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This paper presents the investigation of engine optimisation when plastic pyrolysis oil (PPO) is used as the primary fuel of a direct injection diesel engine. Our previous investigation revealed that PPO is a promising fuel; however, the results suggested that control parameters should be optimised in order to obtain a better engine performance. In the present work, the injection timing was advanced, and fuel additives were utilised to overcome the issues experienced in the previous work. In addition, spray characteristics of PPO were investigated in comparison with diesel to provide in-depth understanding of the engine behaviour. The experimental results on advanced injection timing (AIT) showed reduced brake thermal efficiency and increased carbon monoxide, unburned hydrocarbons, and nitrogen oxides emissions in comparison to standard injection timing. On the other hand, the addition of fuel additive resulted in higher engine efficiency and lower exhaust emissions. Finally, the spray tests revealed that the spray tip penetration for PPO is faster than diesel. The results suggested that AIT is not a preferable option while fuel additive is a promising solution for long-term use of PPO in diesel engines.

1. Introduction

Human developments have been coupled with the evolution of the extraction, use, and disposal of natural resources. The way in which waste is disposed has changed dramatically over the last decades, as have attitudes towards waste reduction, reuse, and recycling, as well as recovering energy from waste. Energy from waste can be recovered through the pyrolysis process which converts the waste into oil and gas. Plastic is a type of waste that is plentiful and can be used effectively due to the high energy content. The conversion products can be used in internal combustion engines to produce power and heat. The effect of plastic pyrolysis oil (PPO) in diesel engines has been studied by various authors mainly in blends with diesel in single cylinder engines [1–8]. The investigations showed that diesel engines can run stable on medium PPO-diesel blend rates but with lower brake thermal efficiency and higher exhaust emissions (NOx, UHC, and CO). Due to the lower quality of the PPO in comparison with diesel fuel, one or a combination of the following ways/processes has to be applied in order to achieve stable engine performance at higher PPO-diesel blend rates or without diesel: upgrade of the oil, modification of the engine, and addition of fuel additives.

One of the most important engine parameters is the injection timing. The effect of injection timing (IT) in alternative fuels based on waste plastics has been studied in single cylinder diesel engines and the results are promising. Mani and Nagarajan [9] have investigated the effect of retarded IT on diesel engines running on waste plastic pyrolysis oil (PPO). The results showed that the unburned hydrocarbon, oxides of nitrogen, and carbon monoxide emissions are decreasing while the carbon dioxide emission and brake thermal efficiency are increasing. Another research on a fuel blend of 20% tyre pyrolysis oil and 80% of jatropha ester revealed that the advanced IT results in lower fuel consumption, carbon monoxide, unburned hydrocarbon, and particulate matter emissions whereas the oxides of nitrogen are increasing.
[10]. Finally, Wamankar and Murugan conducted a research using a blend of 90% diesel and 10% waste tyre oil. The results showed that the brake thermal efficiency and oxides of nitrogen emission were higher while the fuel consumption, carbon monoxide, and unburned hydrocarbon emissions were lower when running on advanced IT [11].

On the other hand, fuel additives are preferable in the case of good quality oil that needs to boost a property such as cetane number or lubricity in order to improve the engine performance. Diethyl ether is an organic compound with high cetane number, which has been used in research as a cetane number improver. Devaraj et al. [12] investigated the effect of diethyl ether on waste plastic pyrolysis oil used as fuel for a single cylinder diesel engine. The results showed reduction in ignition delay period, heat release rate, cylinder peak pressure, carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide, and oxides of nitrogen emissions while the brake thermal efficiency and unburned hydrocarbon emissions were increased. Another research on tyre pyrolysis oil blended with diethyl ether on a single cylinder research engine revealed that the ignition delay period, unburned hydrocarbon, and oxides of nitrogen emissions are reducing with the addition of diethyl ether whereas the brake thermal efficiency is increasing [13].

What has not been investigated yet in larger diesel engines is the advanced IT by using PPO in blends with diesel and the use of a fuel additive to improve the engine's performance when running on PPO. Moreover, the spray characteristics from oil that derives from the pyrolysis of plastics have not been determined yet. Our previous investigation on the conversion of the waste plastics into oil, gas, and char is taking place in the pyrolysis plant. More specifically, the plant consists of the primary and secondary chambers, where the plastics are purged with carbon dioxide to ensure that no oxygen is transferred into the next chamber which is the conversion chamber. The conversion chamber is maintained at a temperature of 900°C and the plastics are converted into gas and char. Finally, the gas is passed into a condenser, where it is cooled, and pyrolysis oil is separated out. The basic properties of PPO benchmarked with diesel and the test methods which were used to determine them are presented in Table 1. More information can be found about the plastics, produced oil, and gas composition in our previous publication [14].

Although the precise cetane number of the PPO is not provided in the table, it was clearly observed from our previous investigation that the combustion delay was considerably extended with higher PPO blending ratio, which suggests that PPO has lower cetane number than diesel [14].

### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Conversion Process and Fuel Properties

The conversion of the waste plastics into oil, gas, and char is taking place in the pyrolysis plant. More specifically, the plant consists of the primary and secondary chambers, where the plastics are purged with carbon dioxide to ensure that no oxygen is transferred into the next chamber which is the conversion chamber. The conversion chamber is maintained at a temperature of 900°C and the plastics are converted into gas and char. Finally, the gas is passed into a condenser, where it is cooled, and pyrolysis oil is separated out. The basic properties of PPO benchmarked with diesel and the test methods which were used to determine them are presented in Table 1. More information can be found about the plastics, produced oil, and gas composition in our previous publication [14].

In order to reduce the ignition delay period, the cetane number of the fuel should be increased. One of the main cetane number improver additives manufactured today is the 2-ethylhexyl nitrate (2-EHN). It should be mentioned that 2-EHN can reduce lubricity so it is important to add a lubricant additive. Table 2 shows the composition of the commercial fuel additive that was used in the experiments. The commercial fuel additive was chosen according to the primary functions of reducing the ignition delay period (cetane number improver), increasing the injectors' lubrication, and cleaning and removing the deposits from the combustion chambers.

#### 2.2. Diesel Engine Experimental Setup

The diesel engine that is used to conduct the experiments is a four-cylinder, direct injection, turbocharged water-cooled diesel engine. Figure 1 presents the schematic layout of the experimental setup and Table 3 shows the engine's specifications. The engine is mated to an alternator and then to a load bank to control the load of...
the engine. Furthermore, several sensors are used to monitor the engine’s performance and a gas analyser that can measure the exhaust emissions (CO, CO₂, NOx, and UHC) as it can be seen in Figure 1.

The engine was started and run for 30 minutes on diesel to warm-up and stabilise the oil and coolant temperatures and then it was switched on the desired fuel blend and run for 5 minutes before the data acquisition was started. The flow-meter measurements, manifold pressure, temperatures, and exhaust emissions data were taken for a period of five minutes and the average values were calculated. As regards the combustion analysis, 100 consecutive cycles were acquired from the in-cylinder pressure sensor and the average was calculated. In addition, the heat release rate was calculated from (1) by using the in-cylinder pressure data and the crank angle encoder readings.

\[
\frac{dQ}{d\theta} = \frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1} p \frac{dV}{d\theta} + \frac{1}{\gamma - 1} V \frac{dp}{d\theta},
\]

where \(dQ/d\theta\) is the net heat release rate (J/°CA), \(\gamma\) is the ratio of the specific heats, \(p\) is the cylinder pressure (Pa), and \(V\) is the cylinder volume (m³). In this study, a constant value of 1.35 was used for \(\gamma\). After the end of the data acquisition, the engine was switched back to diesel and run for 30 minutes to flush out the fuel lines and the injection system from the pyrolysis oil.

2.3. Spray Test Experimental Setup. The spray characteristics tests were carried out on a constant volume, high pressure chamber. The chamber was equipped with two windows on two sides in order to have optical access for the spray visualization. Moreover, the background pressure of the chamber was controlled at 5 bar. The diagram in Figure 2 shows the experimental setup for the spray test rig.

The fuel injection system was composed of a fuel tank, a fuel pump that was able to adjust the injection pressure up to 500 bar, an injector, and an electronic control unit (ECU). The signal from the ECU triggers the injector and the high speed camera, achieving synchronization of the spray visualization. The injector used in the experiments was a single-hole solenoid injector. Two different nozzle holes’ diameters of 0.12 mm and 0.18 mm were used in the
experiments. Moreover, two different injection pressures of 300 bar and 450 bar were tested at every nozzle diameter size. The reason that the injection pressures were not tested at higher values is because the PPO is going to be used in diesel engines for stationary power and heat generation, which are usually equipped with mechanical injectors.

For the spray macroscopic characteristic investigation (spray tip penetration, spray cone angle, and spray area) a light source was used on one side and a high speed camera on the other. The high speed camera (Dantec Dynamics, Speedsense) was set up to record the spray images with an imaging speed of 60,000 frames per second and resolution of 256 × 256 pixels. In order to ensure the reliability of the results every experiment was repeated five times on each test condition. After that, the images were processed for further analysis of the spray characteristics. A program was written in MATLAB software, where a batch of spray images was able to get analysed at once and provide the spray tip penetration, spray cone angle, and spray area versus the time after the start of injection.

\section{Results and Discussion}

\subsection{Advanced Injection Timing}
In this section are presented and discussed the experimental results obtained from the engine by running on advanced IT (AIT) with a blend of 75\% plastic pyrolysis oil and 25\% diesel (PPO 75) at 75\% and 100\% engine loads which represent 9.47 bar and 12.63 bar of BMEP, respectively. The results of AIT (−23°CA bTDC) are compared with the standard IT (SIT) operation (−18° CA bTDC). The investigation is focused on the combustion characteristics, engine performance, and exhaust emission analysis. Moreover, the spray characteristics of PPO are analysed and compared with diesel.

Figure 3 shows the cylinder pressure with crank angle at 75\% load. It can be seen that the AIT results in much higher in-cylinder pressure in comparison with SIT. This behaviour can be explained due to the earlier start of combustion on smaller cylinder volume. More specifically, the peak cylinder pressure for PPO 75 AIT at 75\% load is advanced by 2.9° CA in comparison with the SIT operation. This result indicates that the ignition delay period of the PPO 75 AIT was even longer, resulting in better air-fuel mixing. The AIT is not sufficient to provide smaller ignition delay period due to the lower in-cylinder temperatures and pressures at the earlier °CA of the compression stroke.

The heat release rate (HRR) for the diesel and PPO 75 at SIT and AIT is presented in Figure 4. It can be seen from Figure 4 that the PPO 75 at AIT results in much higher HRR in comparison with SIT operation. The main reason for that is the longer ignition delay in the case of AIT. More specifically, the AIT of 5° CA degrees results in advance start of combustion of only 0.8° CA correlated to SIT. The longer ignition delay of AIT contributes to the better fuel atomisation and fuel-air mixing by allowing longer air-fuel mixing time which will reduce the local rich-fuel zones where the equivalence ratio (\(\phi\)) is greater than 1. Furthermore,
fuels with high aromatic content as PPO tend to have higher adiabatic flame temperature because of the ring structure. The high adiabatic flame temperature results in higher heat release rate [14, 15]. Consequently, the higher portion of premixed combustion resulted in more violent combustion and higher HRR and in-cylinder peak pressure.

Figure 5 shows the brake thermal efficiency for diesel, PPO 75 SIT, and PPO 75 AIT at 75% load. It can be observed that the BTE is reducing from 32.3% on PPO 75 SIT operation to 30.7% on PPO 75 AIT. The main reason for the lower BTE is the longer ignition delay period which contributes to the increase of the fuel impingement on the cylinders walls. Consequently, the fuel that takes part in the effective combustion on the expansion stroke is less. Furthermore, the air-fuel mixing is enhanced by the longer ignition delay resulting in extremely high HRR early on the expansion stroke and less effective energy conversion of the heat to power on the cylinder. Finally, the lower BTE can be explained due to the advanced start of combustion which results in increased heat transfer losses to the cylinders walls.

Figure 6 illustrates the normalized values of the carbon monoxide (CO), unburned hydrocarbons (UHC), and nitrogen oxides (NOx) emissions for the engine operation on diesel, PPO 75 SIT, and PPO 75 AIT. The Y-axis (normalized emissions) shows the variation of the values in comparison with the diesel operation which has been set as the baseline point of 1. Moreover, on the top of every column is written the actual value of the emissions.

It can be noticed from Figure 6 that the CO emissions increase considerably for the AIT operation (almost double in comparison to diesel with standard injection timing). CO emissions are mainly affected by the equivalence ratio and temperature, and it is a sign of incomplete combustion [15]. This result indicates that the combustion performance is more deficient and incomplete in the case of PPO 75 AIT. The longer ignition delay period results in the formation of local fuel-rich zones (crevices and cylinder walls) which are not able to oxidize to form CO$_2$. The main reasons for the UHC emissions on the exhaust are the flame quenching and the undermixing or overleaning zones [15–17]. According to Figure 6 the UHC emissions increased dramatically in the case of AIT. It is believed that the longer ignition delay enhances the formation of local rich-fuel zones on the cylinder walls which are not able to burn completely. The elevated fuel consumption of the PPO 75 AIT also contributes to the increased UHC emissions. Finally, the NOx emissions are greatly affected by the change of the injection timing. More specifically, the NOx emissions of the PPO 75 AIT are almost double in comparison with diesel and 953 ppm higher with PPO 75 SIT. In combustion theory, there are three NOx production mechanisms: the thermal NO formation, the prompt NO, and the NO formation from the nitrogen in the fuel [15, 18]. In diesel engines the mechanism that produces the higher amount of NOx is mainly the thermal mechanism due to the elevated temperatures and high oxygen availability. The effect of the thermal mechanism is even higher in the case
of the PPO 75 AIT due to the longer ignition delay. The longer ignition delay results in more homogeneous air-fuel mixture, higher in-cylinder pressures, in-cylinder temperatures, and heat release rates.

Figure 7 depicts the spray tip penetration for diesel and PPO for nozzle diameters of 0.12 mm and 0.18 mm and injection pressures of 300 bar and 450 bar (the bars show the standard error). The distance between the injector exit and the spray tip is defined as the spray tip penetration [19]. It can be seen that the impact of injection pressure is higher for the nozzle diameter of 0.18 mm in comparison with the 0.12 mm. As regards the differences between the diesel and PPO, it can be noticed that the spray tip penetration of PPO is faster for both 300 and 450 bar injection for the 0.12 mm nozzle diameter. On the other hand, the spray tip penetration is almost identical for the nozzle of 0.18 mm diameter. The results from the macroscopic spray characteristics analysis suggest that PPO has longer penetration, which means more chance to wet the wall which will lead to higher CO and UHC emissions. In addition to advanced injection, the in-cylinder pressure is lower at the time of injection, which will enhance the wall-wetting effect. It seems that the use of a larger hole at lower injection pressure would help; however it will increase particle emissions.

3.2. Fuel Additive. In this section are presented the experimental results obtained from the engine by running on PPO 75 blended with a commercial fuel additive at two different ratios of 1:80 and 1:40. The composition of the fuel additive is presented in Table 2. The blend results are compared with diesel and PPO 75 operation at 85% load which represents 10.74 bar of BMEP. The investigation is focused on the combustion characteristics, engine performance, and exhaust emission analysis.

Figure 8 illustrates the cylinder pressure with crank angle for diesel, PPO 75, and PPO 75 with two different ratios of fuel additive at 85% load. It can be observed that the cylinder pressure reaches higher values in the case of PPO operations in comparison with diesel. The main reason for that is the longer ignition delay of PPO 75 that results in later start of combustion during the compression stroke (closer to the TDC). Moreover, the longer ignition delay enhances the air-fuel mixing producing faster expansion of the combustion. The fuel additive addition marginally reduces the ignition delay period and the peak cylinder pressure. This happens due to the cetane improver that is contained in the fuel additive.

The HRR for diesel and PPO 75 fuel additive blends at 85% load is presented in Figure 9. It can be clearly seen that
the higher the fuel additive addition the shorter the ignition delay period. At the same time, the peak HRR is reducing, resulting in a smoother, less violent combustion and more similar to diesel profile. However, it can still be observed the two-phase combustion for the PPO 75 fuel additive blends due to the longer ignition delay period which enhances the premixed combustion portion. It is worth mentioning at this point that the engine’s noise was better as the ratio of the fuel additive was increasing. The knock effect is a very important factor for the engine’s operational life. The reduction of the knock effect achieves the increase of the engine’s operational life. In order to eliminate the knock effect the addition of a proper cetane number improver seems to be unavoidable in the case of PPO 75.

Figure 10 depicts the variation of BTE for diesel, PPO 75, PPO 75 1:80, and PPO 75 1:40 at 85% load. According to the figure, there is an improvement of the BTE by increasing the amount of the fuel additive. More specifically, the BTE increases from 32.8% to 33.2% with a blend ratio of 1:80 and to 33.5% with a ratio of 1:40 fuel additive. This result indicates that the earlier start of combustion improves the conversion of heat (released from the fuel) to kinetic energy on the pistons. There is still room for improvement which is possible to be covered by adding a dedicated cetane number fuel additive.

Figure 11 presents the normalized values of the carbon monoxide (CO), unburned hydrocarbons (UHC), and nitrogen oxides (NOx) emissions for the engine operation on diesel, PPO 75, PPO 75 1:80, and PPO 75 1:40. It can be observed from the experimental results that the CO emissions decrease with the addition of the fuel additive, but they still remain much higher in comparison with diesel. This result indicates that the fuel additive enhances the combustion performance and more carbon atoms are able to oxidize and form CO$_2$. Moreover, the shorter ignition delay period results in less impingement of the fuel on the cylinder walls and local fuel-rich zones. As regards the UHC emissions, it can be noticed from Figure 11 that there is a slight decrease with the addition of the fuel additive, but UHC emissions are still significantly higher than diesel operation. The shorter ignition delay period reduces the amount of fuel that impinges on the cylinder walls; therefore, less rich-fuel regions are developed. Finally, according to the experimental results presented in Figure 11, NOx emissions do not seem to get affected significantly by the addition of the fuel additive. Maybe this is a result of two different processes which result in the same total amount of NOx emission. The addition of the fuel additive reduces the ignition delay period resulting in less homogeneous air-fuel mixing (lower in-cylinder temperatures and lower NOx). At the same time the fuel additive improves the combustion even in rich-fuel zones resulting in elevated in-cylinder temperatures and NOx emissions. Consequently, the total amount of NOx emissions remains the same.
4. Conclusions

An experimental investigation was carried out to analyse and understand the combustion, performance, and emission characteristics of a diesel engine running on advanced injection timing and standard injection timing with the addition of a fuel additive on oil which derives from the pyrolysis of waste plastics. The following conclusions can be drawn from the test results:

(i) The engine was able to operate at AIT on PPO 75 at 75% load but with longer ignition delay, higher cylinder peak pressure, and higher heat release rate in comparison with the PPO 75 SIT operation.

(ii) The addition of the fuel additive reduces the ignition delay period, cylinder peak pressure, and peak heat release rate. As a result, the brake thermal efficiency, CO, UHC, and NOx emissions are all improved.

(iii) The engine's thermal efficiency decreases with the AIT and all measured emissions, including CO, UHC, and NOx, increase with AIT. The spray test revealed that the PPO spray has a longer tip penetration, which explained why AIT is not a preferable solution.

The testing results suggest that for both long-term and short-term operation, the AIT is not preferable as the engine performance declines. As regards to the fuel additive engine testing, the results suggest that the use of a dedicated cetane number fuel additive would achieve even better combustion performance (similar to diesel).

Nomenclature

PPO 75: 75% plastic pyrolysis oil + 25% diesel fuel
SIT: Standard injection timing
AIT: Advanced injection timing
bTDC: Before top dead centre
NOx: Nitrogen oxides
PM: Particulate matter
CO: Carbon monoxide
CO2: Carbon dioxide
UHC: Unburned hydrocarbon
LHV: Lower heating value
BMEP: Brake mean effective pressure
HRR: Heat release rate
\( \varphi \): Equivalence ratio
BTE: Brake thermal efficiency.
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