non-linear regimes. This happens for the magnetorotational, vertical shear and vertical convective instabilities for which linear and non-linear Reynolds stresses have the same sign: positive for the first two instabilities and negative for the third one.

The ratio \( L_S/R_S \) plays a central role in the instability mechanism under consideration. In the equilibrium of Section 3.1 its scaling for \( R > R_0 \) is given with good approximation by
\[ L_S/R_S \sim \frac{\gamma A^{-1}}{q + p(1 - \gamma)} \frac{R}{R_0} \left( z/H_0 \right)^2. \] (70)

At height \( z \neq 0 \), \( L_S/R_S \) increases linearly with \( R \), whereas it becomes zero at \( z = 0 \). We expect, therefore, \( L_S \gg L_z \) at large radii and \( L_S \gg L_S \) at the mid-plane. As well, when \( z \to 0 \) we obtain the limits \( L_S \sim 1/z \to \infty \) and \( R_i \sim z^2 \to 0 \). It follows that the mid-plane is a region where the condition \( R_i = 0 \) holds.

We conclude by summarizing the three ingredients necessary for the onset of the instability here investigated. The first is the presence in the disc’s domain of surfaces \( R_i \approx 0 \). The second is a not-too-small value of the Richardson numbers on these surfaces. Finally, a ratio \( L_S/R_S \) significantly away from 1 is required there. Roughly, growth occurs when either \( L_i(L_S/R_S) \) or \( R_i(L_S/L_S) \) is of the order of 1. The equilibrium here discussed fulfils the three conditions above specified. However, for a similar equilibrium temperature, i.e. \( T(R, z) \approx T_0(R/R_0)^q \left[ 1 + (z^2/H_0^2)(R/R_0)^{-3} \right]^{1/2} \), we noticed that both \( R_i(L_S/L_S) \) and \( R_i(L_S/L_S) \) are always much smaller than 1 and therefore growth, when present, is weak (we obtained characteristic growth rates of about 0.005 at \( p = -1.5 \) and \( q = -0.5 \)). A systematic analysis of realistic equilibria, which are susceptible to destabilization owing to the hybrid Richardson number, is a matter which is left open by the present study and which will be worth investigating in the future.
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\[ \frac{1}{L_{SR}} = \frac{z}{z^2 + H_0^2} + \frac{(\gamma - 1)GM}{c_0^2(R^2 + z^2)^{3/2}}. \]  
\[ \frac{1}{L_{SZ}} = \frac{z}{z^2 + H_0^2} + \frac{(\gamma - 1)GM}{c_0^2(R^2 + z^2)^{3/2}}. \]

The radial and vertical Richardson numbers are easily expressed as

\[ Ri_R = \frac{1}{\gamma - 1} L_{SR} \left( \frac{1}{L_{SR}} - \frac{\partial_R f}{f} \right), \]

\[ Ri_z = \frac{1}{\gamma - 1} L_{SZ} \left( \frac{1}{L_{SZ}} - \frac{\partial_z g}{g} \right). \]

We can as well express all the above quantities by means of the non-dimensional variables \( \sigma = R/R_0 \) and \( \zeta = z/H_0 \) obtaining

\[ \frac{R_0}{L_{SR}} = \frac{q + p(1 - \gamma)}{\gamma \sigma} + \frac{1 - \gamma}{\sigma^{\gamma + 3}(\sigma^2 - A^2)} \times \left[ q \left( \frac{\sqrt{1 + \zeta^2}}{\sqrt{1 + A^2/\sigma^2}} - 1 \right) + \frac{1}{(\sigma^2 - A^2)} \right] \times \left( 3\sigma^2 + (2\zeta^2 - 1)A^2 \right) \times \left( 1 + A^2 + \frac{A^2}{\sigma^2} \right)^{3/2} \left( 1 + \zeta^2 - (3\sigma^2 - A^2) \right), \]

\[ \frac{R_0}{L_{SZ}} = A^{-1} \left[ \frac{\zeta}{1 + \zeta^2} + \frac{\gamma - 1}{(1 + A^2/\sigma^2)^{3/2} \sigma^{\gamma + 3} \sqrt{1 + \zeta^2}} \right], \]

\[ Ri_R = \frac{A^2 \sigma^{\gamma + 3} \sqrt{1 + \zeta^2}}{\gamma - 1} \left( \frac{R_0^2}{L_{SR}^2} - \frac{R_0}{L_{SR}} \frac{q}{\sigma} \right), \]

\[ Ri_z = \frac{A \sigma^{\gamma + 3} \sqrt{1 + \zeta^2}}{\gamma - 1} \left( A \frac{R_0^2}{L_{SZ}^2} - \frac{R_0}{L_{SZ}} \frac{q}{\zeta} \right). \]