The long-term reproductive health consequences of female genital cutting in rural Gambia: A community-based survey

Linda Morison [1], Caroline Scherf [2], Gloria Ekpo [3], Katie Paine [3], Beryl West [3], Rosalind Coleman [3], Gijs Walraven [3]

[1] MRC Tropical Epidemiology Group, Department of Infectious and Tropical Diseases, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, UK
[2] Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, University of Wales, Cardiff, UK
[3] Medical Research Council Laboratories, Farafenni and Fajara, The Gambia

running title: Long-term consequences of FGC in The Gambia

Correspondence to be addressed to

Linda Morison
London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine
MRC Tropical Epidemiology Group
Infectious Disease Epidemiology Group
Keppel Street
London WC1E 7HT
tel: +44 (0) 20 76127824
fax: +44 (0) 20 76368739
email: Linda.Morison@lshtm.ac.uk

SUMMARY

This paper examines associations between traditional practices of female genital cutting (FGC) and adult women's reproductive morbidity in rural Gambia. A cross-sectional community survey of 1348 women aged 15-54 was conducted in 1999 to estimate the prevalence of reproductive morbidity on the basis of women's reports, a gynaecological examination and laboratory analysis of specimens. Descriptive statistics and logistic regression were used to compare the prevalence of each morbidity between cut and not cut women adjusting for possible confounders. 1157 women consented to gynaecological examination and 58% were found to have signs of genital cutting. There was a high level of agreement between reported circumcision status and that found on examination (97% agreement). The majority of operations consisted of clitoridectomy and excision of the labia minora (WHO classification Type II) and were performed between the ages of 4-7 years. The practice of genital cutting was highly associated with ethnic group for two of the three main ethnic groups making the effects of ethnic group and cutting difficult to distinguish. Women who had undergone FGC had a significantly higher prevalence of bacterial vaginosis (BV) (adjusted OR = 1.66; 95% CI 1.25 - 2.18) and a substantially higher prevalence of herpes simplex virus 2 (HSV2) (adjusted OR = 4.71; 95% CI 3.46 - 6.42). The higher prevalence of HSV2 suggests that cut women may be at increased risk of HIV infection. Commonly cited negative consequences of FGC such as damage to the perineum or anus, vulval tumours (such as Bartholin's cysts and excessive keloid formation), painful sex, infertility, prolapse and other reproductive tract infections were not significantly more common in cut women than those who had not been cut. The relationship between FGC and long term reproductive morbidity is still not clear, especially in settings where Type II cutting predominates. Efforts to eradicate the practice should incorporate a human rights approach rather than relying solely on the damaging health consequences.

Keywords: female genital cutting, female genital mutilation, female circumcision, Gambia, Africa, reproductive health

INTRODUCTION

Female genital cutting (FGC) is a term used to describe traditional practices that involve the cutting of female genitalia. Other commonly used terms for these procedures are female circumcision, female genital mutilation (FGM) or female genital surgeries. It is estimated that around 130 million women world-wide have undergone FGC and that 2 million girls and women a year are subjected to these operations (Toubia, 1996). Genital cutting is usually performed on children by traditional practitioners under non-sterile conditions.

The World Health Organisation has classified these operations into four types (WHO, 1995). Type I involves the partial or total removal of the clitoris. Type II involves partial or total removal of the clitoris together with partial or total excision of the labia minora. Type III involves partial or total removal of the external genitalia and stitching or narrowing of the vaginal opening. Type IV is relatively rare and refers to other traditional genital surgeries such as pricking or stretching the clitoris and/or surrounding tissues. An estimated 85% of cutting operations are Type I or II with around 15% being the more severe Type III (Toubia, 1993). Female genital cutting tends to be practised in North East Africa and in sub-Saharan Africa north of the equator. The practice and type of FGC is often specific to particular ethnic groups so that prevalence of the operations varies widely from country to country. Type III operations occur predominantly in Sudan and Somalia.

These operations have evoked strong and emotive reactions in the “West” and among some groups within communities where they are practised. FGC has become a major concern to policy makers, activists and professionals in various fields. It has been condemned as a violation of human rights; a manifestation of gender inequality and extremely damaging to sexuality and health. However, evidence on how common and how serious the short and long term consequences are is lacking (Obermeyer *et al.*, 1999). Hospital-based studies have catalogued types of cutting and morbidity but give no indication of the prevalence of these problems. Community-based studies have examined associations between reported circumcision status and reported morbidity but are unconvincing because both reported circumcision status and reported morbidity have shown poor agreement with clinical and laboratory diagnoses (Odujinrin *et al.*

1989; Adinma, 1997; Filippi *et al*. 1997). A recent large multi-centre hospital and clinic-based study in Mali and Burkino Faso (Jones *et al*. 1999) has suggested a positive association between the severity of genital cutting and the probability that a woman has a gynaecological or obstetric problem. However, rigorous community-based studies on the rates of short and long term health consequences of genital cutting operations are still lacking.

FGC is common in West Africa (Carr 1997) and practised by several of the largest ethnic groups in The Gambia (Singhateh 1985). A national campaign to eliminate FGC in The Gambia was launched in 1997. In the same year, national radio and television were banned by the government from transmitting anti-FGC material although this ban was lifted a few months later. Over the last few years, active campaigning against FGC has been mainly at the grass-root level by non-governmental organisations concerned with women's health.

Since 1981 the Medical Research Council (MRC) has operated a continuous demographic surveillance system in 40 villages and hamlets in the Farafenni area of The Gambia, on the north bank of the river Gambia. This study area had a population of 16,203 on 31 March 1999, with 3,934 women aged 15-54 (Hill *et al.* 2000). Most people live by subsistence farming and 45% have an income below US$ 150/year. Women marry for the first time at a mean age of 15 and subsequently average 6.8 births (Ratcliffe *et al.* 2000). Polygamy is common with 54% of women having one or more co-wives. Maternal mortality was recently estimated at 424/100,000 live births (Walraven *et al.* 2000). Use of modern family planning is uncommon (6%) and only 3.1% of women have attended primary school. Around 95% of women report farming and working in the household as their main occupation (Walraven *et al.* 2001). There has been no active campaign against FGC at the community level in the study area.

The results described in this paper are based on data collected as part of a comprehensive community-based survey of women's reproductive morbidity within this area (Walraven *et al.* 2001). The survey included questions about female genital cutting and an assessment of genital cutting by a gynaecologist. The objective of the analysis described in this paper was to compare the rates of reproductive morbidity in cut women with those who were not cut. Thus this study aimed to provide data on the long-term reproductive health consequences associated with genital cutting.

METHODS

A community-based reproductive morbidity survey of women between the ages of 15 and 54 was conducted in the demographic surveillance area of Farafenni described above. The study was approved by the ethics committee of the Gambia Government/ Medical Research Council (MRC) Laboratories (SCC proposal 755). Details of the methods for the survey are described in detail elsewhere (Walraven *et al.* 2001) so only a brief explanation is included here. Twenty villages were selected randomly for inclusion in the study but three had to be replaced because of community-level reluctance to participate. The nature and rationale of the study was explained at meetings with village leaders (both men and women), where some study procedures were demonstrated, and great care was taken to address sensitive issues appropriately. At subsequent meetings for the whole village further explanations were given and community level permission sought to invite eligible women to participate. All women aged 15-54 in the selected villages were considered eligible for participation. There were no specific exclusion criteria. Consent was obtained from individual women following further detailed individual explanation of each component by a fieldworker in the woman's own language.

Following consent, women were interviewed by a female fieldworker and then a female gynaecologist using a structured questionnaire. Questionnaires were forward and back-translated into the three main local languages during interviewer training and all women were interviewed in their own language. Socio-demographic characteristics, obstetric and gynaecological history and symptoms of reproductive morbidity were included in the questionnaire. Women were also asked about whether they had been circumcised, at what age and their attitude to its continuation. Women with circumcised daughters were asked about the details of the operation for the most recently circumcised daughter. Following the interviews the women's height and weight were measured and then a gynaecologist conducted a thorough clinical examination. From inspection of the external genitalia a detailed assessment of the type and extent of genital cutting was made. Speculum examination and bi-manual pelvic palpation were carried out in those women who reported not being virgins. Vaginal swabs were taken and tested for *Trichomonas Vaginalis* (TV), bacterial vaginosis (BV defined as Nugent score of 7+) and *Candida albicans* (semi-confluent or confluent growth on culture). Cervical swabs were tested for Gonorrhoea and Chlamydial infection (by PCR) and cervical smears were examined for abnormal cytology. Recent or untreated Syphilis was defined as a positive RPR and TPHA test on a blood sample. Herpes Simplex Virus 2 (HSV2) seropositivity (Marsden, 1998) and haemoglobin levels were also ascertained from the blood samples. All blood samples were tested anonymously for HIV but named patient HIV testing with pre and post test counselling was also offered to each woman. Women received syndromic treatment for any symptoms indicative of a reproductive tract infection (RTI) and also received treatment at the time of the study based on the field-laboratory tests. Women were followed up for treatment of reproductive health problems identified in subsequent laboratory analyses.

Conceptual Framework for Analysis

The mechanisms by which genital cutting might affect women's long-term reproductive health have not previously been comprehensively described. Figure 1 represents the possible mechanisms by which we think type I and II genital cutting might operate to produce reproductive morbidity. We collected data on all the variables shown, apart from the shaded box, either from the women's reports to the gynaecologist (infertility, painful sex, difficulty controlling urine and history of stillbirth), laboratory results (endogenous and sexually transmitted infections and abnormal cytology) or from the clinical examination (all other variables). These were the variables compared between cut and not cut women in the statistical analysis. We included some extra variables in the analysis (menstrual disorders, body mass index (BMI) and anaemia) even though we could not hypothesise a mechanism through which they might operate.

Statistical Analysis

Data were double-entered and validated using Epi-Info v6.4 (CDC Atlanta, USA). For subsequent analysis Stata v6.0 (Stata Corporation Texas, USA) was used. After initial descriptive analysis the dataset was restricted to women from the three main ethnic groups who agreed to inspection of the vulva for cutting status. For some morbidity variables the data was further restricted, for example it was only sensible to examine stillbirths for women who have delivered a baby. In addition there were missing values for some of the variables, for example prolapse, because women refused the internal examination. The different types of genital surgery were combined to make a binary variable of cut versus not cut. Each morbidity variable was cross-tabulated with circumcision status. Logistic regression models were fitted for each morbidity variable (for which there were sufficient cases) to examine the effect of circumcision status adjusting for the possible confounders age, parity and marital status. Polygamy is common in the study area so the marital status variable differentiated between monogamous and polygamous marriages.

The statistical analysis was complicated by the possible distortion of the association between cutting and morbidity caused by the almost perfect correlation between ethnic group and circumcision status in two of the three main ethnic groups. In the Mandinka circumcision was virtually universal while in the Wollof it was extremely rare. Around a third of the Fula were circumcised with cutting status thought to depend on the country or region the family or subgroup originated from. Besides influencing circumcision status it is plausible that ethnic group might affect morbidity. There might be genetic differences which affect scarring; differences in willingness to report reproductive problems, differences in health seeking behaviour and differences in childbirth practices (which in turn might influence delivery problems or childbirth related damage to the genital area). There might also be differences in marriage patterns or sexual behaviour patterns which affect the risk of sexually transmitted infections (STI). Ethnic group and circumcision status could not both be included in the logistic regression models because they were so highly correlated. While not ideal, an alternative way of trying to take into account ethnic group was to make a new variable which combined circumcision status and ethnic group. The analysis described above was repeated with this as an explanatory variable. We concluded that cutting was a significant factor affecting a morbidity variable if i) the comparison between cut and not cut women was significant and ii) if prevalence was different in both the Mandinka and circumcised Fula compared to both the Wollof and uncircumcised Fula.

RESULTS

Of 1871 women eligible for inclusion 1348 (72%) participated in the survey which took place between January and July 1999. Response rates were higher among the Mandinka (82%) than for the Fula (72%) and Wollof (61%). Response rates tended to be lowest for the youngest agegroup in all three main ethnic groups (75% for Mandinkas, 64% for Fulas and 55% for Wollofs aged 15-24 years) but were also low (53%) for the oldest age-group (45-54 years) for Wollofs. 1157 of the 1348 participating women consented to a vulval examination by the gynecologist. The rate of refusal for the vulval examination was higher among Wollofs (18%) than the other two ethnic groups (12% for both). Table 1 shows the distribution of age, marital status and parity by ethnic group for women who consented to a vulval examination. All three of these socio-demographic variables differed significantly between ethnic groups ($P<0.001$ for all) emphasising the importance of adjusting for them when examining associations between cutting status and morbidity. Very few of the women had primary or secondary level education (3% Mandinkas, 6% Fulas and 1% Wollofs).

During interviews with a fieldworker 58% of women (779/1346) reported being circumcised. Three of these women reported being “sealed” (WHO type III). Of the 1157 women who were examined by a gynaecologist 668 (58%) had signs of genital cutting. The frequency of the different types of operation performed are shown in Table 2. As expected most fell into the WHO type II classification. Out of 1156 women for whom we had both reported circumcision status and a gynaecologist’s assessment there was disagreement for 40 women (3%). Twenty one of these reported being circumcised but had no signs of the operation. A further 10 reported “normal” (type II) circumcision but had evidence of closure (type III). Seven women who reported being uncircumcised had signs of type I or II operations and two women who reported being sealed had no signs of closure. Of the three main ethnic groups, 98% of Mandinkas, 4% of Wollofs and 32% of Fulas had signs of genital cutting. The socio-demographic characteristics of the cut and not cut Fula were similar (data not shown) except for age. Cut Fula tended to be slightly younger that those not cut (Fishers Exact Test: $P = 0.030$). The mean reported age at circumcision was 6.1 years with the median being 6 years. For 79% of circumcised women the age at circumcision was reported as between 4 and 7 years. Only 7% were circumcised before this age whilst 15% were circumcised at an older age. The maximum age at circumcision was 16.

When asked whether circumcision should be continued 15% of women said it was not their decision or they didn't know. Of the remainder, all except 38 of the 682 circumcised women said it should continue while all but 4 of the 473 uncircumcised women said it should not continue.

Four hundred and fifty six women said they had a circumcised daughter and gave us details of the most recent FGC operation any daughter had undergone. Eleven of these women were not aware that their daughter had gone to be circumcised until after the operation, and of these 8 did not approve of their daughters' circumcision. The majority of operations (70%) were performed in "the bush" but a substantial proportion (29%) were performed in the woman's home. All operations were performed by traditional operators. For 85% of the operations efforts were made to reduce the pain although the question did not specify if this was pain at the time of the operation or in the period after. For 83% of the operations herbs or pastes had been applied, but 21% of daughters had also bathed in cold water, 9% took tablets and 2% had an injection. Sixteen percent used another method to reduce pain, mostly specified as "ointment" or Vaseline. A similar proportion (84%) of women who reported efforts to reduce pain also reported efforts to "stop the wound going bad". For 81% of the operations the daughters had been bathed frequently; with 31% being bathed with hot water and 26% being bathed with salt water. Herbs or pastes were applied in 72% of cases. Other methods included spirit (5 cases) and antiseptic powder (1 case only). Fifteen percent of women specified another method with "ointment" and Vaseline again being the most commonly mentioned.

For the comparison of morbidity between cut and not cut women the sample was restricted to women who were examined for circumcision status and who were in one of the three main ethnic groups (n=1138). Table 3a shows odds ratios (OR) for the comparison of cut and not cut women for all the variables excluding the endogenous and sexually transmitted infections and cytology. After adjusting for age, marital status and parity, significant differences were seen for prolapse (P=0.020) which was lower in cut women and anaemia (P=0.033) which was higher in cut women. Table 4 shows morbidities which were significantly different between cut and not cut women by ethnic group for Mandinkas (98% cut) and Wollofs (96% not cut) and circumcision status for Fulas. It shows that the observed difference in the prevalence of prolapse between cut and not cut women was due to the high prevalence of prolapse in Wollofs rather than being consistent with an effect of cutting. The slightly higher prevalence of anaemia in cut women is still evident in Table 4 but the difference is no longer significant.

Table 3b shows odds ratios for the endogenous and sexually transmitted infections and cytology. After adjusting for age, marital status and parity, BV and HSV2 were both significantly higher in cut women ($P<0.001$ for both) whilst recent or untreated Syphilis was significantly lower ($P=0.030$). There were too few cases of Chlamydia (n=12) to adjust for possible confounders but the unadjusted analysis suggested a significantly lower prevalence in cut women (Fishers Exact Test $P=0.038$). Table 4 shows that the higher observed prevalence of Syphilis in not cut women was due to very high prevalences among Fula women. The low prevalence of Syphilis among Wollof women suggests that it is not an effect of cutting. The lower prevalence of Chlamydia in cut women is still evident from Table 4. BV and HSV2 show a pattern which is consistent with an increase in cut women (Table 4).

A final comparison was made for circumcised women to see if the prevalence of BV or HSV2 varied by severity of circumcision operation after adjustment for age, marital status and parity. There was no evidence that either BV or HSV2 were more prevalent in women who had full rather than partial clitoridectomy (adjusting for extent of excision). The OR for full clitoridectomy relative to partial was 0.88 (95% CI 0.54 - 1.45) for BV and 0.97 (95% CI 0.59 - 1.64) for HSV2. Similarly there was no evidence that BV or HSV2 were more prevalent in women who had full rather than partial excision of the labia minora (adjusting for extent of clitoridectomy). The OR for full excision relative to partial was 1.00 (95% CI 0.67 - 1.47) for BV and 0.75 (95% CI 0.50 - 1.11) for HSV2.

DISCUSSION

Fifty eight percent of women in the study had signs of genital surgery. This had been predominantly performed during childhood. There was a high level of agreement (97%) between reported circumcision status and observed signs of surgery; a result similar to the 93% from Egypt (EFCS 1996) but much higher than the 57% from Nigeria (Adinma 1997). The lower rate of agreement in Nigeria is perhaps because there is more variation in the type of circumcision performed there, including "circumcision" that is symbolic rather than physically altering the genitals. In addition many operations in Nigeria are done on infants in which case a woman might have relied on the accounts of older family members to ascertain her circumcision status (Odujinrin *et al.* 1989). The operations in the Gambian study area are performed by traditional operators and little use is made of non-traditional antiseptics and anaesthetics. The type of surgeries we found were consistent with other studies in The Gambia (Singhateh 1985) and other parts of West Africa (Carr 1997; Jones *et al.* 1999) and were predominantly of clitoridectomy and excision of the labia minora (WHO classification Type II).

This is the first community-based study in which clinical and laboratory-based reproductive morbidities have been compared between women who have had traditional genital surgeries and those who have not. We found a higher prevalence of BV, HSV2 and anaemia in cut women, but surprisingly a lower prevalence of Chlamydia (although this was based on only 12 cases of Chlamydia). These results have to be interpreted with caution because of the almost complete association between ethnic group and circumcision status for two of the three main ethnic groups in the study area. As mentioned above, ethnic group could affect genetic and behavioural characteristics which could influence reproductive morbidity variables. We have tried to minimise any bias by comparing morbidity across a variable which combined ethnic group and circumcision status. After this comparison, convincing differences associated with cutting status are still evident for BV and HSV2. However, it is still possible that there are differences between cut and not cut women besides cutting status which might account for any observed differences. Biases in participation might also have affected our results. The shame attached to problems relating to circumcision in this setting means that women might avoid participation in the study if they had problems relating to circumcision. Participation rates were actually highest for the ethnic group which almost universally practices these traditional surgeries but it is still possible that hiding problems associated with circumcision was a reason for not participating. The cross-sectional design of the study means that a causal effect of cutting cannot necessarily be ascribed to any observed differences in prevalence between cut and not cut women. In addition to problems of residual confounding, it is possible that mortality due to FGC (either at the time of the operation or during delivery) could introduce bias.

The hypothesised mechanisms by which cutting might affect long-term reproductive morbidity are shown in Figure 1. The higher levels of BV in cut women might be due to the removal of the protective labia minora which perhaps help to maintain a healthy vaginal environment. However, the lack of any difference in prevalence of BV between those fully and partially excised weakens this hypothesis. It is also possible that other confounding variables, such as differences in hygiene practices between cut and not cut women, might explain the observed result. Whatever the mechanism for the higher prevalences in cut women, the clinical importance of BV in this setting has yet to be proved. BV has been associated with HIV infection in Uganda (Sewankambo *et al.* 1997) although a causal link has yet to be established. BV has also been associated with low birthweight and pre-term deliveries (Kurki *et al.* 1992) although treatment of BV has not been shown to reduce the rate of preterm babies in low-risk or asymptomatic women (Carey *et al.* 2000).

The prevalence of HSV2, a sexually transmitted infection, was substantially higher in cut women than in those not cut. In order to examine whether the higher prevalences of HSV2 in cut women were due to increased biological susceptibility to infection or to differences in sexual behaviour patterns, data on sexual behaviour would have to be compared between cut and not cut women and would also be adjusted for in the analysis. However, sexual behaviour questions (for example number of sexual partners in lifetime) were not included as we feared that they might lower the participation rate in a study that was already sensitive because of the gynecological examination. Therefore the only data collected pertaining to sexual behaviour was marital status (including number of co-wives) and the presence or absence of the hymen on examination. As mentioned previously polygamous rather than monogamous marriages were adjusted for in the comparison of cut and not cut women in the results for this paper. More detailed analysis adjusting for the exact number of co-wives did not reduce the OR for HSV2 (data not shown) suggesting that it is not differences in marriage patterns that explain the higher prevalence of HSV2 in cut women. Premarital sex appeared to be rare. For the 88 single women examined, 76 had an intact hymen and in 1 it was not visible due to the circumcision scar. The proportion of single women with an intact hymen did not vary significantly between ethnic groups (Fishers Exact test P=0.359) suggesting that differences in premarital sex do not explain the higher prevalence of HSV2 in cut women. However, as mentioned above, other sexual behaviour variables may be confounding the association so more research is needed to examine the association between cutting and HSV2.

Whatever the mechanism, the higher prevalence of HSV2 among cut women is of particular concern in a sub-Saharan setting because HSV2 is a known cofactor for HIV transmission (Ballard 1998; Weiss *et al.* 2001). In addition, if the higher levels of HSV2 in cut women are due to increased biological susceptibility because of cutting it suggests that cut women might also be more susceptible to HIV infection (Kun 1997). If the higher prevalences are because of differences in sexual behaviour between communities which practice FGC and those which do not it still suggests that cut women are likely to be at increased risk of HIV infection. We could not compare HIV prevalence between cut and not cut women in this study because the HIV results were unlinked and anonymous. At present HIV prevalence in The Gambia is relatively low for sub-Saharan Africa (1.7% in women tested in this study (Walraven *et al.* 2001) but recent rises in HIV-1 among antenatal women, sex workers and STI clinic attenders (Schim van der Loeff, personal communication) give cause for concern.

Chlamydial infection was relatively rare in the study population with only 12 cases included in the analysis for this paper. Therefore the observed lower prevalence of Chlamydia among cut women should be regarded with caution. Chlamydia is less important as a co-factor for the transmission of HIV than HSV2 but is important in this setting because of its potential to cause infertility. Infertility is greatly feared in this population where both men and women acquire status and security through reproduction (Bledsoe *et al.* 1994). However, when infertility was compared between cut and not cut women there was little evidence of any difference. This finding is consistent with another study which found no association between circumcision and infertility in Cote D'Ivoire, Central African Republic and Tanzania (Larsen & Yan, 2000).

In our study the prevalence of anaemia was found to be slightly higher in cut women. When comparing across the combined ethnic group and circumcision variable the pattern was still evident but was no longer statistically significant. We think it most unlikely that blood lost at the time of the operation, which is done in childhood, would influence adult haemoglobin, and suggest that this result it due to chance. In the study area diet and malaria are the main causes of anaemia and it is difficult to conceive how FGC would affect these. Another measure of nutritional status, BMI, was similar for cut and not cut women.

The type II genital cutting practised in the study area was not associated with significantly increased prevalences of damage to the perineum or anus, vulval tumours (such as Bartholin's cysts, excessive keloid formation), painful sex, infertility, prolapse, STI (apart from HSV2) or endogenous infections (apart from BV). However, future studies with higher sample sizes might demonstrate significant associations where we observed small differences in prevalence such as for vulval tumours and damage to the perineum. The above morbidities are often cited as common long-term problems of FGC by activists against the practice and can undoubtedly occur as a consequence of FGC. The fact that they are not markedly associated with cutting at the community level implies that, at least in this study area, cutting is not a major factor in their occurrence. By basing health information on sound data rather than implying that severe long-term health consequences are common, activists are likely to make their claims more credible to practising communities and therefore more effective.

It is important to remember that this study has focussed only on long-term reproductive morbidity found in the community and only on type II cutting. The consequences of genital cutting for maternal mortality and morbidity have not been examined apart from asking about stillbirths and examining for childbirth related damage to the pelvic structures. Similarly, apart from comparing the prevalence of painful sex (as reported by women) between cut and not cut women, we have not touched on sexual functioning or well-being. Another possible health consequence of FGC that could not be examined in the present study is the parenteral transmission of HIV at the time of the operation due to the use of one cutting tool for a cohort of girls (Kun 1997). This merits further research especially in areas where HIV prevalence is high.

Little is known about the prevalence of immediate complications of the operations performed in The Gambia or elsewhere. Anecdotal data from The Gambia describes extremely serious bleeding, infections and even death due to FGC (Singhateh, 1985). In the study area we have used verbal autopsy to diagnose cause of death for several hundred people and found that one girl aged 12 died of bleeding one day after circumcision (unpublished data). However, immediate complications of the operation are believed by the population to be caused by inadequate ceremonial preparations by the parents, or because of something shameful about the daughter (Singhateh, 1985), so great efforts are made to keep them secret. When women in our study were asked about the most recent circumcision operation undergone by a daughter, none reported any problem. It is difficult to conceive how data could be gathered on the short-term consequences of cutting in this setting.

The number of women with type III operations was too low to enable us to specifically examine their effect on morbidity. However, the severity of reduction and the closure of the vulva in type III operations mean that the immediate and long-term physical, psychological and sexual consequences are likely to be more common and more severe than for the type II surgeries studied here.

Advocacy against FGC based on damaging health consequences is less controversial in most practising communities than an approach based on human rights. However, the exaggeration by activists of the prevalence of death and serious damage to health can result in lack of credibility, especially in settings where FGC types I and II are practised. Our study suggests that in a population of rural Gambian women, the commonly cited long term health consequences of FGC were not markedly more common in cut women than those not cut, although the higher prevalence of HSV2 is a cause for concern. A focus on damaging health consequences is also vulnerable to the argument to medicalise the operation. The human rights based approach argues that FGC should not be done because it is a serious violation of bodily integrity usually performed on young girls who are not in a position to give informed consent (Snow, 2001). In a human rights context, eradication of FGC is often considered as one component of the need to address many of the rights of women and girls, especially in societies where serious discrimination occurs. It also addresses the underlying societal structure which supports this discrimination. The main study from which our data were taken showed an enormous burden of reproductive disease in these Gambian women (Walraven *et al.* 2001). This supports the idea that FGC should be addressed as part of women's reproductive rights as a whole rather than narrowly focussing on the damaging health effects of FGC.

CONCLUSIONS

This is the first community-based study in which precisely defined reproductive morbidities have been compared between women who have had traditional genital surgeries and those who have not. The results must be treated with some caution because ethnic group determined circumcision status for two of the three main ethnic groups in the study area. The type II genital surgeries performed during childhood in this population were associated with significantly increased prevalences of BV and HSV2. The higher prevalence of HSV2 in cut women suggests that they may be more vulnerable to HIV infection. No other significant adverse associations with cutting were found. The relationship between FGC and long term reproductive morbidity is still not clear, especially in settings where Type II cutting predominates. Efforts to eradicate the practice should incorporate a human rights approach rather than relying solely on the damaging health consequences of FGC.

Acknowledgements

We thank the reviewers of the submitted manuscript and Sonja Weinreich for constructive comments which helped us to improve this paper. We would like to acknowledge the contributions of Leszek Borysiewicz, Alison Fiander, Allan G Hill, Amy Ratcliffe, Philippe Mayaud, and Keith McAdam to the study. We thank Kunle Okunoye for data management; Department of cyto and histopathology at Llandough Hospital, Cardiff & Vale NHS Trust for cervical cytology analysis; and Howard Marsden for providing HSV2 peptides. We also thank the field workers, represented by Hawa Manneh, Khadiatou Jallow, and Emily Loppy; the MRC laboratory staff, and staff at Farafenni AFPRC hospital. Finally we would like to thank the women who participated in the study for their patience and cooperation. Funding was provided by the Medical Research Council (UK).

References

Adinma J (1997) Current status of female circumcision among Nigerian Igbos. *West African Journal of Medicine* **16**, 227-231.

Ballard R (1998) The effect of antiviral treatment of HSV-2 lesions on the cervico shedding of HIV. *Presented at a WHO/UNAIDS consultation, Oct 14-16, 1998. STD Interventions for preventing HIV: what is the evidence ?* Geneva:WHO/UNAIDS.

Bledsoe CH, Hill AG, Langerock P, D'Allesandro U (1994) Constructing natural fertility: the use of western contraceptive technologies in rural Gambia. *Population Development Review* **20,** 81-113.

Carey JC, Klebanoff MA, Hauth JC *et al.* (2000) Metronidazole to prevent preterm delivery in pregnant women with asymptomatic bacterial vaginosis. *New England Journal of Medicine* **342**,534-540.

Carr D. (1997)*Female Genital Cutting:Findings from the Demographic and Health Surveys Program* Macro International Inc., Calverton, Maryland, USA.

Egyptian Fertility Care Society (1996) *Clinic-Based Investigation of the Typology and Self-Reporting of FGM in Egypt.* EFCS Cairo.

Filippi V, Marshall T, Bulut A, Graham W, Yolsal N. (1997) Asking questions about women's reproductive health: validity and reliability of survey findings from Istanbul. *Trop Med Intl Health* **2,** 47-56.

Hill AG, MacLeod WB, Joof D, Gomez P, Ratcliffe AA, Walraven G. (2000) Decline of mortality in children in rural Gambia: the influence of village level Primary Health Care. *Trop Med Int Health* **5,** 107-118.

Jones H, Diop N, Askew I, Kabore I. (1999) Female Genital Cutting Practices in Burkina Faso and Mali and their Negative Health Outcomes. *Studies in Family Planning* **30,** 219-230.

Kun KE (1997) Female genital mutilation: the potential for increased risk of HIV infection. *Intl J of Gynecology & Obstetrics* **59,** 153-155.

Kurki T, Sivonen A, Renkonen OV, Savia E, Ylikorkala O (1992). Bacterial vaginosis in early pregnancy and pregnancy outcome. *Obstetrics and Gynecology* **80,** 173-177.

Larsen U &Yan S. (2000) Does female circumcision affect infertility and fertility ? A study of the Central African Republic, Cote D'Ivoire and Tanzania. *Demography* **37,** 313-321.

Marsden HS, MacAulay K, Murray J, Smith IW (1998) Identification of an immunodominant sequential epitope in glycoprotein G of herpes simplex virus type 2 that is useful for serotype-specific diagnosis. *J.Med.Virol.* **56,** 79-84.

Obermeyer CM, Reynolds R and Ratcliffe A(1999) Female Genital Surgeries: the Known, the Unknown and the Unknowable. *Medical Anthropology Quarterly* **13,** 79-106.

Odujinrin OMT, Akitoye CO, Oyediran MA (1989) A study on female circumcision in Nigeria. *West African Journal of Medicine* **8**, 183-192.

Ratcliffe A, Hill A, Walraven G (2000). Separate Lives: male and female reproduction in The Gambia, West Africa. *Bull WHO* **78,** 570-579.

Sewankambo N, Gray R, Wawer MJ *et al.* (1997) HIV-1 Infection associated with abnormal vaginal flora morphology and bacterial vaginosis. *Lancet* **350,** 546-550.

Singhateh S K (1985) *Female Circumcision, The Gambian Experience: A Study on the social, economic and health implications.* The Gambia Women's Bureau, Banjul, The Gambia.

Snow RC (2001) Female Genital Cutting: Distinguishing the rights from the health agenda (Editorial) *Trop Med Int Health* **6**, 89-91.

Toubia N (1993) *Female Genital Mutilation: A call for Global Action* Women Ink, 777 United Nations Plaza, New York, New York 10017, USA.

Toubia N (1996) *Female Genital Mutilation: A call for Global Action (in Arabic).* Cairo: Task Force on Female Genital Mutilation., Cairo, Egypt.

Walraven G, Telfer M, Rowley J, Ronsmans C (2000) Maternal mortality in rural Gambia: levels, causes and contributing factors. *Bull WHO* **78**, 603-613.

Walraven G, Scherf C, West B *et al.* (2001) The burden of reproductive disease in rural women in The Gambia, West Africa. *Lancet* **357**, 1161-1167.

Weiss HA, Buvé A, Robinson NJ *et al.* (2001) The epidemiology of HSV-2 infection and its association with HIV infection in four urban African populations. *AIDS* **15** (supplement in press).

WHO (1995) *Female Genital Mutilation: Report of a WHO Technical Working Group*. Geneva, 17-19 July 1995, World Health Organisation, WHO/FRH/WHD/96.

Figure 1 Conceptual framework for possible mechanisms by which Type I and II genital cutting might affect reproductive morbidity



Table 1 Distribution of socio-demographic characteristics by ethnic group for women who consented to vulval examination for circumcision status.[1]

	Mandinka n = 589	**Fula n = 191**	**Wollof n = 358**
Age			
15 - 24	35%	33%	30%
25 - 34	24%	31%	30%
35 - 44	23%	24%	31%
45 - 54	19%	12%	9%
Marital Status			
Single	10%	5%	5%
Monogamous marriage	32%	53%	33%
Polygamous marriage	54%	40%	61%
Divorced/ widowed	4%	2%	1%
Parity			
Nulliparous	16%	14%	10%
Parity 1 - 3	28%	34%	30%
Parity 4 - 7	34%	38%	51%
Parity 8+	21%	15%	10%

[1] 19 women from other ethnic groups were also included in the sample of women who consented to examination but not in the analysis comparing morbidity between cut and not cut women.

Table 2 Frequency of different types of cutting operation assessed by gynaecologist

Signs of Genital Surgery	**WHO classification**	**Number of Women**	**%**
No signs of cutting		489	42%
Partial clitoridectomy	Type I	1	<1%
Full clitoridectomy	Type I	2	<1%
Partial clitoridectomy and partial excision of labia minora	Type II	74	6%
Partial clitoridectomy and complete excision of labia minora	Type II	31	3%
Full clitoridectomy and partial excision of labia minora	Type II	176	15%
Full clitoridectomy and complete excision of labia minora	Type II	374	32%
Clitoridectomy, excision of labia minora and closure	Type III	10	1%
	Total	1157	100%

Table 3a Odds Ratios for comparison of morbidity variables between cut and not cut women (excluding endogenous and sexually transmitted infections and cytology).

Morbidity	Prevalence in women not cut		Prevalence in cut women		Adjusted OR[1]	95% CI for OR	P-value[2]
Vulval tumour (cysts etc)[3]	9/481	2%	18/654	3%	1.75	0.77 - 3.99	0.177
Damaged perineum	240/427	56%	336/546	62%	1.24	0.95 - 1.63	0.115
Insufficient anal sphincter [3]	16/421	4%	17/526	3%	0.81	0.40 - 1.64	0.559
Vesico-vaginal fistula [4]	1/452	<1%	0/589	0%	-	-	-
Difficulty controlling urine	36/458	8%	41/597	7%	0.80	0.48 - 1.33	0.408
Any still births	48/427	11%	81/549	15%	1.16	0.78 - 1.73	0.460
Prolapse	223/426	52%	253/548	46%	0.72	0.55 - 0.95	0.020
Painful Sex [5]	47/329	14%	62/394	16%	1.09	0.71 - 1.66	0.680
Infertility [6]	35/356	10%	43/420	10%	1.20	0.70 - 2.07	0.511
Menstrual problems [7]	78/182	43%	100/305	33%	0.74	0.50 - 1.11	0.148
BMI (weight/height^2) < 18	75/480	16%	103/654	16%	0.90	0.64 - 1.26	0.528
Anaemia [8]	226/463	49%	351/642	55%	1.31	1.02 - 1.68	0.033

[1] Adjusted for age, marital status and parity
[2] From likelihood ratio test (adjusting for age, marital status and parity)
[3] Adjusted for age and parity only as number of cases small
[4] No OR as 1 case only
[5] For those who are currently sexually active
[6] Trying to get pregnant for more than a year (not breastfeeding and contacting husband at least once a week, no contraception and under 45 years old)
[7] For menstruating women not on hormonal contraception
[8] Hb<12g/dl in nonpregnant women, hb<11g/dl in pregnant women

Table 3b Odds Ratios for comparison of endogenous and sexually transmitted infections and cytology between cut and not cut women.

Infection	Prevalence in women not cut		Prevalence in cut women		Adjusted OR[1]	95% CI for OR	P-value[2]
Endogenous Infections							
Bacterial Vaginosis	132/437	30%	240/571	52%	1.66	1.25 - 2.18	<0.001
Candida	62/456	14%	71/604	12%	0.85	0.58 - 1.24	0.394
Sexually Transmitted Infections							
Syphilis[3]	25/474	5%	14/643	2%	0.47	0.24 - 0.94	0.030
Herpes Simplex Virus 2	86/471	18%	286/637	45%	4.71	3.46 - 6.44	<0.001
Gonorrhoea	0/443	0%	0/573	0%	-	-	-
Chlamydia[4]	9/443	2%	3/573	<1%	-	-	-
Trichomoniasis[3]	24/450	5%	41/586	7%	1.31	0.77 - 2.22	0.314
Symptoms							
Abnormal vaginal discharge, itching, irritation or bad odour	205/481	43%	269/645	41%	0.94	0.74 - 1.21	0.651
Cytology							
Squamous cell Intraepithelial Lesions[3]	22/453	5%	39/586	7%	1.42	0.81 - 2.46	0.213

[1] Adjusted for age, marital status and parity
[2] From likelihood ratio test (adjusting for age, marital status and parity)
[3] Adjusted for age and marital status only as number of cases small
[4] Too few cases to perform adjusted analysis

Table 4 Comparison of morbidities which were significantly different between cut and not cut women by ethnic group for Mandinkas (98% cut) and Wollofs (96% not cut) and circumcision status for Fulas.

Morbidity	Prevalence		Adjusted OR[1]	95% CI for OR	P-value[2]
Prolapse					
Mandinka	226/492	46%	1		0.006
Cut Fula	22/50	44%	1.17	0.63 - 2.15	
Fula not cut	48/113	42%	0.93	0.60 - 1.43	
Wollof	180/319	56%	1.65	1.22 - 2.24	
Anaemia					
Mandinka	317/578	55%	1		0.113
Cut Fula	32/57	56%	1.01	0.58 - 1.77	
Fula not cut	60/120	50%	0.85	0.57 - 1.27	
Wollof	168/350	48%	0.72	0.54 - 0.94	
Bacterial Vaginosis					
Mandinka	218/515	42%	1		0.001
Cut Fula	20/52	38%	0.97	0.53 - 1.79	
Fula not cut	28/114	25%	0.45	0.28 - 0.73	
Wollof	106/327	32%	0.65	0.48 - 0.89	
Syphilis[3]					
Mandinka	10/525	1.9%	1		<0.001
Cut Fula	5/54	9.3%	5.71	1.83 - 17.86	
Fula not cut	19/118	16.1%	9.35	4.09 - 21.40	
Wollof	3/339	0.9%	0.48	0.13 - 1.77	
HSV2					
Mandinka	248/517	48%	1		<0.001
Cut Fula	20/55	55%	0.69	0.38 - 1.26	
Fula not cut	33/118	28%	0.39	0.25 - 0.63	
Wollof	56/336	17%	0.17	0.12 - 0.24	
Chlamydia					
Mandinka	2/514	0.4%	-	-	0.05[4]
Cut Fula	0/55	0%			
Fula not cut	3/117	2.6%			
Wollof	7/330	2.1%			

[1] Adjusted for age, marital status and parity
[2] From likelihood ratio test (adjusting for age, marital status and parity)
[2] Adjusted for age and marital status only because number of cases small
[4] From Fishers Exact Test (too few cases to do adjusted analysis)