



Returning from night shift to day life; beneficial effects of light on sleep

Journal:	<i>Sleep and Biological Rhythms</i>
Manuscript ID:	SBR-2010-0021
Manuscript Type:	Full length article
Keywords:	shift work, light, sleep, melatonin



Manuscript Only

ABSTRACT

Subjects working a 12h night shift offshore for 2 weeks normally adapt to the night shift and are out of synchrony when they return home to day life, with consequent problems of poor sleep. The aim of the study was to investigate the effectiveness of timed light treatment to hasten circadian adaptation and improve sleep after the night shift. Ten male shift workers worked 19.00-07.00h (n=4) or 18.00-06.00h (n=6) offshore shift schedules. They were assessed for the last 7 days of a 14 or 21 day night shift offshore and for the following 14 days at home. Either timed light treatment/sunglasses or no light treatment/no sunglasses were scheduled in a crossover design during days 1-5 after the night shift, theoretically timed to advance the circadian system. Subjects wore an Actiwatch-L throughout the study to monitor light and activity and completed daily sleep diaries. Actigraphic sleep efficiency after the light/sunglasses treatment was significantly improved (days 1-5) $86.7 \pm 5.8\%$ (light treatment) compared to the no light treatment leg $79.4 \pm 10.3\%$ (mean \pm SD) $P < 0.05$. Subjective and objective sleep duration (days 6-14) was significantly improved in the light treatment leg; actigraphic sleep duration was longer after light treatment ($6.75 \pm 0.50\text{h}$) compared to $5.76 \pm 0.73\text{h}$, $P < 0.05$, whilst subjective sleep duration was $8.05 \text{ h} \pm 0.72\text{h}$ compared to $7.32 \pm 0.55\text{h}$, respectively, $P < 0.05$. If appropriately timed, light and darkness has beneficial effects on sleep efficiency and sleep duration following a night shift.

INTRODUCTION

Shift work is associated with greater health problems than 'normal' day work.^{1,2} Night shift workers are required to work and eat at the 'wrong' phase of their circadian cycle, resulting in complaints of sleepiness, reduced performance and disturbed sleep due to lack of adjustment of the circadian timing system.³⁻⁵ Attempts to sleep at inappropriate phases of the circadian cycle, for example during the declining phase of melatonin and the rising phase of core body temperature, usually result in shorter sleep episodes and more awakenings.⁶⁻⁸

1
2
3
4 In general, the circadian system adapts either partially or not at all to night shift work.^{9,10}
5
6 However previous research in unusual circumstances (e.g., working 18.00-06.00 h on offshore
7
8 oil rigs and 20.00-08.00 h in Antarctica) has reported that shift workers can fully adapt to the
9
10 night shift schedule within a week.^{11,12} If shift workers fully adapt to a night shift offshore they
11
12 will be out of synchrony with their home environment upon returning home, with consequent
13
14 problems of poor night sleep, reduced daytime alertness and performance and possible digestive
15
16 problems. Factors such as season,¹³ length and timing of the shift,^{12,14} early or late initial
17
18 circadian phase,¹⁵ light exposure⁹ and sleep/wake patterns (as these indirectly affect light
19
20 exposure), may all affect circadian adaptation. The use of light treatment at home, appropriately
21
22 timed, may alleviate/reduce the physiological and behavioral problems caused by circadian
23
24 rhythm disturbance experienced following offshore night shift work.^{5,16}
25
26
27
28
29

30 Light has been suggested as a counter measure against night work impairment of sleep
31
32 and alertness.^{10,17} Intense artificial light can shift the phase of the human circadian timing
33
34 system and has been successfully used to induce phase shifts in circadian rhythms and to
35
36 improve sleep, performance and alertness.¹⁸⁻²¹ Bright light as a counter measure for circadian
37
38 desynchrony has also been used in field studies of shift workers, though the number of studies is
39
40 limited.^{16, 22-24} Recent research has shown the effectiveness of short wavelength blue light to
41
42 phase shift human circadian rhythms^{25,26} and increase alertness.^{21,27,28}
43
44
45

46 Recently Bjorvatn et al.¹⁶ evaluated the effects of bright light and melatonin in offshore
47
48 shift workers working a 'swing shift' schedule. Subjective and objective measures of sleep were
49
50 obtained. Melatonin reduced sleepiness at work during the day shift and increased sleep by 15-20
51
52 mins per day, whilst bright light gave values in between those of melatonin and the placebo, but
53
54 with few statistically significant results. In these studies the effect of melatonin and light on
55
56 circadian phase was not investigated.
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 The aim of this study was to investigate sleep and circadian adaptation in offshore night
5
6 shift workers returning to day life at home after adapting to the night shift offshore. We
7
8 hypothesized that timed light treatment would improve night sleep at home and would shift the
9
10 circadian rhythm of urinary 6-sulphatoxymelatonin (aMT6s) compared to a “no light treatment”
11
12 condition.
13

14 15 16 **METHODS**

17 18 19 ***Pre-study***

20
21
22 Ethical permission was obtained from the University of Surrey Ethics Committee
23
24 (ACE/2002/95SBLS). Before starting the study, written informed consent was obtained from all
25
26 volunteers. Subjects had to be working a 2-3 week night shift and were free of any medication
27
28 known to affect the melatonin rhythm (β -blockers, α -blockers, calcium channel blockers,
29
30 antipsychotics, benzodiazepines, antidepressants, barbiturates and antiepileptic drugs). Diurnal
31
32 preference was assessed using the Horne Östberg (HÖ) questionnaire.²⁹
33
34
35

36 37 38 ***Subjects***

39
40 Eight men (S1-S8) aged 46 ± 11 years (mean \pm SD), body mass index (BMI) 28.1 ± 2.5
41
42 kg/m^2 , HÖ score 57 ± 8 , working a 19.00–07.00 h shift schedule offshore, on an oilrig platform
43
44 in the North Sea at 58°N for 14 or 21 consecutive nights were recruited during the summer
45
46 months (May-August, 2005). Six men (S9-S14) aged 49 ± 7 years (mean \pm SD), BMI 28.4 ± 2.1
47
48 kg/m^2 , HÖ score 58 ± 4 , working a 18.00-06.00 h shift schedule offshore in the North Sea at
49
50 59°N for 14 consecutive nights were recruited during the winter months (October-March, 2006).
51
52
53 All subjects returned home onshore after finishing their night shift.
54
55
56

57 58 59 ***Study design***

60
Subjects were studied for 21 days, namely the last 7 days offshore of a 2 or 3 week
19.00-07.00 h night shift or the last 7 days offshore of a 2 week 18.00-06.00 h night shift, and for

1
2
3
4 14 days at home after completion of the night shift. Subjects completed daily sleep diaries.
5
6 They wore an Actiwatch-L (Cambridge Neurotechnology, Cambridge, UK) for 21 days to record
7
8 light exposure and activity in one-minute epochs. The subjective sleep parameters recorded
9
10 were sleep onset, defined as the time the subject went to sleep, sleep offset defined as the time
11
12 the subject woke up, sleep latency, number of night awakenings and sleep quality (1 = best ever
13
14 sleep, 9 = worst ever sleep). In the actigraphy analysis sleep onset, offset, efficiency and
15
16 fragmentation index were analyzed. Light exposure (lux) derived from the Actiwatch-L was
17
18 analyzed in 1 h bins on days 1-5 at home after the night shift to determine the timing of brightest
19
20 daily light exposure period of each individual subject.
21
22
23
24

25 Subjects collected sequential urine samples to assess circadian adaptation to the night
26
27 shift and readaptation after the night shift. Samples were collected approximately every 4 h
28
29 during waking hours and longer over the sleep period during the last 2 days (48 h) of the night
30
31 shift offshore and the 7 consecutive days after the night shift at home.
32
33

34 *6-sulphatoxymelatonin analysis*

35
36 The volume of each sample was measured and a 5 ml aliquot was frozen and transported
37
38 to the University of Surrey for analysis. 6-sulphatoxymelatonin was measured by
39
40 radioimmunoassay,³⁰ with reagents provided by Stockgrand Ltd, UK. Interassay coefficients of
41
42 variation at 4.7 ng/ml (n = 14), 14.9 ng/ml (n = 19) and 25.0 ng/ml (n = 15) were 10.0%, 9.9%
43
44 and 9.4%, respectively. The aMT6s acrophase (individual daily mean peak time for the last 3
45
46 days of night shift, and the 7 consecutive days afterwards) was calculated from cosinor analysis
47
48 of each 24 h period (programme developed and kindly provided by Dr D.S. Minors, University
49
50 of Manchester, UK). Acrophase values were only accepted if the cosinor fit was significant at
51
52 the 95% level or if the fit was significant at >80% level and the variance (percentage rhythm)
53
54 accounted for by the cosine curve was greater than 50%. Circadian adaptation offshore was
55
56 defined as when the aMT6s acrophase occurred during the day sleep period. Acrophase time is
57
58 given in decimal hours.
59
60

Light treatment protocol

The study was a randomized crossover design with two study sessions, one where the subject received timed light treatment and wore sunglasses at appropriate times upon returning home, and a second session where the sample collection protocol was the same but light treatment was not given and sunglasses were not worn. White polychromatic light (~3000 lux, 1000 $\mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$) was administered using a light box (Litebook[®] Alberta, Canada). Previous studies by Gibbs et al.¹² showed that the peak of the aMT6s rhythm of subjects leaving the oil rig after working 1 week of night shifts (18.00-06.00 h) was 12.98 ± 0.50 h. From the findings of this previous study, the light treatment protocol was designed as follows: Day 1 was the day the subjects returned home onshore, and they were asked to wear specialized light blocking sunglasses (Litebook[®]) from the end of their night shift until 13.00 h on day 1. On day 2 subjects wore sunglasses from wake up until 13.00 h and then received light treatment by sitting in front of the Litebook[®] for 1 h, with the device placed at a 45 degree angle, 30 cm in distance away from their eyes. For the following 3 days (days 3-5) the light was scheduled an hour earlier each day with subjects wearing sunglasses from wake up until the beginning of the light treatment. This protocol was used for those subjects working the 19.00-07.00 h night shift (n=8). Due to the observed variability of results from the 19.00-07.00 h study, it was decided for the subsequent study for those working a 18.00-06.00 h shift schedule that the light treatment should be individually timed to phase advance the circadian system. This part of the study was thus not randomized as subjects completed the “no light treatment” leg first to allow the aMT6s rhythm offshore to be analyzed, and then in the second study leg the light treatment was individually timed on the basis of their aMT6s results. Subjects whose offshore aMT6s acrophase was between 11.00-15.00 h followed the protocol as described above (n=7). One subject had an offshore aMT6s acrophase between 15.00-17.00 h and thus started his light treatment on day 2 at 14.00 h.

Rate of adaptation

1
2
3
4 The rate of adaptation back to home time between the light and no light treatment legs
5
6 was calculated using the following equation: (aMT6s acrophase on day 5 – mean aMT6s night
7
8 shift acrophase for the last 2 days (days -2 and -1) offshore) / number of days (=5)).
9

10 11 12 13 **Statistical analysis**

14
15 The data from the two shift schedules were grouped together. Statistical analysis (paired
16
17 Student's t-test) was performed using SPSS 15.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).
18
19 Statistical significance was set at $P < 0.05$. All values are mean \pm SD.
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 **RESULTS**

27
28 No significant differences were observed in the subjects working the two shift schedules
29
30 (18.00-06.00 h and 19.00-07.00 h) in terms of age, BMI and HÖ score. In the 19.00-07.00 h
31
32 shift schedule, two subjects (S1 and S2) did not adapt to the night shift, mean (\pm SD) aMT6s
33
34 acrophases for the last two days offshore were 4.3 ± 0.4 h and 5.3 ± 0.5 h for S1 and S2,
35
36 respectively. As a result these two subjects were excluded from any further analysis. Two
37
38 subjects (S3 and S7) only completed one leg of the study and were also excluded from further
39
40 analysis. Two subjects (S4 and S14) completed sleep diaries and these results are included in the
41
42 analysis but no actigraphy data were obtained and therefore no light exposure analysis could be
43
44 performed. One subject (S9) collected urine for only one study session; these data were
45
46 therefore not included in the circadian phase analysis. In total ten subjects were included in the
47
48 sleep analysis, mean age 46.5 ± 7.8 years, BMI $28. \pm 2.0$ kg/m² and HÖ score 55 ± 5 .
49
50
51
52
53

54 **Prior sleep history**

55
56 Sleep (sleep diaries and actigraphy) prior to the light treatment schedule was assessed for
57
58 7 days offshore (days -7 to -1), as shown in Table 1. Sleep diary sleep duration was 6.79 ± 0.90
59
60 h, whilst actigraphic sleep duration was 5.89 ± 0.65 h.

[Table 1 about here]

Circadian phase

No significant differences ($P > 0.05$) were observed in the mean aMT6s acrophase during the last two days of their night shift offshore between the no light treatment (14.3 ± 2.5 h) and light treatment leg (15.0 ± 1.5 h) (paired Student's t-test). No significant differences were observed in the rate of aMT6s adaptation between the light and no light treatment legs for those subjects who had data for both study sessions ($n=9$). The mean rate of aMT6s adaptation in the no light treatment leg was 2.00 ± 0.45 h/day (mean \pm SD) and in the light treatment leg the mean rate of aMT6s adaptation was 2.16 ± 0.86 h/day. In the light treatment session, 2 subjects adapted by phase advance, whilst 7 phase delayed whereas in the no light treatment session 8 subjects adapted by phase delay and 1 subject phase advanced (Table 2).

Light exposure

No significant differences were observed in the mean timing of the brightest light exposure ($P > 0.05$) between the study legs (days 1-5 on returning onshore) (Table 2). In the light treatment leg the brightest light exposure period occurred between 10.0-14.0 h (mean 12.9 ± 3.2 h) for all of the subjects and in the no light treatment leg the brightest light exposure period occurred between 11.0-16.6 h (mean 13.3 ± 2.7 h) for all of the subjects (Table 2). However significant differences were observed between the light treatment and no light treatment leg ($P = 0.05$) in terms of the amount of bright light exposure that occurred during this time period. In the no light treatment leg, mean light exposure was 2252 ± 1631 lux, whilst in the light treatment leg mean light exposure was increased to 4644 ± 2602 lux. Light exposure, however, was very variable between subjects, with two subjects (S10 and S13) showing little evidence of extra light exposure during the light treatment leg.

[Table 2 about here]

Sleep

Sleep analysis after the night shift was separated into days 1-5 (during the light exposure treatment) and days 6-14 (which were chosen as the main descriptive analysis for all sleep diary and actigraphy data). This allowed a direct comparison between the light and no light treatment legs as days 2-5 could have been affected directly by the light treatment.

Actigraphic sleep

Individual actigraphy data for the light and no light treatment legs for days 1-5 and days 6-14 are shown in Tables 3a and b, respectively.

[Table 3a about here]

[Table 3b about here]

During days 1-5 there was a significant increase ($P = 0.05$) in the sleep efficiency in the light treatment session ($86.7 \pm 5.8\%$) compared to the no light treatment session ($79.4 \pm 10.3\%$). No other statistical differences in actigraphic sleep were observed between the two study conditions. During days 6-14 the following differences were observed: sleep onset was significantly earlier in the light treatment condition (23.67 ± 0.49 h) compared to the no light treatment condition (24.50 ± 0.92 h) ($P = 0.02$). Mean actigraphic sleep duration was significantly longer (6.75 ± 0.50 h) in the light treatment condition compared to 5.76 ± 0.73 h in the no light condition ($P = 0.03$). No other statistical differences were observed between the two

1
2
3
4 study conditions although a trend ($P = 0.06$) to increased sleep efficiency in the light treatment
5
6 leg was observed.
7

8 9 *Subjective sleep (sleep diaries)*

10
11 Individual sleep parameters (mean \pm SD, n=10) derived from the daily sleep diaries for
12
13 days 1-5 and for days 6-14 are shown in Tables 4a and b, respectively.
14
15
16

17
18
19 [Table 4a about here]
20
21

22
23
24 [Table 4b about here]
25
26

27
28 During days 1-5 no significant differences in subjective sleep were observed between the
29
30 light and no light conditions. During days 6-14, however, there was a significant increase in
31
32 sleep duration (8.05 ± 0.72 h) in the light treatment condition compared to 7.32 ± 0.55 h in the
33
34 no light treatment condition ($P = 0.03$). No statistical differences were observed in the other
35
36 parameters analyzed (sleep onset, sleep offset, sleep latency, number and duration of night
37
38 awakenings) between the light and no light treatment legs for days 6-14 after the night shift. A
39
40 trend to a reduced number of night awakenings ($P = 0.06$) during light treatment, however, was
41
42 observed.
43
44
45
46
47

48 *DISCUSSION*

49
50 Analysis of the participants' light exposure revealed that the natural bright light exposure
51
52 was in most subjects (6 out of 8) greater (6105 ± 3444 lux) than the light treatment (3000 lux)
53
54 itself. In the light treatment leg, on day 1 after the night shift all subjects (n=5) with an available
55
56 aMT6s acrophase received the brightest natural light exposure before their peak of melatonin. In
57
58 the no light treatment leg 3 subjects received their brightest natural light exposure before the
59
60 peak of melatonin on day 1, and 2 subjects received their brightest natural light exposure after

1
2
3
4 the peak of melatonin (3 subjects had an unknown acrophase on day 1; Table 2). If the subjects
5
6 wore sunglasses as instructed this should have counteracted the delaying natural light exposure,
7
8 however the limited evidence suggests that in fact most subjects in both study legs adapted by
9
10 delay to home time. To force a phase advance in these circumstances, the avoidance of
11
12 conflicting light (e.g. by wearing sunglasses) is likely to be more important than the light
13
14 treatment itself.
15
16

17
18 During the first 5 days after the night shift significant differences were only observed in
19
20 actigraphic sleep efficiency, which was substantially improved by light treatment (79 to 87%).
21
22 During days 6-14 (after the light exposure period) measurements of both subjective and
23
24 actigraphic sleep showed there was a significant improvement in sleep duration in the light
25
26 treatment leg compared to the no light treatment leg. Similar results have been reported by
27
28 Dawson and Campbell ³¹ who investigated the use of timed light treatment for those working
29
30 night shifts. Workers who were exposed to a 4 h light pulse averaged 62 minutes more sleep
31
32 measured by polysomnography than the control group who received no light. Other studies have
33
34 reported different findings; Ross et al. ²⁴ who assessed circadian phase (aMT6s) tested light
35
36 treatment in a field study in subjects who were working night shifts in Antarctica. They reported
37
38 improvement in sleep latency with timed bright light treatment. Our study, along with Ross et
39
40 al., ²⁴ attempted to investigate in the field if these beneficial findings on sleep were related to
41
42 circadian phase, which this study failed to demonstrate.
43
44
45
46
47

48
49 Lastly Bjorvatn et al. ⁵ investigated offshore shift workers and reported the effects of 30
50
51 mins of bright light treatment (~10,000 lux) given for 4 days upon returning home after
52
53 completion of the 2 week night shift. Light exposure was scheduled individually to phase delay
54
55 the circadian system, based on the assumption that the circadian nadir was located 2 h before the
56
57 subject's habitual time of awakening. Light treatment reduced self-rated sleepiness, though in
58
59 this study circadian phase was not assessed.
60

1
2
3
4 The shift workers studied here slept on average less than a cohort studied by Vorona et al.
5
6 ³² Vorona and colleagues reported that men (n=288) aged 18-91 years with a BMI of 25-29
7
8 kg/m² and assessed with a sleep questionnaire, slept on average 7.57 h. In the no light treatment
9
10 leg, subjects' subjective sleep duration was on average (days 6-14) slightly less (7.32 ± 0.55 h)
11
12 than that studied by Vorona et al. ³²

13
14
15 In our study the improvements in sleep duration during and after light treatment could not
16
17 be attributed to different rates of circadian adaptation as there were no observed differences in
18
19 the rate of adaptation between the light treatment and no light treatment legs. This may be due to
20
21 a number of factors; firstly compliance with the urine sample collection protocol was variable.
22
23 Secondly, natural light exposure during the day could have suppressed melatonin production,
24
25 and therefore calculation of the timing of the aMT6s acrophase would have been affected. In
26
27 addition, the aMT6s acrophase time on the last days offshore was rather later than we had
28
29 anticipated, and thus the scheduled timing of light treatment may not have been optimal to phase
30
31 advance the circadian system in some cases, for example subjects S11 and S9. Natural light
32
33 exposure received after removing sunglasses and the light treatment and received before the peak
34
35 aMT6s may have been partly responsible for the observed phase delays.

36
37
38 The findings of this study thus suggest that the effect of light on sleep is not being
39
40 mediated via circadian adaptation. Light can potentially affect sleep in several other ways e.g.
41
42 increased circadian amplitude, increased sleep duration with a change in photoperiod, increased
43
44 daytime activity and consequently better night sleep. ³³⁻³⁶ Recent publications ^{37,38} demonstrate
45
46 that light acting via melanopsin can directly affect sleep. The Litebox used in this study delivers
47
48 white light in the visible range (400-480 nm) with a peak at 460-480 nm (blue wavelength), and
49
50 the maximal sensitivity of the melanopsin photopigment is known to be in the blue range. ^{39,40}

51
52
53 Riemersma-van der Lek et al. ³³ investigated the effects of bright light and melatonin on
54
55 cognitive and non-cognitive function in elderly residents. Their findings provide evidence for
56
57
58
59
60

actions of light which are not necessarily related to circadian timing but may influence other circadian parameters such as amplitude and hence sleep characteristics.

Subject motivation may also be very important given that it is virtually impossible to blind such light experiments. The subjects recruited in this field study were motivated to try out the light treatment hoping that it would reduce their complaints of feeling ‘jet-lagged’ upon returning home from night shift. This may have provoked them to provide more positive subjective sleep scores following the bright light treatment. By contrast, more statistically significant differences between the light and no light conditions were observed in the objective actigraphic sleep measures than in the subjective sleep diary measures. These findings argue against a “placebo” effect of light. However, as the sleep diary data (sleep onset; sleep offset) were used for the actigraphy analysis, this may have added some element of bias towards the light treatment study session.

As with any field study, certain conditions such as natural light exposure or subject compliance with study instructions cannot be completely controlled. There is no control of the seasonal and daily changes in the outdoor levels of light; though participating subjects completed both study legs in the same season, either summer or winter. Subjects were asked when participating in the light treatment leg to wear the sunglasses provided at certain times; however there was no way of checking that this had actually occurred. In addition, clothing covering the light monitor cannot be strictly controlled although subjects were instructed to wear the activity/light monitor on the outside of the clothing over their sleeves, and to wear it continuously apart from when bathing. Despite these limitations light treatment significantly improved some sleep parameters.

It is possible either to phase advance the timing of the circadian system, if light exposure is timed on the declining phase of the melatonin rhythm, or to phase delay the circadian system if light exposure is timed on the rising phase of the melatonin rhythm.⁴¹⁻⁴³ Thus if bright light exposure occurs at the ‘wrong’ time, this may lengthen the time in which it takes to readapt back

1
2
3
4 to day life, though if subjects are very phase delayed, which was the case for those working the
5
6 19.00-07.00 h shift schedule, it may be better to phase delay the melatonin rhythm rather than
7
8 impose phase advances.
9

10
11 There was a clear beneficial effect of light treatment on some sleep parameters, however,
12
13 due to the study design using both light and sunglasses it cannot be determined if it was the light
14
15 treatment, the avoidance of light or a combination of the two which was responsible for the
16
17 observed effect. This ambiguity could only be resolved if two additional study legs were carried
18
19 out: subjects wearing sunglasses only and subjects only being exposed to light. A crossover
20
21 design with four treatment conditions would have made recruitment difficult and would not have
22
23 been possible within the same season due to the long length of each night shift.
24
25
26

27
28 The underlying cause of many of the adverse health effects that are associated with
29
30 working night shifts is thought to be desynchronization of the circadian system with detrimental
31
32 effects on sleep. Reduction in the length of sleep of between 1-4 hours has been reported to lead
33
34 to increased subjective sleepiness and performance deficits.^{44,45} Therefore the poorer sleep
35
36 experienced in the no light treatment leg could have had a detrimental effect on the daytime
37
38 function of this shift work population.
39
40

41
42 This study has demonstrated that timed light treatment administered to hasten adaptation
43
44 to day life after working night shift significantly improved both actigraphic and subjective sleep
45
46 duration as well as actigraphic sleep efficiency.
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

REFERENCES

1. Harrington JM. Health effects of shiftwork and extended hours of work. *Occup Environ Med.* 2001; 58: 68-72.
2. Bøggild H, Knutsson A. Shift work, risk factors and cardiovascular disease. *Scand J Work Environ Health* 1999; 25: 85-99.
3. Åkerstedt T, Gillberg M. Subjective and objective sleepiness in the active individual. *Int J Neurosci.* 1990; 52: 29-37.
4. Kecklund G, Åkerstedt T. Sleepiness in long distance truck driving: an ambulatory EEG study of night driving. *Ergonomics* 1993; 36: 1007-17.
5. Bjorvatn B, Kecklund G, Akerstedt T. Bright light treatment used for adaptation to night work and re-adaptation back to day life. A field study at an oil platform in the North Sea. *J Sleep Res.* 1999; 8: 105-12.
6. Kecklund G, Akerstedt T. Effects of timing of shifts on sleepiness and sleep duration. *J Sleep Res.* 1995; 4: 47-50.
7. Dijk DJ, Duffy J, Riel E, Shanahan TL, Czeisler CA. Ageing and the circadian and homeostatic regulation of human sleep during forced desynchrony of rest, melatonin and temperature rhythms. *J Physiol.* 1999; 516: 611-27.
8. Wright KP, Jr., Gronfier C, Duffy JF, Czeisler CA. Intrinsic period and light intensity determine the phase relationship between melatonin and sleep in humans. *J Biol Rhythms* 2005; 20: 168-77.
9. Koller M, Harma M, Laitinen JT, Kundi M, Piegler B, Haider M. Different patterns of light exposure in relation to melatonin and cortisol rhythms and sleep of night workers. *J Pineal Res.* 1994; 16: 127-35.
10. Dumont M, Benhaberou-Brun D, Paquet J. Profile of 24-h light exposure and circadian phase of melatonin secretion in night Workers. *J Biol Rhythms* 2001; 16: 502-11.

- 1
2
3
4 11. Barnes RG, Deacon SJ, Forbes MJ, Arendt J. Adaptation of the 6-sulphatoxymelatonin
5
6 rhythm in shiftworkers on offshore oil installations during a 2-week 12-h night shift. *Neurosci*
7
8 *Lett.* 1998a; 241: 9-12.
- 9
10 12. Gibbs M, Hampton S, Morgan L, Arendt J. Adaptation of the circadian rhythm of 6-
11
12 sulphatoxymelatonin to a shift schedule of seven nights followed by seven days in offshore oil
13
14 installation workers. *Neurosci Lett.* 2002; 325: 91-4.
- 15
16 13. Barnes RG, Forbes MJ, Arendt J. Shift type and season affect adaptation of the 6-
17
18 sulphatoxymelatonin rhythm in offshore oil rig workers. *Neurosci Lett.* 1998b; 252: 179-82.
- 19
20 14. Thorne H, Hampton S, Morgan M, Skene DJ, Arendt J. Differences in sleep, light and
21
22 circadian phase in offshore shiftworkers working 18.00-06.00h and 19.00-07.00h. *Chronobio Int.*
23
24 2008; 25: 225-35.
- 25
26 15. Gibbs M, Hampton S, Morgan L, Arendt J. Predicting circadian response to abrupt phase
27
28 shift: 6-sulphatoxymelatonin rhythms in rotating shift workers offshore. *J Biol Rhythms* 2007;
29
30 22: 368-70.
- 31
32 16. Bjorvatn B, Stangenes K, Øyane N, Forberg K, Lowden A, Holsten F, et al. Randomized
33
34 placebo-controlled field study of the effects of bright light and melatonin in adaptation to night
35
36 work. *Scand J Work Environ Health* 2007; 33: 204-15.
- 37
38 17. Czeisler C, Johnson M, Duffy J, Brown E, Ronda J, Kronauer R. Exposure to bright light and
39
40 darkness to treat physiologic maladaptation to night work. *N Engl J Med.* 1990; 322: 1253-9.
- 41
42 18. Eastman CI, Stewart KT, Mahoney MP, Liu L, Fogg LF. Dark goggles and bright light
43
44 improve circadian rhythm adaptation to night-shift work. *Sleep* 1994; 17: 535-43.
- 45
46 19. Warman VL, Dijk D-J, Warman GR, Arendt J, Skene DJ. Phase advancing human circadian
47
48 rhythms with short wavelength light. *Neurosci Lett.* 2003; 342: 37-40.
- 49
50 20. Czeisler C, Kronauer R, Allan J, Duffy J, Jewett M, Brown E, et al. Bright light induction of
51
52 strong (type 0) resetting of the human circadian pacemaker. *Science* 1989; 244: 1328-33.
- 53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

21. Cajochen C, Münch M, Kobiacka S, Kräuchi K, Steiner R, Oelhafen P, et al. High sensitivity of human melatonin, alertness, thermoregulation, and heart rate to short wavelength light. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2005; 90 :1311-6.
22. Stewart KT, Hayes BC, Eastman CI. Light treatment for NASA shiftworkers. *Chronobiol Int.* 1995; 12: 141-51.
23. Midwinter MJ, Arendt J. Adaptation of the melatonin rhythm in human subjects following night-shift work in Antarctica. *Neurosci Lett.* 1991; 122: 195-8.
24. Ross JK, Arendt J, Horne J, Haston W. Night-shift work in Antarctica: Sleep characteristics and bright light treatment. *Physiol Behav.* 1995; 57: 1169-74.
25. Lockley SW, Brainard GC, Czeisler CA. High sensitivity of the human circadian melatonin rhythm to resetting by short wavelength light. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2003; 88: 4502-5.
26. Revell VL, Arendt J, Terman M, Skene DJ. Short-wavelength sensitivity of the human circadian system to phase-advancing light. *J Biol Rhythms* 2005; 20: 270-2.
27. Revell VL, Arendt J, Fogg LF, Skene DJ. Alerting effects of light are sensitive to very short wavelengths. *Neurosci Lett.* 2006; 399: 96-100.
28. Lockley S, Evans E, Scheer F, Brainard G, Czeisler C, Aeschbach D. Short-wavelength sensitivity for the direct effects of light on alertness, vigilance, and the waking electroencephalogram in humans. *Sleep* 2006; 29: 161-8.
29. Horne JA, Östberg O. A self-assessment questionnaire to determine morningness-eveningness in human circadian rhythms. *Int J Chronobiol.* 1976; 4: 97-110.
30. Aldhous ME, Arendt J. Radioimmunoassay for 6-sulphatoxymelatonin in urine using an iodinated tracer. *Ann Clin Biochem.* 1988; 25: 298-303.
31. Dawson D, Campbell S. Timed exposure to bright light improves sleep and alertness during simulated night shifts. *Sleep* 1991; 14: 511-6.

- 1
2
3
4 32. Vorona RD, Winn MP, Babineau TW, Eng BP, Feldman HR, Ware JC. Overweight and
5
6 obese patients in a primary care population report less sleep than patients with a normal body
7
8 mass index. *Arch Intern Med.* 2005; 165 :25-30.
9
- 10 33. Riemersma-van der Lek RF, Swaab DF, Twisk J, Hol EM, Hoogendijk WJ, Van Someren
11
12 EJ. Effect of bright light and melatonin on cognitive and noncognitive function in elderly
13
14 residents of group care facilities: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2008; 299: 2642-55.
15
16
17 34. Dowling G, Burr R, Van Someren E, Hubbard E, Luxenberg J, Mastick J, et al. Melatonin
18
19 and bright-light treatment for rest-activity disruption in institutionalized patients with
20
21 Alzheimer's disease. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2008; 56: 239-46.
22
23
24 35. Ancoli-Israel S, Gehrman P, Martin JL, Shochat T, Marler M, Corey-Bloom J, et al.
25
26 Increased light exposure consolidates sleep and strengthens circadian rhythms in severe
27
28 Alzheimer's disease patients. *Behav Sleep Med.* 2003; 1: 22-36.
29
30
31 36. Wehr T. The durations of human melatonin secretion and sleep respond to changes in
32
33 daylength (photoperiod). *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 1991; 73: 1276-80.
34
35
36 37. Tsai J, Hannibal J, Hagiwara G, Colas D, Ruppert E, Ruby N, et al. Melanopsin as a sleep
37
38 modulator: circadian gating of the direct effects of light on sleep and altered sleep homeostasis in
39
40 *Opn4(-/-)* mice. *Plos Biol.* 2009; 7: e1000125.
41
42
43 38. Lupi D, Oster H, Thompson S, Foster R. The acute light-induction of sleep is mediated by
44
45 OPN4-based photoreception. *Nat Neurosci.* 2008; 11: 1068-73.
46
47
48 39. Thapan K, Arendt J, Skene D. An action spectrum for melatonin suppression: evidence for a
49
50 novel non-rod, non-cone photoreceptor system in humans. *J Physiol.* 2001; 535: 261-7.
51
52
53 40. Brainard G, Hanifin J, Greeson J, Byrne B, Glickman G, Gerner E, et al. Action spectrum for
54
55 melatonin regulation in humans: evidence for a novel circadian photoreceptor. *J Neurosci.* 2001
56
57 21: 6405-12.
58
59
60 41. Khalsa S, Jewett M, Cajochen C, Czeisler C. A phase response curve to single bright light
pulses in human subjects. *J Physiol.* 2003; 549: 945-52.

- 1
2
3
4 42. Eastman C, Martin S. How to use light and dark to produce circadian adaptation to night shift
5
6 work. *Ann Med.* 1999; 31: 87-98.
7
8 43. Johnson C. "Forty years of PRC - What have we learned?" *Chronobiol Int.* 1999; 16: 711-43.
9
10 44. Koslowsky M, Babkoff H. Meta-analysis of the relationship between total sleep deprivation
11
12 and performance. *Chronobio Int.* 1992; 9: 132-6.
13
14
15 45. Rosenthal L, Roehrs T, Rosen A, Roth T. Levels of sleepiness and total sleep time following
16
17 various time in bed conditions. *Sleep* 1993; 16: 226-32.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Review Only

Table 1: Sleep diary (n=10) and actigraphy (n=8) data (mean \pm SD) for the last 7 days of the first leg of the subjects' night shift, before returning home to day life.

Sleep parameter	Sleep diary	Actigraphy
Sleep onset (dec. h)	8.72 \pm 1.03	9.06 \pm 1.11
Sleep offset (dec. h)	15.93 \pm 1.22	15.89 \pm 1.29
Sleep duration (dec. h)	6.79 \pm 0.90	5.89 \pm 0.65
Sleep latency (dec. h)	0.16 \pm 0.10	0.22 \pm 0.22
Sleep efficiency (%)	N/A	82.7 \pm 6.3
No. of night awakenings/day	1.4 \pm 0.7	N/A
Duration of night awakenings (dec. h)	0.19 \pm 0.13	N/A
Sleep Quality	4.5 \pm 1.0	N/A

N/A – not applicable

Table 2: Time and maximum light exposure on days 1-5 onshore (mean \pm SD) and aMT6s acrophase (day 1) for the light and no light treatment legs.

Subject*	Light treatment				No light treatment			
	Time of brightest light exposure (dec.h)	Light exposure (lux)	aMT6s acrophase day 1 (dec.h)	Advance or delay	Time of brightest light exposure (dec.h)	Light exposure (lux)	aMT6s acrophase day 1 (dec.h)	Advance or delay
S4	14.0 \pm 2.5	5701 \pm 5692	17.9	Delay	12.8 \pm 2.3	4644 \pm 4046	N/A	Delay
S5	14.0 \pm 2.6	5701 \pm 5693	17.2	Delay	13.0 \pm 2.2	5771 \pm 3875	10.9	Delay
S6	13.4 \pm 2.5	10329 \pm 3527	N/A	Delay	16.6 \pm 2.5	3091 \pm 1376	13.7	Advance
S8	13.5 \pm 2.4	4560 \pm 3284	19.4	Advance	12.3 \pm 2.2	215 \pm 132	15.1	Delay
S9	12.6 \pm 3.0	3783 \pm 2354	18.3	Delay	13.5 \pm 2.4	678 \pm 650	N/A	Delay
S10	10.0 \pm 6.2	270 \pm 112	N/A	Delay	13.6 \pm 4.9	263 \pm 101	19.9	Delay
S11	12.3 \pm 1.5	6558 \pm 3112	18.1	Advance	11.0 \pm 2.6	1105 \pm 1237	22.9	Delay
S13	13.0 \pm 5.1	253 \pm 40	N/A	Delay	N/A	N/A	13.1	Delay
Mean \pm SD	12.9 \pm 3.2	4644 \pm 2602	18.2. \pm 0.8		13.3 \pm 1.7	2252 \pm 1631	13.3 \pm 2.7	

N/A – not available

*All subjects received light treatment on day 1 at 13.00-14.00 h except S11 who received light treatment on day 1 at 14.00-15.00 h.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Review Only

Table 3b: Actigraphic sleep parameters for days 6-14 onshore (mean \pm SD, n=8) for the no light treatment and light treatment legs.

Sleep parameter	No light treatment	Light treatment	P value
Sleep onset (dec.h)	24.50 \pm 0.92	23.67 \pm 0.49	0.02**
Sleep offset (dec. h)	6.93 \pm 0.64	6.86 \pm 0.99	0.17
Sleep duration (dec. h)	5.76 \pm 0.73	6.75 \pm 0.50	0.03**
Sleep efficiency (%)	80.6 \pm 6.6	84.3 \pm 6.3	0.06
Fragmentation index	36.7 \pm 10.7	34.0 \pm 10.3	0.13
Sleep latency (dec. h)	0.34 \pm 0.19	0.26 \pm 0.19	0.08

** $P < 0.05$

For Review Only

Table 4a: Subjective sleep parameters for days 1-5 onshore (mean \pm SD, n=10) for the no light treatment and light treatment legs.

Sleep parameter	No light treatment	Light treatment	P value
Sleep onset (dec. h)	22.91 \pm 0.76	22.92 \pm 1.47	0.98
Sleep offset (dec. h)	6.66 \pm 1.64	6.51 \pm 1.22	0.66
Sleep duration (dec. h)	7.26 \pm 1.50	6.99 \pm 0.96	0.27
Sleep latency (dec. h)	0.13 \pm 0.10	0.14 \pm 0.11	0.88
No. of night awakenings/day	1.5 \pm 0.9	1.3 \pm 0.8	0.19
Duration of night awakenings (dec. h)	0.32 \pm 0.27	0.31 \pm 0.38	0.96
Sleep quality	5.1 \pm 1.2	4.6 \pm 1.2	0.09

Table 4b: Subjective sleep parameters for days 6-14 onshore (mean \pm SD, n=10) for the no light treatment and light treatment legs.

Sleep parameter	No light treatment	Light treatment	P-value
Sleep onset (dec. h)	23.45 \pm 0.55	23.15 \pm 0.41	0.19
Sleep offset (dec. h)	7.12 \pm 0.72	7.43 \pm 1.19	0.22
Sleep duration (dec. h)	7.32 \pm 0.55	8.05 \pm 0.72	0.03**
Sleep latency (dec. h)	0.16 \pm 0.10	0.14 \pm 0.09	0.27
No. of night awakenings/day	0.60 \pm 0.69	0.25 \pm 0.31	0.06
Duration of night awakenings (dec. h)	0.19 \pm 0.18	0.09 \pm 0.06	0.09
Sleep quality	4.1 \pm 1.1	4.6 \pm 1.6	0.38

** $P < 0.05$