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INTRODUCTION

Some significant changes have taken place in the global economy in recent decades impacting dramatically on the organization of work. The so-called “productive restructuring” has come with a growth of precarious work, work intensification, reduction in employment rates, flexibility of working times and off-hours not necessarily favorable to the wellbeing of workers. The global economic adjustments have also resulted in the weakening of labor unions, increase of informal jobs and structural unemployment in many countries (Kawachi, 2008; Quinlan et al., 2001; Santana, 2012). In addition, we face increasing age of the working population and the permanent presence of inequality at work and in health (Costa & Di Milia, 2008). Even in the select group of the so-called “developed industrial countries” we can often observe many cases of bad working conditions that contribute to the early development of work-related illnesses, both physical and mental, affecting not only the workers but also their families and social lives.

In 2007, The International Labor Organization published the document “Working time around the world: Trends in working hours, laws and policies in a global comparative perspective” (Lee et al., 2007). The authors noted the large variation in the length of working hours and resting times within work sectors and countries. According to these authors… “Adjustments of the duration of decent work must meet five criteria, all interconnected which is why the work arrangements should: preserve health and safety, be family-friendly, promote gender equality, enhance productivity, facilitate workers’ choice of their working hours”. For example, the rapid economic growth and productivity gains have contributed to rising wages in some Asian developing countries, but the benefits of growth have not translated into shorter work hours” (ILO, 2005). A recent report from ILO (2014) pointed out that “employment and social challenges remain acute in most emerging and developing countries. More than half of the developing world’s workers (i.e., nearly 1.5 billion people) are in vulnerable employment”.

Night and shiftwork, long and irregular working hours are usually associated with other environmental and organizational health risk factors (Caruso, 2014; Caruso et al, 2006; Harma & Kecklund, 2010).

In recent years, a significant number of publications have reported negative outcomes related with shiftwork/night work and/or length of working time associated with metabolic diseases, as well as cardiovascular diseases. However, many issues, including the increased risk of developing malignant tumors during night work are still controversial (Ijaz et al., 2013; Haus & Smolensky, 2013). Currently a number of cohort studies utilizing more comprehensive measures aim to look more closely at this outcome. This new evidence is needed to develop better protection of workers in addition to the usually recommended actions.

Shiftworkers and night workers can be at a severe disadvantage compared with day workers. In many cases, the former have to cope with multiple stressors associated with adverse work organization; they may also be exposed to other stressors- such as chemical contaminants, strenuous work activities combined with poor living conditions. As researchers we must look at the combined effects of several stressors in order to better understand and put into practice safer threshold exposure limits and prevent aggravation of work-related...
diseases and higher risks of work injuries. However, the literature still lacks such advanced studies. Here we would like to cite Giovanni Costa from 2010 who stated: “...it is necessary to apply a systemic approach, dealing with the different domains which in turn can affect the outcomes and address the interventions at their best, involving physiopathology, psychology, sociology, ergonomics, economics, politics, and ethics” (Costa, 2010). Moreno & Lowden (2014) confirmed this view when arguing in a recent editorial published by the Scandinavian Journal of Work Environment Health on workplace interventions to promote long-term health among shiftworkers. The authors wrote that “a broad variety of health promoting strategies are required to achieve long-term positive effects”.

For more than 40 years, The International Symposium on Shiftwork and Working Time meetings (formerly called the International Symposia of Shift and Night work), have been the major international scientific forum to present the results of studies in this area. The recent 21st International Symposium on Shiftwork and Working Time entitled “The 24/7 society: from chronobiology to practical life”, was jointly organized by the Working Time Society, the International Commission on Occupational Health (ICOH) Subcommittee Shiftwork and Working Time, University of Sao Paulo and Oswaldo Cruz Foundation. The meeting was held on 4–8 November 2013, at Costa do Sauipe, Bahia State, Brazil and during the symposium findings on a large variety of issues ranging from basic chronobiology to practical solutions at work were presented, in total 156 studies (Moreno et al., 2013). The workshops and oral sessions focused on: new trends of circadian disruption in animal models. Measurements of circadian entrainment in humans and laboratory and field studies. The authors noted the so-called “phasor analysis”. This analysis can be used in circadian disruption.

Breast cancer and shiftwork have been a rather controversial theme, raising a debate as to whether exposure to night work leading to circadian disruption and exposure to light at night leading to melatonin suppression are associated with increased risk of cancer (Haus & Smolensky, 2013; Ijaz et al., 2013; Viswanathan & Schernhammer, 2009; WHO/IARC, 2007). In this issue, Dumont & Paquet (2014) investigated the degree of melatonin suppression in a simulated night work experiment. Their findings confirm that melatonin production progressively decreased during consecutive days of night work, both during the night and over the 24h. According to previous experimental observations the decrease in melatonin production may reflect some circadian disruption.

Rea & Figueiro (2014) presented a method to quantify circadian disruption in humans and animal models, so-called “phasor analysis”. This analysis can be used in laboratory and field studies. The authors noted the importance of establishing a bridge between ecological measurements of circadian entrainment in humans and studies of circadian disruption in animal models.
Genetic variants and environmental factors are another avenue for research linking shiftwork and breast cancer. The GENICA study conducted in the greater region of Bonn, Germany by Rabstein et al. (2014), looked at associations between polymorphisms in circadian genes (CLOCK, NPAS2, ARNTL, PER2 and CRY2), genes of melatonin biosynthesis and signaling (AANAT and MTNR1B), breast cancer and shiftwork. Their results support the putative role of the circadian gene, CLOCK, in the development of breast cancer in shiftworkers. Other relevant genes associated with the outcome were MTNR1B, NPAS2 and ARNTL. The authors propose multivariable models to study polymorphisms that may influence chronotype or light sensitivity.

According to the literature, shiftwork is associated with a high prevalence of a number of non-communicable diseases, including obesity, metabolic syndrome and diabetes (Szollosi, 2010). A number of studies aiming to better understand the complex physiological mechanisms involved in this association have been conducted. Studies presented in this Special Issue provide new evidence of possible underlying mechanisms.

Balieiro et al. (2014) compared anthropometry and food intake patterns in bus drivers working during the day and night and found a high prevalence of inappropriate feeding practices, excess weight and abdominal obesity among drivers of both groups, but most of the observed problems were more associated with drivers on night work than day work. These results suggest that a night work schedule can lead to nutritional and metabolic problems that are associated with high morbidity and mortality, such as obesity and its associated comorbidities.

The study conducted by Mota et al. (2014) evaluated the relationship between sleep quality, adipokine levels and nutritional patterns in Brazilian resident physicians. The results of this study showed that resident physicians with poor sleep quality have lower levels of ghrelin, and that women experiencing excessive daytime sleepiness have lower levels of leptin. These observations indicate that the sleep patterns and long working hours of resident physicians are negatively associated with biological markers related to central food control and lipid profiles, as well as with eating healthy foods and cholesterol levels. These results suggest that the workload of resident shiftworkers can affect the intake of healthy foods and adversely impact the lipid profile that may in turn predispose these shiftworkers to become overweight and develop metabolic disorders. Therefore, it is reasonable to assume that in resident physicians a pattern of impaired sleep can lead to nutritional and metabolic changes that are associated with food intake of low quality.

Kantermann et al. (2014) conducted an exploratory pilot study to investigate the risk of metabolic abnormalities in steel workers employed in different shiftwork rotations (fast clockwise rotation, slow counterclockwise rotation, day workers). They hypothesized that the social jetlag arising from different shift schedules could significantly impact metabolic risk blood markers. As expected, shiftworkers had significantly more social jetlag than day workers. Fasting glucose and HOMA index were lowest in fast clockwise rotation shiftworkers, possibly pointing towards a healthier metabolic status in this group. Future studies should control the impact of food intake on these measures in the shiftwork environment. The findings from this pilot study support future studies of shift-workers in different shift rotations to further elucidate the interaction between work times, shift schedules, sleep and lifestyle.

In a systematic review Knutsson & Kempe (2014) studied the potential association between shiftwork and type 2 diabetes. They identified only seven epidemiological cohort studies on the association between shiftwork and diabetes and all the studies demonstrated increased risk of diabetes in shiftworkers, but in some of these studies the results were not statistically significant. Due to the low number of studies the evidence was graded as moderate. The findings indicate that our current knowledge about work schedules and diabetes is limited, probably one reason why national guidelines on diabetes do not include advice about how to manage problems related to diabetes and shiftwork.

Sleep, fatigue, performance and alertness
Sleep loss leads to fatigue, decreased performance and alertness, and consequently an increased accident risk.

Kosmadopoulos et al. (2014) performed a study to establish whether splitting the sleep–wake cycle has an effect on neurobehavioral performance or individuals’ predictions of their performance, and to determine the relative circadian and homeostatic contributions of any such effects. The authors designed forced desynchrony (FD) protocols where twenty-nine male participants lived in a time isolation laboratory for 13 d, assigned to one of two 28-h FD. The results suggest that, overall, splitting the sleep–wake schedule is not detrimental to neurobehavioral performance. Splitting sleep opportunities also did not appear to affect total sleep time. However, those participants in the split schedule obtained a greater amount of slow wave sleep. The longer sleep episodes in the standard schedule coincided with predictions of consistently better performance than the split schedule, despite only facilitating better performance for a few hours after waking. Despite this, and provided sleep opportunities are properly utilized, these findings suggest that shorter work–rest shift cycles (e.g. 6 h on/6 h off) may be satisfactorily implemented in place of longer work–rest shifts cycles (e.g. 12 h on/12 h off) to sustain performance at all times of the day.

In the same context, Jackson et al. (2014) conducted a study with 53 healthy male volunteers to compare a sleep split schedule, where the sleep opportunities were...
split evenly into two sleep periods, with two conditions in which sleep was consolidated into a single period (either daytime consolidated sleep and or nighttime consolidated sleep), to determine the effects of the sleep patterns on sleep, performance and subjective state. Overall, participants in the nighttime and split sleep conditions obtained significantly more total sleep time than participants in the daytime sleep condition. While there was no clear effect of sleep schedule on performance measures, participants in the daytime sleep condition reported higher levels of sleepiness both within the work shift and across the simulated working week compared to the other two conditions, with no difference between the split and nighttime sleep conditions. Results of the present laboratory study suggest that split sleep schedules may be a good alternative to a consolidated daytime sleep in industries that allow for this kind of flexibility in their scheduling. On the other hand, further research in real-world situations is warranted to fully assess the efficacy of alternative split sleep schedules for improving safety and productivity.

The pursuit of excellence in performance already established in many areas of the world of work has also been applied in the field of sports (Barnett, 2006; Malcata & Hopkins, 2014). It is feasible to assume that the effects of training sessions on sleep and fatigue among athletes are similar to those observed in real-life workers. The amount of sleep needed to reach or maintain high levels of performance has not yet been well-studied among elite athletes. Sargent et al. (2014) conducted a study with elite athletes to assess the impact of the training sessions on their sleep and fatigue. Differences in athletes’ sleep behavior on training and rest days were observed; and a significant inverse effect of sleep duration on pre-training fatigue levels was noted. Not surprisingly findings from this study suggest that the amount of sleep of elite athletes is mediated by their training schedule. These results suggest that coaches need to be better informed about sleep–wake behavior in order to design adequate training schedules.

Other important aspect related to fatigue, decreased performance and alertness is the shift starting time. Lombardi et al. (2014) evaluated the impact of shift starting time on sleep duration and sleep quality, and the reported alertness/sleepiness of adults at the time of their injury in a multi-city epidemiological field study among hospitalized adults with severe work-related hand injury in China. The results suggest that sleep duration is shortest among injured adults starting shifts late at night and early in the morning. However, with more than 8.5 h of sleep on average work days, the Chinese workers slept much longer than typical US day workers.

**Lifestyle and work-related stress**

Recommendations for better designing of work schedules have long been suggested (Knauth, 1998; Knauth & Hornberger, 2003). One of the recommendations is to implement fast rotating shift schedules with enough time to rest in-between shifts. To establish shift-related differences in wellbeing and stress among nurses Costa et al. (2014) compared the effects of 2–3 × 8 h shift rotas with backward rotation and quick return, to a 2 × 12 h shift schedule with forward rotation. Sleep–wake timing, cortisol, reported sleep quality and sleepiness were evaluated in a subset of participants. Nurses working on a 2 × 12 h rota showed reduced sleep disturbances, better recovery and more satisfying leisure time. However, the authors cautioned that the longer 12 h shifts may induce higher fatigue, and that interaction of the work context and social organization with shift schedules needs to be considered.

Another study of healthcare professionals aiming to investigate the association of shiftwork, job strain and heart rate variability was conducted by Karhula et al. (2014). Former studies in this field have not been conclusive. The participants of the present study were recruited from The Finnish Public Sector Study, Female health care professionals working in three shiftwork schedules self-reported their job strain using the Karasek’s JCQ questionnaire. A subset of this large sample was invited to participate in a field study. Actigraphy and 24 h heart rate variability (HRV) measurements were conducted. No significant differences in HRV were detected before and during 30 min of sleep in the low and high job strain groups. These results suggest that exposures to stressful work environments are not necessarily associated with differences in HRV parameters.

Psychosocial factors at work include a number of variables, such as work demands, work pace, work control, social support that can affect workers’ health (ILO/WHO, 1984). The combined effects of the shiftwork organization, age and lack of control at work were investigated by Loudoun et al. (2014) among Australian miners and their partners. Results showed that for older workers (50 years or more) low control over shift scheduling result in more sleep disturbances. Misalignment between shiftworkers and their partners work schedules contributed to dissatisfaction and imbalance of shiftworkers’ lives. All these factors were associated with more sleep disturbances. The authors stressed the importance of integrating work and non-work spheres particularly among older workers.

A balance between work and non-work hours is highly recommended. Working shifts can lead to less time available to perform physical activities during leisure time. Peplonska et al. (2014) studied a group of Polish nurses and midwives engaged in rotating night shiftwork. The aim of the study was to investigate the association between the shift schedule, occupational and non-occupational physical activities using the IPAQ questionnaire. The findings showed that physical activity was higher during night work. However, lower leisure time activity was associated with night work.
CONCLUDING REMARKS

The collection of the 17 papers published in this Special Issue of Chronobiology International submitted after the International Symposium of Shiftwork and Working Time continues a good tradition that started in 2004. The papers derive from a variety of topics reflecting the comprehensiveness of the discipline – Shiftwork and Working Time. Still there are controversies, particularly related to the effects of working time on chronic diseases, such as cancer, diabetes, hypertension, etc. We need to have better data on exposure to working time and working time patterns in epidemiological studies. The role of genetic polymorphisms in the etiology of cancer and its interaction with shiftwork begin to be revealed. The work context, as shown in some of the papers published herein, exerts influence in the outcomes, interacting with shiftwork schedules.

The current policies for working times including irregular work schedules, overtime and supplemental work from home during time off, can have detrimental health effects as showed by a large European survey. Several papers point to new avenues of research, such as those including the interaction between occupational and non-occupational variables. However, more intervention studies are required.

Important questions remain unanswered. The social and individual factors as determinants of workers’ health, as well as health inequalities, still remain in large numbers of the global working population. Effects of poor work organization upon living and social conditions aggravate health and wellbeing.

Finally we wish to remember that we lost three important researchers that were closely related with our discipline: Prof. Israel Ashkenazi, Prof. Franz Halberg and Prof. Erhard Haus. All of them left a significant contribution in the area of Chronobiology. They will be remembered and missed by many of their friends and colleagues, as well as their legacy!
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