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Abstract

The vertical variation of particle number distributions and concentrations in a street canyon is the result of the competing influences of meteorology, traffic and transformation processes overall and for various particle size ranges. A recently developed instrument, the ‘fast response differential mobility spectrometer DMS500’, measured particle number distributions (PNDs) in the 5-2738 nm range, pseudo-simultaneously, at four different heights \((z/H = 0.09, 0.19, 0.40 \text{ and } 0.64)\) on the leeward side of an 11.6 m deep street canyon which had a height to width ratio of near unity. Measurements were made in Cambridge, UK between the 20th and 21st March 2007.

The PNDs were bimodal with the same shape at each height, and with similar values of both the peak and geometric mean particle diameters in each mode. This suggested that transformation processes were not important. Coagulation and condensation time scales were comparable and large and these processes should have had a negligible effect on the PNDs.

The particle number concentrations (PNCs) changed significantly with height from a maximum at \(z/H = 0.19\) and decreasing towards both the lowest \((z/H = 0.09)\) and highest \((z/H = 0.64)\) sampling points. The decrease in PNCs with height in the upper part of the canyon was attributed to the removal of particles as a result of mass exchange between street canyon and the wind above while the reduction in the PNC towards street level was thought to be due to dilution and dry deposition.

Over 99% of the PNCs were found in 10-300 nm range whereas the particle mass concentrations were almost equally distributed between the 10-1000 nm and 1000-2738 nm size range at each height. The PNCs in the 10-30 nm and the 30-300 nm size range were linearly correlated with the traffic volume but poorly correlated with the rooftop wind speed.
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1. Introduction

Regulations to control the emission of atmospheric particulate matter (PM) are based on limits for PM$_{10}$ ($D_p \leq 10\mu$m) and PM$_{2.5}$ ($D_p \leq 2.5\mu$m), using particle mass concentrations, not particle number concentrations. Recent toxicological studies indicate greater toxicity for ultrafine particles ($D_p \leq 100$ nm) than coarser particles, per unit mass (Oberdorster, 2000); epidemiological studies suggest correlation between exposure to ambient ultrafine particles at high number concentration, and adverse health effects (Davidson et al., 2005; Peters and Wichmann, 2001). The ultrafine fraction of PM$_{2.5}$ contributes significantly to particle number concentrations (PNCs) but little to particle mass concentrations (AQEG, 2005). The lack of standard methods and instrumentation for particle number measurements and detailed understanding of the influence exerted on fine particle dispersion by ambient meteorology and traffic flows have limited the scope for designing effective strategies for the mitigation of particulate pollution in urban areas.

Vehicles are the major source of ultrafine particles in urban street canyons (Schauer et al., 1996); high PNCs are common since the surrounding built-up environment limits dispersion of exhaust emissions (Van Dingenen et al., 2004). Concentrations can be much greater than in unobstructed locations (Bauman et al., 1982; Kumar et al., 2007). Over the past two decades several groups have studied dispersion of vehicular emissions (gaseous pollutants and particulates) in urban street canyons (Boddy et al., 2005; Kastner-Klein et al., 2004; Kim and Baik, 2004), but the vertical variation of particulate matter (both particle mass and particle number concentrations) is still a matter of discussion. This variation is affected by factors including traffic volume, meteorology (including flow and turbulence produced by wind, traffic and atmospheric instability), the geometry of the street canyon (including the aspect ratio and street orientation), and any advection from adjacent streets. Removal (dry and wet deposition) and transformation (nucleation and condensation/evaporation) processes
may also play an important role in altering particle mass and number concentrations at different heights in urban street canyons.

Studies of vertical variation in gaseous and particulate mass concentrations have shown different vertical concentration profiles. Bauman et al. (1982) observed a decreasing concentration of carbon monoxide (CO) with height above road level; Zoumakis (1995) found this decrease to be exponential. Similar findings were reported by Murena and Vorraro (2003) for benzene. Few studies exist of vertical variations of particle number concentrations in urban street canyons (Kumar et al., 2008; Li et al., 2007; Longley et al., 2004b). Kumar et al. (2008) also found a decrease in particle number (in the 5-1000 nm range) concentration with height in the lower part (first 2.60 m) of an approximately 20 m high street canyon; similar results were reported for PNCs in the 10-487 nm range by Li et al. (2007) for an asymmetric street canyon having sides 10-18 and 22-28 m high. Near-surface and rooftop level studies for fine particles had also indicated the decrease of PNCs with height above road level (Longley et al., 2004a; Vakeva et al., 1999). However, other studies (Micallef et al., 1998; Colls and Micallef, 1999; Park et al., 2004; Weber et al., 2006) reported increasing mass concentration of PM$_{10}$, PM$_{2.5}$ and CO with height in the lower part of the canyon, and then decreasing concentrations in the upper part of the canyon. Longley et al. (2004b) reported similar results for fine PNCs.

European Union directive 1999/30/EC (EC, 1999) suggests sampling heights between 1.5 and 4 m above road level, and up to 8 m above road level under specific local circumstances. The variability of vertical concentration profiles described above raises the question: “What should be the recommended height(s) for pollutant measurements in street
Very little information is available on the vertical variation of PNC in the coarse (1000 and 2500 nm), fine (below 1000 nm) and ultrafine (fraction of fine particles those below 100 nm) particles in urban street canyons. Here, pseudo-simultaneous measurements were taken at four different heights (i.e., 1.00, 2.25, 4.62 and 7.37 m) of an 11.6 m deep street canyon in Cambridge (UK). Unlike most other studies, real-time continuous measurements of the particle number distributions in 5-2738 nm range were made, sampling at 0.5 Hz using a 4-way solenoid switching system together with a recently developed instrument, the ‘fast response differential mobility spectrometer (DMS500)’. The aims were to determine the vertical variations of mass and particle number concentrations in a typical European street canyon and to evaluate the influences of meteorology, traffic volume, and transformation processes on dispersion of particles in the coarse, fine and ultrafine size ranges.

2. Methodology

2.1 Site Description

Field experiments were performed between 20th and 21st March 2007 in Pembroke Street (Cambridge, UK; 52°12’ N and 0°10’ E) just outside the Chemical Engineering Department building (Fig. 1a). Pembroke Street is close to the city centre; distinct peaks in weekday traffic occur at 08:00-09:00 h, 11:00-12:00 h and 19:00-20:00 h local time. The studied section of street canyon (Fig. 1b) is 167 m long, running approximately northeast to southwest. The Chemical Engineering Department is on the northwest (NW) side of the street and Pembroke College on the southeast (SE) with mean building heights ($H$) of about 11.6 m and 11.5 m respectively. The street canyon is nearly symmetrical, with pitched roofed (sloped parallel to the street) buildings on either side of the street. The street canyon is 11.75 m wide ($W$) with one lane (6.65 m wide) of traffic traveling towards the northeast. Traffic flow at the northeast (NE) end of the street is regulated by traffic signals while the traffic flow is free at
the southwest (SW) end. The studied section has an aspect ratio ($H/W$) of about unity (0.98) and has a length to height ratio ($L/H$) about 14, making it a ‘long length’ street canyon (Vardoulakis et al., 2003). The sampling points were 66 m from the SW end of the street canyon, 0.40 m from the wall of the Chemical Engineering Department building and set back 2.20 m from the kerb. During the studied period winds were from the NW (see Section 3.1), and the next adjacent parallel street (away from the traffic restricted area) in the upwind direction was ~ 800 m away (Fig. 1a). Therefore, it is most unlikely that emissions from adjacent streets affected our measurements.

2.2 Instrumentation

A novel 4-way solenoid switching system, constructed for this study, was used with the particle spectrometer (DMS500) to measure particle number distributions pseudo-simultaneously (see Section 2.3) in the 5-2738 nm range at four heights. The DMS500 can measure particle number distributions at a frequency of 10 Hz. However, our experiments recorded the average of 20 measurements (i.e., 0.5 Hz sampling frequency) to improve the signal/noise ratio. A detailed description of the working principle of the DMS500 and its application in different scenarios can be found in Collings et al. (2003), Biskos et al. (2005) and Symonds et al. (2007). The instrument was calibrated by the manufacturer, immediately before the study, using polystyrene spheres of known diameter and by comparing the results from sampling an aerosol with those from a scanning mobility particle sizer. Calibration errors in particle diameter measurements and sample flow rates were 3.4% and 2.3% respectively. A cyclone, with a steel restrictor with a 0.52 mm diameter hole, at the head of the sampling tube maintained a sample flow rate of 2.5 l min$^{-1}$, and reduced the pressure within the sampling tube to 0.16 bars, improving the instrument’s time response and reducing particle agglomeration (Biskos et al. 2005).
The switching system was designed to take pseudo-simultaneous measurements by automatically switching the sampling flow between each height once every 60 seconds, though it was capable of switching times between 20 and 150 seconds.

An automatic vortex, pole mounted, 3-cup anemometer (Windware, UK, maximum measurable wind speed 56 m s$^{-1}$) recorded the wind speed 5 m above the rooftop (i.e., 16.60 m above road level). A wireless weather station (Thermor, UK) 4.62 m above road level recorded ambient temperature, humidity, atmospheric pressure, wind speed and direction. Readings from the Cambridge University operated AT&T weather station were correlated with these local observations, which were found to be in reasonable agreement, these readings were used to determine the wind direction.

2.3 Measurements

Measurements were recorded continuously for 24 hours (16:00 to 16:00 h), between the 20th and 21st March 2007, at four different heights ($z$) (1.00, 2.25, 4.62 and 7.37 m; referred to as $z/H = 0.09, 0.19, 0.40$ and 0.64 respectively). To reduce particle losses in the sampling tubes and to reduce the lag-times, four independent sampling heads were used, one at each height. All four heads were mounted on a single pole, which was securely fastened to the building using guy ropes. It was thought to be unwise to leave the pole and sampling heads unattended so one or two of the researchers constantly observed the system. It is for this reason that the study only took place over one 24-hour period. A DMS500 was used with the switching system that changed the sample height once every 60 seconds taking a total of 15 measurements in one hour at each height. The results for the first 15 seconds of each 60-second sample were discarded to enable the previous sample to clear from the sampling tube and to equilibrate the pressure within the sampling tube. Exactly simultaneous measurements at each height could not be performed because only one instrument was available, but since the sampling was done in 360 separate time periods in total during the
measurements whilst the PNC changed in an essentially random manner with respect to time, sufficient measurements were made to draw conclusions on the vertical variations of the PNC with height.

The wind speed was recorded every minute during the entire sampling period both within the street (at 4.62 m or \( z/H = 0.40 \); hereafter called \( U_s \)) and above the rooftop (i.e., at 16.6 m or \( z/H = 1.43 \); hereafter called \( U_r \)). Outdoor temperature, relative humidity and pressure were recorded approximately every 15 minutes traffic volumes were sampled through the measurement period by a movement sensitive CCTV camera. Manual traffic counts were also made through the day to ensure that the sampling was reliable.

2.4 Particle losses in tubes

Four different lengths (5.17, 5.55, 8.90 and 13.40 m; referred to as \( L_1, L_2, L_3 \) and \( L_4 \) respectively, 7.85 mm internal diameter) of thermally and electrically conductive sampling tube, made of silicon rubber to which carbon has been added, were used to obtain samples from each of the sampling heights. To quantify the particle losses in these tubes together with the switching system, particle measurements were made, using the same time frequency, \( etc \), from a stationary diesel engine car’s exhaust (at a distance of approximately 500 mm), and compared with separately taken measurements using a reference tube of much shorter length \( (L_{\text{ref}} = 1.0 \text{ m}) \). We assumed that the losses in \( L_{\text{ref}} \) would be equivalent to the losses in first meter of each of the other 4 tubes, and determined the losses in each tube relative to their “corrected” length (i.e. their actual length minus 1 m). The size-dependent penetrations for the effective length of different tubes were then defined as the number concentration through the effective \( L_1, L_2, L_3 \) and \( L_4 \) of tube divided by the number concentration through the \( L_{\text{ref}} \) of tube. A correlation for penetration as a function of effective length and particle diameter was determined and was used to estimate the penetration for the actual lengths used. The penetrations for each tube length are shown in Fig. 2. Particle losses below 10 nm diameter
are highly significant; as high as ~80% for L₄, so have been discarded for subsequent analysis. Particle losses between 10 nm and 20 nm ranges are significant; size dependent corrections have been made for this range. No corrections were performed for particle sizes greater than 20 nm. Comparison of experimental results with laminar and turbulent flow regime models (Hinds, 1999) were also made. The results were better described by the turbulent flow model, even though the Reynolds number in all the sample line lengths was within the laminar regime.

3. Results and Discussions

3.1 Meteorology and traffic volume during experiments

The variation of the rooftop (Uᵣ) and in-street (Uₛ) wind speeds during the sampling period is shown in Fig 3. The hourly-averaged values of Uᵣ and Uₛ over the entire sampling period were 3.1 ± 0.8 m s⁻¹ and 0.3 ± 0.2 m s⁻¹ respectively. The values of Uᵣ were found to be well correlated to Uₛ (i.e., Uₛ ranged between 0.10 Uᵣ and 0.15 Uᵣ). This value of Uₛ seems to be considerably smaller than expected. The typical recirculation velocity is 1/3-1/2 of Uᵣ for a street canyon of near unity aspect ratio with Uᵣ perpendicular to the street axis and exceeds 1.5-2 m s⁻¹ (Britter and Hanna, 2003).

Figure 4 shows the wind rose diagram for the one-minute averaged Uᵣ for the entire sampling period. The predominant wind direction was from the NW (i.e. a cross-canyon flow perpendicular to the street axis). Measurement points were on the leeward side of the street canyon (Fig. 1). Table 1 shows the frequency of the one minute averaged Uᵣ at different heights. For most of the time (88%), Uᵣ was between 1.5 m s⁻¹ and 4.5 m s⁻¹. It exceeded this range for 8% of the time, and was less than 1.5 m s⁻¹ for only 4%.

The hourly average traffic volume through the test site was determined over the sampling period (mean 536 veh h⁻¹, standard deviation 266 veh h⁻¹). Traffic volume was smallest between 00:00 h and 07:00 h (mean 204 veh h⁻¹, standard deviation 70 veh h⁻¹) and
was largest between 07:00 h and 16:00 h (mean 758 veh h\(^{-1}\), standard deviation 190 veh h\(^{-1}\)).

Between 17:00 h and 24:00 h the hourly average and standard deviation were 501 veh h\(^{-1}\) and 111 veh h\(^{-1}\) respectively. The maximum traffic volume occurred between 08:00 h and 09:00 h at 1092 veh h\(^{-1}\); the minimum (140 veh h\(^{-1}\)) was between 00:00 and 01:00 h. These traffic volumes were generally consistent with traffic volumes on other weekdays that were collected as a part of a wider experimental campaign. Traffic speed was manually measured to be 30 ± 7 km h\(^{-1}\). Since the SW end of the street canyon had a free flow of traffic, periods of congestion were rarely observed.

3.2  Vertical variations of particle number distributions (PND) and particle number concentrations (PNC)

Figure 5 (a-d) shows the mean PNDs at each height throughout the measurement period. The PNDs could be described as consisting of distinct populations in different modes and quantified with their total particle number, geometric mean diameter and shape. The modes were categorized as nucleation (10 - 30 nm, N\(_{10-30}\)), accumulation (30 - 300 nm, N\(_{30-300}\)) or coarse (300 – 2738, N\(_{300-2738}\)) particles. Nucleation mode particles are believed to be formed by gas-to-particle conversion after rapid cooling and dilution of exhaust emissions when the saturation ratio of gaseous compounds of low volatility (e.g., sulphuric acid) reaches a maximum (Charron and Harrison, 2003). Accumulation mode particles are formed in the combustion chamber (with associated condensed organic matter); they are composed of carbonaceous agglomerates (soot particles) and ash coming mainly from diesel engined or direct injection gasoline engined vehicles (Graskow et al., 1998). A fraction of these particles (those between 30 and 100 nm, called Aitken mode particles) arise from the growth or coagulation of nucleation mode particles, and are also produced in high numbers by primary combustion sources such as vehicle exhausts (Kulmala et al., 2004). Coarse particles are
mainly produced from brake wear, tyre wear and the resuspension of particles by traffic- and wind-produced turbulence.

The PNDs at each height were bimodal with each mode having a lognormal form. Two distinct modes peaking at 13.3 nm (nucleation mode) and 86.6 nm (accumulation mode) were seen at each height (Fig. 5 a-d). The shape of the PNDs at each height were similar but the maximum height of PNDs was seen at $z/H = 0.19$ decreasing towards the lowest ($z/H = 0.09$) and the highest ($z/H = 0.64$) sampling heights. The similarity in shape and negligible shift in peak and geometric mean diameter of PNDs in both modes at each height suggest that transformation processes were generally complete by the time the particles were measured and total particle numbers were conserved. Variations in PNDs with height were believed to be due to dilution and mixing caused by wind-produced and traffic-produced turbulence, and the removal processes at the road and rooftop levels and the walls rather than particle transformation processes. The relevance of various particle transformation and removal processes are estimated from time scale analyses in Section 3.5.

It is expected that particle number concentrations will be larger in the lower part of the canyon due to the presence of the emission sources. The PNCs are expected to decrease with height due to removal of particles as a result of the mass exchange between the street canyon and the (less polluted) wind above. Interestingly, the vertical profiles show smaller PNC at $z/H = 0.09$ and a maximum at $z/H = 0.19$ in each size range, as seen in Fig. 6. It should be noted that the background PNCs, which could be assumed to be the y-intercept of the fit to the data (PNCs vs traffic volume) with zero traffic volume and emissions (see Table 3), are not subtracted before plotting the vertical profiles since these values were much smaller (<10% of PNCs in each size range as shown in Table 3) than the values measured and do not change the general shape of the profiles.
To compare our vertical profiles with other studies, we reviewed the literature (Supplementary Table S1) showing the vertical profiles for PNCs, particle mass concentrations (PM$_{10}$, PM$_{2.5}$) and gaseous pollutants (CO, NOx, Benzene). Most studies provide data only above ~2.5 m, and above this height all show concentration decreasing with height, as does our current study. However, a few studies (Micallef et al., 1998; Colls and Micallef, 1999) measured PM$_{10}$, PM$_{2.5}$ in the lowest 3m of the canyon; their concentration profiles were not monotonically decreasing with height; both the largest and the smallest concentrations were observed at 1.0 m on some occasions. Conversely, our previous study (Kumar et al., 2008) covered only the first 2.60 m of the canyon, and the concentrations were found to be decreasing with height. Similarly, Li et al. (2007) reported concentrations at 1.5 m, and found the exponential decrease in concentrations with height though the difference in the their first and second measurement points are quite high (i.e., 1.5 and 8 m). Both studies represent asymmetric canyons where the mixing and flow conditions would be different from the symmetric canyon studied here. Similar to our current work, profiles were shown by Weber et al. (2006) with the largest PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ at 3.9 m, decreasing towards road level (2.5 m) and rooftop (8.84 m). Furthermore, Longley et al. (2004b) reported the largest PNCs (10-487 nm) at 10 m on some occasions, decreasing towards road (3.5 m) and rooftop (18 m) levels. Apart from differences in canyon geometry, the main difference for our study is that the traffic flow was one-way as compared with two-way traffic in most other studies. Also, the present study only represents the cross canyon (NW, leeward situation) winds as compared with several other wind conditions in other studies.

Some possibilities are proposed for the smaller concentrations at $z/H = 0.09$ than at $z/H = 0.19$. Firstly, ignoring the effect of traffic produced turbulence (likely to be similar at the first two sampling heights), dilution and dry deposition are the important processes, as evident from their time scales (see Section 3.5). Dilution can also be assumed to be
comparable for both heights, leaving dry deposition as the important additional process (due to the small time scale) for the concentration differences near the road surfaces. Other evidence of this can be seen by the good linear correlation between $N_{10-30}$ and $N_{30-300}$ (shown in Table 3), with negative $N_{10-30}$ intercept only at $z/H = 0.09$, indicating a sink of these particles at this height. We expect that dry deposition should remove the smaller particles (i.e., $N_{10-30}$) more effectively due to their high diffusion coefficient compared with that for larger particles (i.e., $N_{30-300}$). This difference can be seen by the concentration profiles for $N_{10-30}$ and $N_{30-300}$ particles between $z/H = 0.09$ and 0.19; the PNCs in $N_{10-30}$ range at $z/H = 0.19$ were only 1.33 times higher than at $z/H = 0.09$, indicating much higher removal of smaller particles, as compared with 1.79 times larger at $z/H = 0.19$ for the PNCs in $N_{30-300}$ range than at $z/H = 0.09$.

Secondly, the flow in a real street canyon is likely to be considerably more complex than the simple descriptions that we and others typically use, in reality involving along and cross street flows, recirculating vortex and flow intermittency; these complexities will probably be specific to each individual street canyon. A recirculating vortex structure in the canyon can transport the pollutants from the windward side, along with the sweeping of near road concentrations ($z/H = 0.09$) to the more elevated sampling points on the leeward side. Some variation in observations due to canyon asymmetry, other nearby streets, one-way or two-way traffic and other individualities are also to be expected. It is possible that the one-way traffic in our study may have also influenced our results. This would accentuate the formation of trailing vortices in the vehicle wake (Baker, 2001). These trailing vortices may transport the pollutants from the lowest sampling point to the upper sampling points. Since the sampling points were quite close to the traffic lane (see Section 2.1), the influence of this on the first two sampling points may be significant. Our results show that the dispersion of
pollutants in the lower part of the canyon may not be straightforward and there is clearly a need for further more detailed studies.

3.3 Vertical variations of particle mass distributions (PMDs) and concentrations (PMCs) with height

Particle mass distributions \(dM/d\log D_p\) were obtained by multiplying the “corrected” number distribution \(dN/d\log D_p\) by mass per particle \(M(D_p)\) (Park et al., 2003) i.e.,

\[
\frac{dM}{d \log D_p} = M(D_p) \frac{dN}{d \log D_p} \tag{1}
\]

Detailed description of the estimation of \(M(D_p)\) can be seen in Symonds et al. (2007) and Park et al. (2003). The density of particles is assumed to be 1 gm cm\(^{-3}\). The hourly-averaged PMDs at each height for the entire sampling period are shown in Fig. 7 (a-d). The PMDs at each height were similar in shape, with a large PMD peak at 183 ± 46 nm and another at 649 nm. As with the PNDs, the highest PMDs were observed at \(z/H = 0.19\), with peaks at 237 nm and 649 nm. Using the corrected PMDs, particle mass concentrations (PMC) were obtained in two broad categories i.e., PM\(_1\) (mass concentrations of particles having \(D_p \leq 1000\) nm) and PM\(_{2.7}\) (mass concentrations for the fraction of particles having \(1000\) nm < \(D_p \leq 2738\) nm) for further analysis. Since at all heights, the PMDs showed maximum mass in the 30-300 nm range, the PMCs in this range (PM\(_{0.03-0.3}\)) were also derived (Fig. 9). Hourly-averaged values for PM\(_{2.7}\) and PM\(_1\) over the entire sampling period at all heights were 51% and 49% of total mass respectively. The proportion changed to 58% and 42% at \(z/H = 0.09\); this was expected because of the larger amount of coarse particles near to the street level. Otherwise, this proportion was consistent at \(z/H = 0.19, 0.40\) and 0.64 having about 48 ± 0.58% and 52 ± 0.58% of total mass for PM\(_{2.7}\) and PM\(_1\) respectively. As also expected, PM\(_{0.03-0.3}\) contributed significantly (67 ± 9%) to PM\(_1\) mass at each height. The PMCs for the nucleation mode (those between 10 and 30 nm range) contributed much less (4.1 ± 1.9%) to the PM\(_1\) at each height. It is important to note that the PM\(_{2.7}\) mass, which is similar to the PM\(_1\) mass,
represents only some 10’s of particles per cm$^3$ in the $N_{1000-2738}$ range in contrast to the $10^4$’s of particles per cm$^3$ in $N_{10-1000}$ range.

The vertical profiles for PM$_{2.7}$, PM$_1$ and PM$_{0.03-0.3}$ are shown in Fig. 8. The shape of the PMC profiles are similar to the PNC profiles, showing the smallest concentrations at $z/H = 0.09$. As shown in Table S.1 and discussed in Section 3.2, this is not a common feature of vertical profiles for PMCs since most studies (Li et al., 2007; Vogt et al., 2006; Zoumakis, 1995) have reported maximum concentrations near the canyon bottom decreasing with increasing height above road level. However, similar vertical profiles for PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_1$ were reported by Weber et al. (2006), and for PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ in the first 3 m of an urban street canyon by Micallef et al. (1998) and Colls and Micallef (1999), attributed to larger variations in pollution mixing due to traffic-produced turbulence close to ground.

3.4 Modal share of particle number concentrations (PNCs), total particle surface area concentration (ToS) and total particle volume concentration (ToV) at different heights

Particles in the $N_{10-30}$ range dominate (~65-77% of $N_{10-2738}$) the PNCs at each height and particles in the $N_{10-300}$ range accounted for nearly all (~99.5% of $N_{10-2738}$) of the PNCs (Fig. 9a). Similar observations were reported by Wehner and Wiedensohler (2003) in their long-term study (over 4 years) for sub-micron particles in Leipzig, and by Tuch et al. (1997) for European cities. The ratio of $N_{10-30}$ to $N_{30-300}$ was smallest (1.94) at $z/H = 0.19$ and increased to 2.61 at $z/H = 0.09$ and to 3.49 at $z/H = 0.64$. Low number concentrations of pre-existing particles have been reported to favour both production of new particles and their growth to detectable sizes in the atmosphere (Kulmala et al., 2004), while high number concentrations of pre-existing particles promote both the condensation of semi-volatile vapours and disfavour the growth of fresh nuclei and their survival from high coagulation scavenging (Kerminen et al., 2001). Despite conditions being favourable for nucleation, changes in $N_{10-30}$ and $N_{30-300}$ ratios seems to be largely due to relatively higher decrease (44,
32 and 64% at $z/H = 0.09$, 0.40 and 0.64 respectively from $z/H = 0.19$) in PNCs in the $N_{30-300}$ range due to dilution as compared with PNCs decrease (25, 20 and 36% at $z/H = 0.09$, 0.40 and 0.64 respectively from $z/H = 0.19$) in $N_{10-30}$ range.

The geometric mean diameters (GMDs) in Fig. 9b, averaged over the entire sampling period and all heights, in the $N_{10-30}$ and $N_{30-300}$ size ranges were consistently $16.4 \pm 0.9$ nm and $64.7 \pm 5.1$ nm respectively. However, the GMD in the $N_{300-2738}$ size range was $661 \pm 268$ nm, showing large variation, probably due to the limited number of particles in that data set. The total particle surface area and volume concentrations (ToS and ToV) in Figures 9c and 9d reflect the PNC but with increased weighting towards larger particles.

### 3.5 Time scale analysis for various transformation processes

As discussed in Section 3.4, removal and transformation processes such as dry deposition, coagulation and condensation may be important for changing the particle number concentrations and the associated total particle number (ToN), total particle surface area concentrations (ToS) and total particle volume concentrations (ToV) in any size range. Though these processes are not treated in detail here, we have estimated the time scales for these processes to give a first indication of their relevance on the PNCs at different heights, and to test the above assumed (Section 3.2) hypothesis that the transformation processes (except possibly condensation) were generally complete by the time particles were measured and the particle numbers were also conserved. These time scales might be thought of a relative measure of the time taken to reduce the concentration of particles in the street, if the source was turned off. Thus a short time scale indicates a strong process. The main body of these detailed calculations is available in the supplementary Section S.1; the conclusions are summarised here.

The deduced time scales were of the order of 40 s for dilution, 30s and 130s for dry deposition to the road surface and 600s and 2600s for the dry deposition to the road walls for
the $N_{10-30}$ and $N_{30-300}$ ranges respectively. For coagulation the time scale for $N_{10-30}$ particles was of the order of $10^5$ s and for $N_{30-300}$ it was of order $5 \times 10^5$ s. For condensation the time scales were of the order of $10^5$ s to $10^4$ s for extreme growth rates 1 and 20 nm h$^{-1}$ respectively.

Comparison of the estimated dilution time scale with those for other processes shows that dilution is comparatively quick and does not allow other processes (with the exception of dry deposition on road surface) sufficient time to act and alter the particle number distributions. This supports our proposed hypothesis that transformation processes are not important for changing the PNDs at different heights. As noted, dry deposition on the road surface does seem to be a major removal process, reducing the ToN near road level as is clearly seen in vertical profiles nearest to the road level.

3.6 Effect of meteorology and traffic volume on particle number concentrations

Some of the factors influencing the PNCs may be more important than others in producing the hourly variations. The hourly variations of PNCs in each size range were quite marked as shown in Figure 10 (a-d). The hourly average PNCs in the $N_{10-2738}$ size range over the entire sampling duration were maximum ($4.95 \times 10^4$ # cm$^{-3}$) and minimum ($2.19 \times 10^4$ # cm$^{-3}$) at $z/H = 0.19$ and 0.64 respectively. As more than 99% of the total particles by number were in the 10-300 nm size range (shown in Section 3.4) there was a negligible presence of coarse particles suggesting that traffic was the main source of particles. A large peak at $z/H = 0.19$ was observed between 07:50:20 h and 07:50:48 h; this was caused by a heavy-duty diesel truck standing near the sampling points. The average PNCs during this period increased from overall average value of $\sim 10^4$ to $\sim 10^7$ # cm$^{-3}$, resulting in an increase of overall average PNCs for the entire hour (07:00-08:00 h) at this height. Similar to the diurnal variation of traffic volume (Section 3.1), as expected, the lowest and the highest average
concentrations at all heights were during the periods of the lowest traffic (between 00:00 h and 07:00 h) and the highest (between 07:00 h and 16:00 h) traffic volume respectively.

The PNCs at each height do not show strong correlation with the variation in rooftop wind speed (Fig. 10 a-d). For example, the highest (17:00-18:00 h, 20th March) and the lowest (16:00-17:00 h, 21st March) wind speeds correspond to very similar values (relatively lower than over all average) of PNCs at each height. However, there were also the periods of higher wind speeds (e.g., between 04:00-05:00 h) corresponding to the lowest PNCs, and lower wind speeds (e.g., between 19:00-20:00 h) corresponding to the relatively higher PNCs.

The traffic volume and rooftop wind speed are generally the principle variables influencing the PNCs in the street canyon. In order to show their effects on PNCs the PNCs in N_{10-30}, N_{30-300} and N_{300-2738} size ranges are correlated with traffic volume, as shown in Table 3. PNCs linearly depended on traffic volume, showing stronger association between PNCs in the N_{10-30} size range and traffic volume than the PNCs in N_{30-300} size range; however the correlations were very poor for the largest particle size range (N_{300-2738}) which could be due to the limited data available for these particles, though it is also probable that many of these particles were wind-blown rather than emitted directly from vehicles. Therefore, the PNCs in N_{300-2738} size range are not considered for further analysis.

Considering the effects of both traffic volume and $U_r$ on the PNCs, number concentrations in any size range at various heights could be represented as:

$$N_{r-j} = aT^mU_r^n + b$$

(2)

where $N_{r-j}$ are the PNCs in any size range, $a$, $b$, $m$ and $n$ are constants (here, $m$ is assumed to be unity), $T$ is traffic volume; $b$ represents background PNCs. The correlations using Eq. 5 are shown in Table 4. The values of $R^2$ shown in Table 3 and 4 are broadly similar for both
(excluding and including $U_r$) conditions, showing comparatively stronger correlations for $N_{10-30}$ than $N_{30-300}$ at all heights.

To remove the prime dependence of the PNCs on traffic volume, the PNCs were divided by the traffic volume; this was used as a primary variable and plotted against $U_r$ during the periods of particle measurement at each height (see Table 5). It can be clearly deduced from Table 5 that the correlations between the primary variable (PNCs divided by traffic volume) and $U_r$ are very poor as compared to the more robust correlations between PNCs and traffic volume. These observations are in contrast to the results reported by Charron and Harrison (2003) for road-side measurements in London where they found a inverse correlation between the PNCs in both size ranges and $U_r$. The reason for poor correlations in our case could be an artifact of the small data set collected since the variations in $U_r$ were significantly smaller (with in a factor of 3, see Table 1) when compared with the variations in traffic volume (a factor of 10) throughout the campaign. Also, the flow within the measurement period was consistently cross-canyon. The other reason for the poorer correlations could be the dissimilarity in street canyon geometry and sampling position since our measurements were taken nearer to the road side (see Fig. 1) where the influence of vehicle emissions on measurements can be greater because of the advection of traffic emissions towards the leeward side of the canyon by wind-produced street canyon vortex (Britter and Hanna, 2003), though this has not been explicitly shown here. This is especially true since the average time to reflect emissions of individual vehicles with the DMS500 was between 40 and 60 seconds. This is comparable to the predicted time scale for most significant transformation process (e.g., dilution) under the average wind conditions.

### 4. Summary and Conclusions

The particle number distributions (PND) were measured at four heights on one side of a regular street canyon in Cambridge, UK. Particle number distributions and concentrations in
various size ranges varied significantly and increased to a peak at $z/H = 0.19$, decreasing towards both the lowest ($z/H = 0.09$) and the highest ($z/H = 0.64$) sampling points. Our results seem to be in accordance with the EU directive, suggesting sampling heights between 1.5 m and 4 m since it is within this range that the maximum concentrations were observed. Vertical concentration profiles did not show an exponential decrease with height. The reduction in measured particles at the bottom of the street canyon was not straightforward to describe but was thought to be due to the dry deposition of the particles on to the road surface. The steadily decreasing concentration of particles in the upper part (i.e., between $z/H = 0.19$ and 0.64) of the canyon was attributed to the removal of particles due to the mass exchange between the street canyon and the wind above. The relative changes in the total masses of particles in various size ranges with height ($\text{PM}_{0.03-0.3}$, $\text{PM}_1$ and $\text{PM}_{2.7}$) were similar to those obtained for number concentrations. The majority (>99% of $N_{10-2738}$) of the PNCs were found in the $N_{10-300}$ nm size range, whereas the particle mass concentrations were found to be almost equally distributed between $\text{PM}_1$ and $\text{PM}_{2.7}$ at each height.

The PNDs at each height were bimodal, similar in shape and showed similar values of peak and geometric mean diameters in each size range; this suggested that transformation processes were essentially complete by the time measurement were made. Differences in PNDs at different heights largely reflect dilution by the wind from above the canyon, and dry deposition (at the lowest height), rather than other particle transformation processes. This possibility was supported by an order of magnitude determination of the time scales for removal and transformation.

Traffic was the main source of particles in the street canyon. The PNCs in each size range (i.e., $N_{10-30}$, $N_{30-300}$ except $N_{300-2738}$) correlated directly with traffic volume, showing stronger correlation with PNCs in the $N_{10-30}$ size range than those in the $N_{30-300}$ size range at
all heights. Little correlation was found between rooftop wind speeds and the PNC; most probably due to the small range of the wind speed in our study.
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Figure Captions

**Fig. 1 (a)** Map of the location of the street canyon in the Cambridge area. The measurement site is marked by the green star and the dotted line covers the traffic restricted area. **(b)** Schematic diagram of Pembroke Street, showing the traffic flow and wind directions, as described in text.

**Fig. 2.** Penetration of particles in different lengths of sampling tube, and their comparison with laminar and turbulent regime models. Turbulent and laminar model estimates are made for the following field conditions; ambient temperature $8.2^{\circ}C$, sample flow $2.5 \text{ l min}^{-1}$, sample line pressure $0.16 \text{ bars}$, and Reynolds number 461.

**Fig. 3.** Diurnal variation of hourly averaged $U_r$ and $U_s$. Bars show the standard deviation of hourly averaged values.

**Fig. 4.** Wind rose diagram for the one minute averaged rooftop wind speed over the entire sampling duration. The wind was blowing from NW during the entire sampling duration. The thick black line represents the street canyon.

**Fig. 5.** Hourly averaged corrected and measured particle number distributions at (a) $z/H = 0.09$ (b) $z/H = 0.19$ (c) $z/H = 0.40$ and (d) $z/H = 0.64$. Dotted lines represent mode fitting curves to corrected PNDs. Bars show the standard deviation of the hourly averaged PNDs on each height; only positive standard deviation values are plotted for the clarity of the figures.

**Fig. 6.** Vertical profiles of particle number concentrations in $N_{10-30}$, $N_{30-300}$ and $N_{300-2738}$ size ranges. Bars show the standard deviation of the hourly averaged PNCs over the entire sampling period. Only negative or positive standard deviations are plotted for the clarity of the figures.

**Fig. 7.** Hourly averaged corrected particle mass distributions at (a) $z/H = 0.09$ (b) $z/H = 0.19$ (c) $z/H = 0.40$ and (d) $z/H = 0.64$. The PMDs are estimated from “corrected” PNDs. Bars
show the standard deviation of the hourly averaged PMDs; only positive standard deviation values are plotted for the clarity of the figures.

**Fig. 8.** Vertical profiles of particle mass concentrations in various size ranges. Bars show the standard deviation of the hourly averaged PMCs. Only positive or negative standard deviations are plotted for the clarity of the figures.

**Fig. 9.** Hourly averaged (a) particle number concentrations (b) geometrical mean diameters of PNCs (c) total particle surface area concentrations and (d) total particle volume concentrations in different size ranges at each height. Bars show the standard deviation of the hourly averaged values. Only negative standard deviations are plotted for the clarity of the figures.

**Fig. 10.** Diurnal variation of the hourly averaged rooftop wind speed and particle number concentrations in various size ranges at (a) $z/H = 0.09$ (b) $z/H = 0.19$ (c) $z/H = 0.40$ and (d) $z/H = 0.64$, starting from 16:00 h on 20th March till 16:00 h on 21st March 2007. Particle number concentrations are the one-minute average of measurements taken at each height during each hour, and the wind speeds on each height are the one minute average during the periods of particle measurements at each height.
**Tables**

**Table 1.** Frequency of one-minute average rooftop wind speed ($U_r$) in different ranges during sampling at different heights.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$U_r$ (ms$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Frequency (%) of $U_r$ during the time of particle measurements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>at $z/H = 0.09$</td>
<td>at $z/H = 0.19$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤1.5</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1.5≤2.5</td>
<td>21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;2.5≤3.5</td>
<td>42.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;3.5≤4.5</td>
<td>25.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;4.5≤5.5</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;5.5≤6.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2.** Cross-correlation between $N_{10-30}$ and $N_{30-300}$ size particles at each height.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$z/H$</th>
<th>Correlation</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>$N_{10-30} = 2.99N_{30-300} - 2835$</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>$N_{10-30} = 1.60N_{30-300} + 4662$</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>$N_{10-30} = 1.21N_{30-300} + 9780$</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>$N_{10-30} = 2.99N_{30-300} + 2346$</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Correlation between the PNCs in various size ranges and traffic volume \((T)\) at different sampling heights.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(z/H)</th>
<th>(N_{10-30} =) (T + 1986 ) ((R^2 = 0.66))</th>
<th>(N_{30-300} =) (T + 3321 ) ((R^2 = 0.58))</th>
<th>(N_{300-2738} =) (-0.02 T + 90 ) ((R^2 = 0.01))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>39.1 (T + 3435 ) ((R^2 = 0.66))</td>
<td>16.1 (T + 4053 ) ((R^2 = 0.50))</td>
<td>0.1 (T + 210 ) ((R^2 = 0.04))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>24.1 (T + 6963 ) ((R^2 = 0.54))</td>
<td>8.7 (T + 3970 ) ((R^2 = 0.31))</td>
<td>0.13 (T + 111 ) ((R^2 = 0.09))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>24.5 (T + 2428 ) ((R^2 = 0.52))</td>
<td>4.6 (T + 2062 ) ((R^2 = 0.28))</td>
<td>-0.01 (T + 34 ) ((R^2 = 0.01))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Correlations of PNCs in various size ranges with traffic volume and \(U_r\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(z/H)</th>
<th>For (N_{10-30})</th>
<th>For (N_{30-300})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(a) (m) (n) (b) (R^2)</td>
<td>(a) (m) (n) (b) (R^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>39 1 -0.14 2044 0.67 6 1 -0.23 3186 0.54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>133 1 -0.98 3095 0.76 35 1 -0.43 3980 0.52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>70 1 -0.64 6481 0.55 15 1 -0.04 4329 0.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>74 1 -0.87 2228 0.57 7 1 -0.02 2061 0.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5. Correlations between the product of PNCs in various size ranges and inverse of traffic volume, and the $U_r$ at different heights.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$z/H$</th>
<th>$N_{10-30}/T = U_r^{-0.23}$ ($R^2 = 0.01$)</th>
<th>$N_{30-300}/T = U_r^{-0.21}$ ($R^2 = 0.19$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>47.3 $U_r^{-0.23}$ ($R^2 = 0.01$)</td>
<td>19.5 $U_r^{-0.21}$ ($R^2 = 0.19$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>107.1 $U_r^{-0.67}$ ($R^2 = 0.12$)</td>
<td>27.8 $U_r^{-0.01}$ ($R^2 = 0.01$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>82.4 $U_r^{-0.64}$ ($R^2 = 0.06$)</td>
<td>19.1 $U_r^{-0.01}$ ($R^2 = 0.01$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>42.7 $U_r^{-0.33}$ ($R^2 = 0.01$)</td>
<td>9.6 $U_r^{-0.01}$ ($R^2 = 0.04$)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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